the Workgroup to plan development of a new initiative pursuant to the Council’s Fishery Ecosystem Plan. The Council adopted this initiative, the Coordinated Ecosystem Indicator Review Initiative, at its March 2015 meeting. The Council requested the EWG, in concert with the Scientific and Statistical Committees’ Ecosystem-Based Fishery Management Subcommittee and NMFS’s Integrated Ecosystem Assessment Team, to evaluate ecosystem indicators presented in the Annual State of the California Current Ecosystem Report, identify potential new indicators, and coordinate review of indicators by the Pacific Council’s other advisory bodies. The EWG is expected to report back to the Pacific Council with a workload assessment and timeline later this year. Related matters stemming from the Pacific Council’s assignment also may be discussed. Public comment will be taken at the discretion of the EWG Chair.

Although non-emergency issues not contained in the meeting agenda may be discussed, those issues may not be the subject of formal action during this meeting. Action will be restricted to those issues specifically listed in this document and any issues arising after publication of this document that require emergency action under section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, provided the public has been notified of the intent to take final action to address the emergency.

Special Accommodations

The meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Mr. Kris Kleinschmidt at (503) 820–2425 at least 5 days prior to the meeting date.

Dated: April 7, 2015.

Tracey L. Thompson
Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2015–08269 Filed 4–9–15; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery

ACTION: Proposed collection; comment request.

SUMMARY: The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on the Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before June 9, 2015.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

• Email: InformationCollection@uspto.gov. Include “0651–New: Generic Clearance comment” in the subject line of the message.
• Federal Rulemaking Portal: http://www.reginfo.gov
• Mail: Marcie Lovett, Records Management Division Director, Office of the Chief Information Officer, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313–1450.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information should be directed to Marcie Lovett, Records Management Division Director, Office of the Chief Information Officer, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313–1450; by telephone at 571–272–8123; or by email to Marcie.Lovett@uspto.gov with “Paperwork” in the subject line.

Additional information about this collection can be found at http://www.reginfo.gov under “Information Collection Review.”

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

Executive Order 12862 (http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/executive-orders/pdf/12862.pdf) directs Federal agencies to provide services to the public that matches or exceeds the best services available in the private sector. In order to work continuously to ensure that its programs are effective and meet its customers’ needs, the United States Patent and Trademark Office (hereafter “USPTO” or “the Agency”) seeks to obtain OMB approval of a generic clearance to collect qualitative feedback on its service delivery. Qualitative feedback refers to information that provides useful insights on perceptions and opinions, but is not in the form of statistical surveys which yield quantitative results that can be generalized to the population of study.

Collecting feedback will allow for the Agency to have a pulse on customer satisfaction and adjust where necessary to meet and exceed expectations. This feedback collection will provide for ongoing, collaborative, and actionable communication between the Agency and its customers and stakeholders. It also will enable the Agency to garner customer and stakeholder feedback in an efficient and timely manner, in accordance with the USPTO’s commitment to improving services. The information collected from Agency customers and stakeholders will help ensure users have an opportunity to convey their experience with USPTO programs. This collection will also provide insights into customer or stakeholder perceptions, experiences, and expectations, which will allow the Agency to focus attention on areas where communication, training, or changes in operations may be necessary.

Improving Agency programs requires ongoing assessment. The Agency will collect, analyze, and interpret information gathered to identify strengths and weaknesses of current services. Based on feedback received, the Agency will identify operational changes needed to improve programs and services. The solicitation of feedback will target areas such as: timeliness, appropriateness, accuracy of information, courtesy, efficiency of service delivery, and resolution of issues with service delivery. The Agency is committed to hearing feedback from its customers. Responses will be assessed to identify service areas in need of improvement. If this information is not collected, then the Agency will miss opportunities to obtain vital feedback from its customers and stakeholders on ways to improve their program and services.

The Agency will only submit a collection for approval under this generic clearance if it meets the following conditions:

• The collection is voluntary;
• The collection is low-burden for respondents (based on considerations of total burden hours, total number of respondents, or burden-hours per respondent) and are low-cost for both the respondents and the Federal Government;
• The collection is noncontroversial and does not raise issues of concern to other Federal agencies;
• Any collection is targeted to the solicitation of opinions from respondents who have experience with the program or may have experience with the program in the near future;
• Personally identifiable information (PII) is collected only to the extent necessary and is not retained;
• Information gathered will only be used internally for general program and service improvement as well as program
administrative purposes, and is not intended for release outside the Agency; • Information gathered will not be used for the purpose of substantially informing influential policy decisions; and • Information gathered will yield qualitative information; the collections are not designed or expected to yield statistically reliable results nor used as though the results are generalizable to the population of study.

As a general matter, these information collections will not result in any new system of records containing privacy information and will not ask questions of a sensitive nature.

II. Method of Collection

The USPTO uses surveys, focus groups, interviews, questionnaires, and usability testing to collect feedback from its customers. These may be conducted via telephone, through electronic means, or in person. The USPTO expects customers will respond to the questionnaires and surveys primarily through electronic means, and to the focus groups, interviews, and usability testing primarily in person.

III. Data

**OMB Number:** 0651—New.  
**IC Instruments and Forms:** The individual instruments in this collection, as well as their associated forms, are listed in the table below.

**Type of Review:** New.
**Affected Public:** Individuals and households; businesses or other for-profits; and not-for-profit institutions.

**Estimated Number of Respondents:** 27,900 responses per year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IC Number</th>
<th>Information collection item</th>
<th>Estimated time for response (minutes)</th>
<th>Estimated annual responses</th>
<th>Estimated annual burden hours</th>
<th>Rate (S/hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Customer Surveys</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>1,667</td>
<td>$181.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Questionnaires/Customer Comment Cards/Complaint Forms</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>181.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Focus Groups/Interviews</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>181.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Small Discussion Groups</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>181.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Usability Tests (In-person observation (i.e., Website/Software)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>181.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (Three-Year Period)</strong></td>
<td><strong>---------</strong></td>
<td><strong>---------</strong></td>
<td><strong>(83,700)</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,059</strong></td>
<td><strong>---------</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Estimated Total Annual (Non-hour) Respondent Cost Burden:** There are no capital start-up, maintenance, postage, or recordkeeping costs associated with this information collection.

**IV. Request for Comments**

Comments are invited on:
- Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility;
- The accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information;
- Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, e.g., the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

**Dated:** April 1, 2015.

Marcie Lovett,  
Records Management Division Director,  
USPTO, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2015–08262 Filed 4–9–15; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
Office of the Secretary  
[Docket ID: DoD–2015–OS–0031]

**Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary of Defense, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice to alter a System of Records.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of the Secretary of Defense proposes to alter a system of records, DMDC 12 DoD, entitled “Joint Personnel Adjudication System (JPAS)” in its inventory of record systems subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended. This system is a DoD enterprise automated system for personnel security, providing a common, comprehensive medium to record, document, and identify personnel security actions within the Department including submitting adverse information, verification of clearance status (to include grants of interim clearances), requesting investigations, and supporting Continuous Evaluation activities.

**DATES:** Comments will be accepted on or before May 11, 2015. This proposed action will be effective the date following the end of the comment period unless comments are received which result in a contrary determination.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by any of the following methods:
- Mail: Department of Defense, Office of the Deputy Chief Management Officer, Directorate of Oversight and