

3,262,059. The amended complaint also alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The amended complaint further alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, or in the sale of, certain light reflectors and components, packaging, and related advertising thereof by reason of false advertising, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure an industry in the United States. The Commission's notice of investigation named numerous respondents including Sinowell. See 79 FR 47156–57. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was named as a party to the investigation. *Id.* at 47157.

On December 16, 2014, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 12) granting a motion to terminate the investigation as to respondents The Hydro Source II, Inc.; Bizright, LLC; and Silversun, Inc., based upon settlement agreements.

On December 16, 2014, Sunlight moved to terminate the investigation as to Sinowell based upon a settlement agreement between Sunlight and Sinowell. That same day, Sunlight also moved to terminate the investigation as to the remaining respondents Groco Enterprises, LLC; Good Nature Garden Supply; Aqua Serene, Inc.; Aurora Innovations, Inc.; Big Daddy Garden Supply, Inc.; Insun, LLC; Lumz'N Blooms, Ltd. Corp; ParluxAmerica LLP; and Zimbali Group, Inc., based on withdrawal of the amended complaint as to these respondents. Sunlight asserted that there are no agreements, written or oral, express or implied between the parties concerning the subject matter of this investigation, other than the confidential settlement agreement between Sunlight and Sinowell. Sunlight also asserted that granting the motions is in the public interest and will conserve the resources of the Commission. The Commission's Investigative Attorney filed responses in support of the motions.

On January 22, 2015, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 17), granting the motion to terminate the investigation as to Sinowell. The ALJ found that the settlement agreement appears to resolve the dispute between Sunlight and Sinowell, and that granting the motion would not adversely affect the public interest factors. No petitions for review were filed.

On January 27, 2015, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 18), granting the motion to terminate the investigation as to the remaining respondents. The ALJ found that no extraordinary circumstances exist that would prevent the requested termination of the

remaining respondents from the investigation. The ALJ also found that the parties have complied with the requirements of Rule 210.21(a). No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the two subject IDs.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 23, 2015.

**William R. Bishop,**  
*Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2015–04089 Filed 2–26–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1110—NEW]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Approval of an Existing Collection in Use Without an OMB Control Number; FBI Expungement Form (FD–1114)

**AGENCY:** Federal Bureau of Investigation, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 60-day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice (DOJ), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) Division, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until April 28, 2015.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Rachel K. Hurst, Management and Program Analyst, FBI, CJIS, Biometric Services Section, Customer Support Unit, Module E–1, 1000 Custer Hollow Road, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26306 (facsimile: 304–625–5392).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information

are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

### Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Approval of collection in use without an OMB control number.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* FBI Expungement Form.

(3) *Agency form number:* FD–1114.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: This form is utilized by criminal justice and affiliated judicial agencies to request appropriate removal of criminal history information from an individual's record.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* It is estimated that 152,430 respondents are authorized to complete the form which would require approximately 10 minutes.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There are an estimated 89,591 total annual burden hours associated with this collection.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: February 23, 2015.

**Jerri Murray,**

*Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 2015-04122 Filed 2-26-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Members of SGIP 2.0, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on January 14, 2015, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Members of SGIP 2.0, Inc. (“MSGIP 2.0”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Cetecom, Milpitas, CA; and PG&E, San Francisco, CA, have been added as parties to this venture. Also, Smarhome Laboratories, Boulder, CO; Gridtest Systems, Inc., Westlake Village, CA; Eaton Corporation, Arden, NC; Hypertek, Inc., North Potomac, MD; Pepco Holdings, Inc., Washington, DC; Schneider Electric, Norcross, GA; SunSpec Alliance, Scotts Valley, CA; Tendril, Boulder, CO; American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA), Frederick, MD; PPL Corporation, Louisville, KY; Alliant Energy, Madison, WI; EnerNOC, Inc., Boston, MA; and Newport Consulting Group, San Francisco, CA, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and MSGIP 2.0 intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On February 5, 2013, MSGIP 2.0 filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 7, 2013 (78 FR 14836).

The last notification was filed with the Department on October 27, 2014. A notice was published in the **Federal**

**Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on December 2, 2014 (79 FR 71447).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2015-04099 Filed 2-26-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Telemanagement Forum

Notice is hereby given that, on January 16, 2015, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), TeleManagement Forum (“The Forum”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, REDERESIDUO, São Paulo, BRAZIL; Bell Integrator, Moscow, RUSSIA; Packet One Networks (Malaysia) Sdn Bhd, Petaling Jaya, MALAYSIA; PT Indonesia Comnets Plus (ICON+), Jakarta, INDONESIA; Inabox Group Limited, Sydney, AUSTRALIA; Mobitel (Pvt) Ltd., Colombo, SRI LANKA; Nextel Brazil, São Paulo, BRAZIL; Orange Espagne S.A.U, Pozuelo de Alarcón, SPAIN; Telenor d.o.o. Serbia, Beograd, SERBIA; DiGi Telecommunications Sdn Bhd, Shah Alam, MALAYSIA; Bharti Airtel Ltd., Delhi, INDIA; Netcomp Peru, Santiago de Surco, PERU; CanGo Networks Private Ltd., Chennai, INDIA; Trust5, Dublin, IRELAND; Wind Telecomunicazioni SpA, Roma, ITALY; Torry Harris Business Solutions Pvt Ltd., Bangalore, INDIA; DonRiver, Inc., Toronto, CANADA; Neural Technologies, Petersfield, UNITED KINGDOM; North State Communications, High Point, NC; Salesforce.com, San Francisco, CA; Coriant GmbH, Munich, GERMANY; Amcom Telecommunications Ltd., Perth, AUSTRALIA; FirstNet, Reston, VA; mm1 Consulting & Management PartG, Stuttgart, GERMANY; CrEating Waves AS, Kongsberg, NORWAY; IT SERVICES & GOUVERNANCE, Paris, FRANCE; Pelatro, Bangalore, INDIA; Sistema Turkey, Istanbul, TURKEY; ChikPea, San Francisco, CA; Invercloud, Cork, IRELAND; and Stelligence

Co.LTD, Bangkok, THAILAND, has been added as parties to this venture.

The following members have changed their names: Elion Ettevõtted AS to AS Eesti Telekom, Tallinn, ESTONIA; LeanMeanBusinessMachine to BumpConductor B.V., Driehuis, NETHERLANDS; and Nextel del Perú SA to Entel Peru SA, Lima, PERU.

The following members have withdrawn as parties to this venture: 6fusion USA, Inc., Raleigh, NC; ArenaCore Pty Ltd., Melbourne, AUSTRALIA; Ariston Global, Pittsford, NY; Aspivia Ltd., Illovo, SOUTH AFRICA; Atoll Solution Ltd., Urom, HUNGARY; BEISIS, Ceroux Mousty, BELGIUM; Cloudscaling© (The Cloudscaling Group, Inc.), San Francisco, CA; Competitiveness Cluster Secured Communications Solutions, Valbonne Sophia Antipolis, FRANCE; Concordus Applications Inc., Sacramento, CA; Delta Partners FZ LLC, Dubai, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES; Desfossés Consultation, Québec, CANADA; Digital Enterprise Research Institute—NUI Galway, Galway, IRELAND; Dimetis GmbH, Dietzenbach, GERMANY; EuroCloud Netherlands, Haarlem, NETHERLANDS; First Derivatives Ireland Ltd., Dublin, IRELAND; Gilgamesh OSS Services, Weybridge, UNITED KINGDOM; Global Consultants Group 2020 C.A., Chacao, VENEZUELA; ICT Solutions Central America, Guatemala, GUATEMALA; Inetra, Novosibirsk, RUSSIA; Kreare Assessoria Empresarial, São Paulo, BRAZIL; Lyatiss, Lyon, FRANCE; Maveric Systems Limited, Chennai, INDIA; Network Laboratory, Department of Information and Communication Engineering, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, JAPAN; Objective Systems Integrators, Folsom, CA; OneNet Ingeniería S.A., Santiago, CHILE; OSS Evolution, Ottawa, CANADA; PiA Bilişim Hizmetleri Ltd., Atasehir—İstanbul, TURKEY; Pictor Consulting, Danderyd, SWEDEN; Proxwel, Bizerte, TUNISIA; Sagacity Softwares Private Limited, Pune, INDIA; Swiss Mobility Solutions, Alicante, SPAIN; Tele Greenland, Nuuk, GREENLAND; TM Forum Test, Morristown, NJ; TMSConsult.net, Kuala Lumpur, MALAYSIA; TURKSAT AS, Ankara, TURKEY; Universidad de Alcalá, Madrid, SPAIN; Laboratorio de Medición de Software, Madrid, SPAIN; University of Deusto—Deusto Institute of Technology, Bilbao, SPAIN; Winitu Communications B.V., Bodegraven, NETHERLANDS; XINTEC S.A., Munsbach, LUXEMBOURG; Xirrus, Thousand Oaks, CA; ARSAT, Buenos Aires, ARGENTINA; ATANOO Europe GmbH, Zug, SWITZERLAND; CA