

Estimated total annual burden on respondents: 125 hours.

Frequency of collection: On occasion and annually.

Form 8-R.

Respondents/Affected Entities: 5 principals per each of 125 swap dealers and major swap participants.

Estimated number of respondents: 625.

Estimated burden per response: 0.8 hour.

Estimated total annual burden on respondents: 500 hours.

Frequency of collection: On occasion.
Form 8-T.

Respondents/Affected Entities: 1 principal per each of 20 swap dealers and major swap participants.

Estimated number of respondents: 20.

Estimated burden per response: 0.2 hour.

Estimated total annual burden on respondents: 4 hours.

Frequency of collection: On occasion.

Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*

Dated: January 16, 2015.

Christopher J. Kirkpatrick,
Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2015-01105 Filed 1-22-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Defense Acquisition University Board of Visitors; Notice of Federal Advisory Committee Meeting; Cancellation

AGENCY: Defense Acquisition University, DoD.

ACTION: Meeting notice; cancellation.

SUMMARY: On Tuesday, January 13, 2015 (80 FR 1627-1628), the Department of Defense published a notice announcing a meeting of the Defense Acquisition University Board of Visitors. The Department of Defense is publishing this notice to announce the cancellation of this meeting, which was scheduled for Wednesday, January 28, 2015, from 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

DATES: The meeting scheduled for Wednesday, January 28, 2015, from 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. has been cancelled.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Caren Hergenroeder, Protocol Director, DAU. Phone: 703-805-5134. Fax: 703-805-5940. Email: caren.hergenroeder@dau.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Due to difficulties beyond the control of the Department of Defense, the Designated Federal Officer was unable to submit the **Federal Register** notice pertaining to

cancelling the Defense Acquisition University Board of Visitors' meeting, scheduled for January 28, 2015, that ensured compliance with the requirements of 41 CFR 102-3.150(a). Accordingly, the Advisory Committee Management Officer for the Department of Defense, waives the 15-calendar day notification requirement pursuant to 41 CFR 102-3.150(b).

Dated: January 20, 2015.

Aaron Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2015-01134 Filed 1-22-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment and Conduct Public Scoping Meeting for the Crescent City Harbor Dredged Material Management Plan, City of Crescent and County of Del Norte, CA

AGENCY: Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this notice is to initiate the scoping process for the preparation of a Dredged Material Management Plan (DMMP) and Environmental Assessment for continued maintenance dredging at Crescent City Harbor. The goal of the plan will be to identify suitable sites for placement of dredged material to accommodate maintenance dredging over the next twenty years.

DATES: A public scoping meeting will be held on February 11, 2015 at 7:00 p.m. (PST). Submit comments concerning this notice on or before February 26, 2015.

ADDRESSES: The scoping meeting location is the Meeting Room at the Crescent City Harbor District Office, 101 Citizens Dock Road, Crescent City, California 95531. Mail written comments concerning this notice to: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, San Francisco District, Project Management Division, ATTN: 1455 Market Street, San Francisco, CA 94103-1398. Comment letters should include the commenter's physical mailing address and the project title in the subject line.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mark Wiechmann, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, San Francisco District, Environmental Resources, 1455 Market Street, San Francisco CA 94103-1398,

(415) 503-6846, mark.j.wiechmann@usace.army.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Corps intends to prepare a Dredged Material Management Plan (DMMP) and accompanying Environmental Assessment (EA). The primary Federal actions under consideration are dredging, dredged material placement, and transport of dredged material for the purpose of ocean placement and/or upland beneficial reuse. The Crescent City Harbor District is the Non-Federal Sponsor (NFS). The Draft DMMP is intended to be sufficient in scope to address the Federal, state and local requirements and environmental issues concerning the proposed activities and permit approvals.

Project Site and Background

Information: Crescent City Harbor is located on the Northern California coast about 280 nautical miles north of San Francisco and about 17 miles south of the Oregon border. The harbor is located on the south edge of a broad marine terrace bordered on the south and west by the Pacific Ocean and on the north and east by densely forested coastal mountains. Crescent City Harbor is a shallow-draft critical harbor of refuge, supporting a U.S. Coast Guard search and rescue station, commercial and sport fishing, waterfront industry, and recreational boating.

The harbor's naturally crescent-shaped beach is bound by a 4,700-foot long rubble-mound outer breakwater to the west, a 2,400-foot long sand barrier to the east, and a 1,600-foot rubble-mound inner breakwater to the south. The harbor's opening faces south and is about 2,000 feet across.

There are currently three federally constructed and maintained navigation channels at Crescent City Harbor. The Inner Harbor Basin Channel extends for 2,200 feet along the inside and around the tip of the inner breakwater, where it connects to the Entrance Channel, a 200 foot wide channel that extends 2,200 feet to the outer breakwater. The Marina Access Channel is 140-210 feet wide and extends 1,200 feet from the Inner Harbor Basin Channel to the small boat basin.

The Entrance Channel has a project depth of 20 feet mean lower low water (MLLW) while the interior channels, Inner Harbor Basin and Marina Access, have a project depth of 15 feet MLLW.

Proposed Action(S): This study report will: (1) verify that continued federal maintenance is justified; and (2) present a viable 20-year plan for dredging and disposal of materials associated with

Crescent City Harbors' continued operations and maintenance work.

Four previously used disposal sites: SF-1, Crescent City Harbor Dredge Ponds, Beach Nourishment at Whaler Island and Humboldt Ocean Disposal Site (HOODS); and four previously unused disposal sites: SFDODS, Chetco River Disposal Site (Chetco), an Offshore Berm area and a potential Crescent City Harbor Waterfront Development Plan site will be evaluated. Figure 1 displays the eight sites being considered.

Issues: Potentially significant issues associated with the project may include: aesthetics/visual impacts, air quality emissions, biological resource impacts, environmental justice, geologic impacts related to seismicity, hazards and hazardous materials, hydrology and water quality, noise, traffic and transportation, and cumulative impacts from past, present and reasonably foreseeable future projects.

Scoping Process: The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is seeking participation and input of all interested federal, state, and local agencies, Native American groups, and other concerned private organizations or individuals on the scope of the draft DMMP and EA through this public notice. The purpose of the public scoping meeting is to solicit comments regarding the potential impacts, environmental issues, and alternative placement sites associated with the proposed action to be considered in the study report. The meeting place, date and time will be advertised in advance in local newspapers, and meeting announcement letters will be sent to interested parties. The final draft DMMP is expected to be available for public review and comment in the summer of 2015 and a public meeting will be held after its publication.

John C. Morrow,

Lieutenant Colonel, US Army, District Engineer.

[FR Doc. 2015-01030 Filed 1-22-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3720-58-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Notice of Availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the Installation of a Terminal Groin Structure at the Eastern End of Ocean Isle Beach, Extending Into the Atlantic Ocean, West of Shallotte Inlet (Brunswick County, NC)

AGENCY: Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), Wilmington District, Wilmington Regulatory Field Office has received a request for Department of the Army authorization, pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbor Act, from the Town of Ocean Isle Beach to install a terminal groin structure on the east side of Ocean Isle Beach, extending into the Atlantic Ocean, just west of Shallotte Inlet. The structure will be designed to function in concert with the Federal storm damage reduction project.

DATES: The public is invited to attend, and/or comment at, a public hearing to be held at Union Elementary School, 180 Union School Rd., NW., Shallotte, NC 28459, on February 24, at 6:00 p.m. Written comments on the DEIS will be received until 5 p.m., March 9, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Copies of comments and questions regarding the DEIS may be submitted to: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), Wilmington District, Regulatory Division, c/o Mr. Tyler Crumbley. ATTN: File Number SAW-2011-01241, 69 Darlington Avenue, Wilmington, NC 28403.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions about the proposed action and DEIS can be directed to Mr. Tyler Crumbley, Wilmington Regulatory Field Office, telephone: (910) 251-4170, facsimile (910) 251-4025, or email at tyler.crumbley@usace.army.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. *Project Description.* The Town of Ocean Isle Beach is seeking Federal and State authorization for construction of a terminal groin, and associated beach fillet with required maintenance, to be located at the eastern end of Ocean Isle Beach. The proposed terminal groin and beach fillet is the Town's preferred alternative (#5) of five alternatives considered in this document. Under the preferred alternative, the terminal groin would have a seaward section extending 750-foot seaward of the April 2007 mean high water shoreline and a 300-foot

shore anchorage section extending landward of the April 2007 mean high water shoreline. The seaward section would be constructed with loosely placed armor stone to facilitate the movement of sand past the structure. The shore anchorage section would be constructed with sheet pile which would have a top elevation varying from +4.9 feet NAVD to +4.5 feet NAVD.

The proposed terminal groin is one of four such structures approved by the General Assembly to be constructed in North Carolina following passing of Senate Bill (SB) 110. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) determined that there is sufficient information to conclude that the project would result in significant adverse impact on the human environment, and has prepared a DEIS pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) to evaluate the environmental effects of the alternatives considering the project's purpose and need. The purpose and need of the proposed terminal groin and beach fillet is to provide shoreline protection that would mitigate chronic erosion on the eastern portion on the Town's oceanfront shoreline so as to preserve the integrity of its infrastructure, provide protection to existing development, and ensure the continued use of the oceanfront beach along this area.

2. *Issues.* There are several potential environmental and public interest issues that are addressed in the DEIS. Public interest issues include, but are not limited to, the following: Public safety, aesthetics, recreation, navigation, infrastructure, solid waste, economics, and noise pollution. Additional issues may be identified during the public review process. Issues initially identified as potentially significant include:

- a. Potential impacts to marine biological resources (benthic organisms, passageway for fish and other marine life) and Essential Fish Habitat.
- b. Potential impacts to threatened and endangered marine mammals, reptiles, birds, fish, and plants.
- c. Potential for effects/changes to Ocean Isle beach, Holden Beach, and Shallotte inlet, respectively.
- d. Potential impacts to navigation.
- e. Potential effects on regional sand sources and sand management practices, including the Federal (Ocean Isle Beach storm damage reduction) project.
- f. Potential effects of shoreline protection.
- g. Potential impacts on public health and safety.
- h. Potential impacts to recreational and commercial fishing.