

minimis. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

The Department announced a refinement to its assessment practice in non-market economy (NME) cases. Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the NME-wide rate. In addition, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (*i.e.*, at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the NME-wide rate. For a full discussion of this practice, see *Assessment in NME Antidumping Proceedings*.¹⁴

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act): (1) For the exporter's listed above, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, a zero cash deposit rate will be required for that company); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing producer/exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be eligible for a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 285.63 percent;¹⁵ and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter(s) that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These

¹⁴ See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011) (*Assessment in NME Antidumping Proceedings*).

¹⁵ For an explanation on the derivation of the PRC-wide rate, see *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Chlorinated Isocyanurates From the People's Republic of China*, 70 FR 24502, 24505 (May 10, 2005).

deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure

We will disclose the calculations performed regarding these final results within five days of the date of publication of this notice in this proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and that subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: January 21, 2015.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—Issues and Decision Memorandum

Comment 1: Selection of Surrogate Country
Comment 2: Surrogate Value Selection

- A. Chlorine
- B. Hydrogen
- C. Financial Ratios

Comment 3: Whether the Department Is Authorized by Law To Apply the Alternative Methodology Under Section 777A(d)(1)(B) of the Act to Annual Reviews

Comment 4: Methodological Issues

- A. Value-Added Tax (VAT) Adjustment for Kangtai's and Jiheng's U.S. Sales

- B. Downstream By-Product Offset for Ammonium Sulfate
 - C. Recalculating Jiheng's Hydrogen By-Product Offset
 - D. Calculation of Jiheng's Electricity Rates
- Comment 5: Ministerial Errors
- A. Double-Counting of Chlorine Input
 - B. Calculation of Jiheng's Market Economy Brokerage and Handling Charges
 - C. Double-Counting of Jiheng's Market Economy Brokerage and Handling Charges in Both Domestic and International Movement Expenses

[FR Doc. 2015-01604 Filed 1-27-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-475-818]

Certain Pasta From Italy: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* January 28, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher Hargett or George McMahon AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4161 or (202) 482-1167, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 1, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy.¹ Pursuant to requests from interested parties, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review with respect to the following companies for the period July 1, 2013, through June 30, 2014: Pastificio Andalini S.p.A. (Andalini), Dalla Costa Alimentare SRL (Dalla Costa), Delverde Industrie Alimentari S.p.A. (Delverde), La Molisana S.p.A. (La Molisana), Rummo, S.p.A. (Rummo), and Pasta Lensi S.r.L. (Pasta Lensi).² On October 10, 2014, and

¹ See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 79 FR 37289 (July 1, 2014).

² See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 79 FR 51548 (August 29, 2014) (*Initiation Notice*).

November 25, 2014, respectively, Dalla Costa and Pasta Lensi timely withdrew their respective requests for a review.³

Partial Rescission of the 2013–2014 Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested a review withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The Department initiated the instant review on August 29, 2014.⁴ Dalla Costa and Pasta Lensi withdrew their requests for a review on October 10, 2014 and November 25, 2014, respectively, which is within the 90-day deadline. No other party requested an administrative review of these particular companies. Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding this review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy, in part, with respect to Dalla Costa and Pasta Lensi. The instant review will continue with respect to Andalini, Delverde, La Molisana, and Rummo.

Assessment

The Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For the companies for which this review is rescinded, Dalla Costa and Pasta Lensi, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, during the period July 1, 2013, through June 30, 2014, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i).

The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or

countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent increase in the amount of antidumping duties assessed.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under an APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: January 23, 2015.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2015–01586 Filed 1–27–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–905]

Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 25, 2014, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published in the *Federal Register* the *Preliminary Results* of the sixth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber (“PSF”) from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”).¹ We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. Based upon our analysis of the comments and information received, we made changes to the margin calculations for the final results.

¹ See *Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013* (July 25, 2014) (“*Preliminary Results*”) and accompanying Decision Memorandum.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven Hampton, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0116.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 23, 2014, DAK Americas LLC (“Petitioner”) filed comments regarding ministerial errors.² On July 25, 2014, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*.³ On October 21, 2014, Petitioner and Takayasu Industrial (Jiangyin) Co., Ltd. (“Takayasu”) submitted case briefs. On October 28, 2014, Petitioner and Takayasu submitted rebuttal briefs. On October 30, 2014, the Department fully extended the final results to January 21, 2015.⁴ On November 5, 2014, Takayasu submitted a redacted rebuttal brief per the Department's request.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is certain polyester staple fiber. The product is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) numbers 5503.20.0045 and 5503.20.0065. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order, which is contained in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (“I&D Memo”) is dispositive.⁵

² See Sixth Administrative Review of Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China—Preliminary Results Clerical Error Allegation, dated July 23, 2014. The Department notes that “Polyester Staple Fiberfill” is a misidentification of the proceeding. The Department has corrected this reference throughout this document to the name: “Polyester Staple Fiber.”

³ See *Preliminary Results*.

⁴ See Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, through James C. Doyle, Director, Office V, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, from Steven Hampton, International Trade Compliance Analyst, Office V, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations regarding Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, dated October 30, 2014.

⁵ See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, regarding Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2012–2013 Administrative Review issued concurrently with this notice for a complete description of the Scope of the Order (“I&D Memo”).

³ See Letter from Dalla Costa to the Department, “Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Pasta from Italy: Withdrawal of Review Request for Administrative Review of Dalla Costa Alimentare SRL,” dated October 10, 2014; Letter from Pasta Lensi to the Department, “Pasta from Italy: Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review,” dated November 25, 2014.

⁴ See *Initiation Notice*.