ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 300

[EPA–HQ–SFUND–1991–006; FRL–9925–52–Region 8]

National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan National Priorities List

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Withdrawal of direct final rule.

SUMMARY: On February 5, 2015, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published a Notice of Intent to Delete and a direct final Notice of Deletion for the Midvale Slag from the National Priorities List. The EPA is withdrawing the Final Notice of Deletion due to adverse comments that were received during the public comment period. After consideration of the comments received, if appropriate, EPA will publish a Notice of Deletion in the **Federal Register** based on the parallel Notice of Intent to Delete and place a copy of the final deletion package, including a Responsiveness Summary, if prepared, in the Site repositories.

DATES: This withdrawal of the direct final action published February 5, 2015 (80 FR 6458) is effective as of April 3, 2015.

ADDRESSES: *Information Repositories:* Comprehensive information on the Site, as well as the comments that we received during the comment period, are available in the docket EPA–HQ–SFUND–1991–0006 accessed through the *http://www.regulations.gov* Web site. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *e.g.,* CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available electronically at *http://www.regulations.gov* or in hard copy at Ruth Tyler Branch Library, 8041 South Wood, Midvale, UT 84047; Phone: (801–944–7641); Hours: M–Th: 9 a.m.–9 p.m.; Fri-Sat: 9:00 a.m.–5:30 p.m.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Erna Waterman, Remedial Project Manager, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, Mail code: 8EPR–SR, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, CO 80202–1129; Phone: (303) 312–6762; Email: *waterman.erna@epa.gov.* You may contact Erna to request a hard copy of publicly available docket materials.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 300

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Chemicals, Hazardous waste, Hazardous substances, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Superfund, Water pollution control, Water supply.

Dated: March 24, 2015.

Shaun L. McGrath,

Regional Administrator, Region 8.

For the reasons set out in this document, 40 CFR part 300 is amended as follows:

PART 300—NATIONAL OIL AND HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES POLLUTION CONTINGENCY PLAN

■ 1. The authority citation for part 300 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1321(c)(2); 42 U.S.C. 9601–9657; E.O. 13626, 77 FR 56749, 3 CFR, 2013 Comp., p.306; E.O. 12777, 56 FR 54757, 3 CFR, 1991 Comp., p.351; E.O. 12580, 52 FR 2923, 3 CFR, 1987 Comp., p.193.

■ 2. Accordingly, the amendment to Table 1 of Appendix B to CFR part 300 to remove the entry ''UT'' ''Midvale Slag'' ''Midvale'' published February 5, 2015 (80 FR 6458) is withdrawn as of April 3, 2015.

[FR Doc. 2015–07472 Filed 4–2–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 90

[WP Docket No. 07–100, FCC 15–28]

Private Land Mobile Radio Services

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) grants an unopposed petition filed by the Public Safety Communications Council (PSCC) for partial reconsideration of the *Fifth Report and Order* in this proceeding. Specifically, for applicants seeking authority to operate centralized trunked stations on Public Safety Pool channels, we eliminate the requirement that the applicant demonstrate that the proposed station's service contour will not be overlapped by any incumbent station's interference contour. We also amend the rule changes adopted in the *Fifth Report and Order* regarding treatment of mobile stations to clarify how to protect 150–174 MHz band mobile stations that are associated with a base station. This proceeding is part of our continuing effort to provide clear and concise rules that facilitate new wireless technologies, devices and services, and are easy for the public to understand.

DATES: Effective May 4, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rodney P. Conway, at *Rodney.Conway@FCC.gov*, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, (202) 418–2904, or TTY (202) 418–7233.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's *Second Order on Reconsideration* in WP Docket No. 07–100; FCC 15–28, adopted on March 9, 2015, and released March 11, 2015. The full text of this document is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554. The full text may also be downloaded at: *www.fcc.gov.* Alternative formats are available to persons with disabilities by sending an email to *fcc504@fcc.gov* or by calling the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202–418–0530 (voice), 202–418–0432 (tty).

Summary

1. A trunked radio system employs technology that can search two or more available channels and automatically assign a user an open channel. In the *Fifth Report and Order,* the Commission revised, clarified, and streamlined § 90.187 of its rules, which specifies the manner in which trunking may be accomplished in the 150–174 MHz and 421–512 MHz private land mobile radio bands. PSCC seeks reconsideration with respect to two of those rule changes.

2. *Section 90.187(d)(3).* As noted in the Fifth Report and Order, § 90.187 requires that a trunked system monitor the frequencies and employ equipment that prevents transmission on a frequency if a signal from another system is present on it, with certain exceptions. One of these exceptions is if the licensee obtains the written consent of all ''affected licensees.'' Whether an incumbent is an affected licensee depends on both the spectral proximity of the existing and proposed frequencies, and the physical proximity of the existing and proposed facilities. In the Fifth Report and Order, the Commission modified § 90.187 to require that the contour analysis used to determine physical proximity be performed by an applicant for a new centralized trunked system to demonstrate both that (1) the proposed system's interference contour will not overlap any spectrally proximate incumbent system's service contour;