

instruments. If a household lists at least one child who is 0 to 17 years old in the screener, they will be directed into a topical instrument immediately after the last screener question. The Web Push + Mail group is further broken down into differing incentive groups. Since the respondent is taken through both the screening and topical instruments at one time, either a \$5 or \$10 cash incentive is mailed along with the initial screening instrument request. Therefore, the Web Push + Mail pretest sample of 8,000 is broken out into two incentive groups of 4,000 households each. Since the Web Push + Mail group does not receive any topical mailing materials, they will not receive any additional incentives.

### III. Data

*OMB Control Number:* 0607-XXXX.

*Form Number(s):* NSCH-P-S1

(English Screener),

NSCH-P-T1 (English Topical for 0- to 5-year-old children),

NSCH-P-T2 (English Topical for 6- to 11-year-old children),

NSCH-P-T3 (English Topical for 12- to 17-year-old children),

NSCH-PS-S1 (Spanish Screener),

NSCH-PS-T1 (Spanish Topical for 0- to 5-year-old children),

NSCH-PS-T2 (Spanish Topical for 6- to 11-year-old children), and

NSCH-PS-T3 (Spanish Topical for 12- to 17-year-old children).

*Type of Review:* Regular submission.

*Affected Public:* Parents, researchers, policymakers, and family advocates.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 11,200 for the Screener and 8,960 for the Topical.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 4 minutes per screener response and 27–30 minutes per topical response.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 5,227 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public:* \$2,776,000.00.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Voluntary.

*Legal Authority:*

Census Authority: Title 13, U.S.C. Section 8(b)

MCHB Authority: 42 U.S.C., Chapter 7, Title V (Social Security Act)

Confidentiality: Confidential

Information Protection and Statistical Efficiency Act (CIPSEA)

### IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the

proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: January 16, 2015.

**Glenna Mickelson,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2015-01000 Filed 1-21-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-07-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Bureau of Economic Analysis

#### Meeting of Bureau of Economic Analysis Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Economic Analysis, Economics and Statistics Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Public Meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463 as amended by Pub. L. 94-409, Pub. L. 96-523, Pub. L. 97-375 and Pub. L. 105-153), we are announcing a meeting of the Bureau of Economic Analysis Advisory Committee. The meeting will address ways in which the national economic accounts can be presented more effectively for current economic analysis and recent statistical developments in national accounting.

**DATES:** Friday, May 8, the meeting will begin at 9:00 a.m. and adjourn at 3:30 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will take place at the Bureau of Economic Analysis at 1441 L St. NW., Washington, DC.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gianna Marrone, Program Analyst, Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230; telephone number: (202) 606-9633.

**Public Participation:** This meeting is open to the public. Because of security procedures, anyone planning to attend the meeting must contact Gianna Marrone of BEA at (202) 606-9633 in advance. The meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for foreign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids

should be directed to Gianna Marrone at (202) 606-9633.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Committee was established September 2, 1999. The Committee advises the Director of BEA on matters related to the development and improvement of BEA's national, regional, industry, and international economic accounts, especially in areas of new and rapidly growing economic activities arising from innovative and advancing technologies, and provides recommendations from the perspectives of the economics profession, business, and government. This will be the Committee's twenty-eighth meeting.

Dated: January 7, 2015.

**Brian C. Moyer,**

*Director, Bureau of Economic Analysis.*

[FR Doc. 2015-00900 Filed 1-21-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-06-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-851]

#### Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review; 2013-2014

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective* January 22, 2015.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting a new shipper review of Dezhou Kaihang Agricultural Science Technology Co., Ltd. (Dezhou Kaihang) regarding the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the People's Republic of China (the PRC). The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2013, through February 28, 2014.<sup>1</sup> We preliminarily find that Dezhou Kaihang made a sale of subject merchandise at less than normal value. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael J. Heaney or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230;

<sup>1</sup> The Department extended the review period for this new shipper review by 28 days until February 28, 2014 to capture the entry associated with the sale made by Dezhou Kaihang during the POR. See 19 CFR 351.214(f)(2)(ii); see also *Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People's Republic of China; Initiation of New Shipper Review; 2013-2014*, 79 FR 17505 (March 28, 2014).

telephone: (202) 482-4475 or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain preserved mushrooms, whether imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces. The certain preserved mushrooms covered under this order are the species *Agaricus bisporus* and *Agaricus bitorquis*. "Certain Preserved Mushrooms" refers to mushrooms that have been prepared or preserved by cleaning, blanching, and sometimes slicing or cutting. These mushrooms are then packed and heated in containers including, but not limited to, cans or glass jars in a suitable liquid medium, including, but not limited to, water, brine, butter or butter sauce. Certain preserved mushrooms may be imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces. Included within the scope of this order are "brined" mushrooms, which are presalted and packed in a heavy salt solution to provisionally preserve them for further processing. The merchandise subject to this order is classifiable under subheadings: 2003.10.0127, 2003.10.0131, 2003.10.0137, 2003.10.0143, 2003.10.0147, 2003.10.0153, and 0711.51.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.<sup>2</sup>

##### Methodology

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.214. Export prices have been calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Because the PRC is a nonmarket economy within the meaning of section 771(18) of the Act, normal value has been calculated in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and

is on file electronically *via* Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS).<sup>3</sup> ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov> and available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

##### Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review

The Department preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Shandong Fengyu Edible Fungus Co., Ltd./Dezhou Kaihang Agricultural Science Technology Co., Ltd. ....	168.90

##### Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department will disclose calculations performed for these preliminary results to the parties within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Interested parties may submit case briefs by no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review.<sup>4</sup> Rebuttals to case briefs, limited to the arguments raised in those comments, may be filed by no later than five days after case briefs are due.<sup>5</sup> A table of contents, list of authorities used, and an executive summary of issues should accompany any brief submitted to the Department.<sup>6</sup> The summary should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes.

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of

this notice.<sup>7</sup> Hearing requests should contain the following information: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.<sup>8</sup>

All submissions, with limited exceptions, must be filed electronically using ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by 5 p.m. Eastern Time ("ET") on the due date. Documents excepted from the electronic submission requirements must be filed manually (*i.e.*, in paper form) with the APO/Dockets Unit in Room 1870 and stamped with the date and time of receipt by 5 p.m. ET on the due date.<sup>9</sup>

The Department intends to issue the final results of this new shipper review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, within 90 days of the issuance of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act.

##### Assessment Rates

Upon issuing the final results of this new shipper review, the Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.<sup>10</sup> The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of this new shipper review.

In this new shipper review, we calculated a per unit rate for each importer by dividing the total dumping margins for reviewed sales to that party by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions. For duty assessment rates calculated on this basis, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting per-unit amount against the entered quantity of the subject merchandise. If the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis*, we will calculate an importer-specific per-unit duty assessment rate in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated

<sup>2</sup> For a complete description of the scope of the order see Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the New Shipper Review of Dezhou Kaihang in Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People's Republic of China; 2013/2014, from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, dated January 14, 2015 (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), issued concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice.

<sup>3</sup> On November 24, 2014, Enforcement and Compliance changed the name of Enforcement and Compliance's AD and CVD Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS") to AD and CVD Centralized Electronic Service System ("ACCESS"). The Web site location was changed from <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> to <http://access.trade.gov>. The Final Rule changing the references in 19 CFR 351.303 can be found at 79 FR 69046 (November 20, 2014).

<sup>4</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c).

<sup>5</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

<sup>6</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

<sup>7</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>8</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

<sup>9</sup> See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Electronic Filing Procedures; Administrative Protective Order Procedures*, 76 FR 39263 (July 6, 2011).

<sup>10</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

for the importer's examined sales to the total quantity entered by that importer. Then, we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this new shipper review. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. Pursuant to a refinement in the Department's practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales database submitted by Dezhou Kaihang for this new shipper review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate.<sup>11</sup>

The final results of this new shipper review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this new shipper review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For merchandise produced by Shandong Fengyu Edible Fungus Co., Ltd. and exported by Dezhou Kaihang, the cash deposit rate will be that established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, then zero cash deposit will be required); (2) for subject merchandise exported by Dezhou Kaihang but not produced by Shandong Fengyu Edible Fungus Co. Ltd., the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the PRC-wide entity; and (3) for subject merchandise produced by Shandong Fengyu Edible Fungus Co., Ltd. but not exported by Dezhou Kaihang, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of

antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

The Department is issuing and publishing these preliminary results in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: January 14, 2015.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of the Methodology
  - a. Bona Fide Sale Analysis
  - b. Non-Market Economy Country Status
  - c. Separate Rates
  - d. Separate Rate Recipient
  - e. Surrogate Country
- V. Fair Value Comparisons
- VI. Results of Differential Pricing Analysis
- VII. Date of Sale
- VIII. U.S. Price
- IX. Normal Value
- X. Factor Valuations
- XI. Currency Conversions
- XII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2015-01052 Filed 1-21-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

[A-588-804]

#### Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof From Japan: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2005-2006

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On December 24, 2014, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT or Court) issued final judgment in *JTEKT Corp. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 07-377 (*JTEKT Corp.*), affirming the Department of Commerce's (the Department) final results of redetermination pursuant to remand.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, Consol. Court No. 07-377, available at <http://>

Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) in *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*), as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*), the Department is notifying the public that the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the Department's final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ball bearings and parts thereof from Japan covering the period May 1, 2005 through April 30, 2006, and is amending the final results with respect to Aisin Seiki Co., Ltd.

**DATES:** Effective January 3, 2015.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Hermes Pinilla, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3477.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On October 12, 2007, the Department published *AFBs 17*.<sup>2</sup> Aisin Seiki Co., Ltd. (Aisin) and other parties appealed *AFBs 17* to the CIT and on September 3, 2009, the CIT granted the Department's request for a voluntary remand to examine its calculation of constructed export price (CEP) for certain U.S. sales made by Aisin. After reexamining Aisin's CEP calculation, the Department determined it appropriate to recalculate Aisin's dumping margin.<sup>3</sup> On May 5, 2011, the CIT affirmed, in part, the Department's first remand, which resulted in a weighted-average dumping margin of 1.13 percent for Aisin.<sup>4</sup> The Court remanded issues regarding other respondent companies, relating to the Department's use of zeroing and model match methodology. In *Final Second Remand*, the Department further explained these issues but did not recalculate the dumping margins for any other respondents in the litigation.<sup>5</sup> The Court affirmed the Department's second

[enforcement.trade.gov/remands/](http://enforcement.trade.gov/remands/) (*Final Second Remand*).

<sup>2</sup> *Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof From France, Germany, Japan, Italy, Japan, Singapore, and the United Kingdom: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews and Rescission of Review in Part*, 72 FR 58053 (October 12, 2007) (*AFBs 17*).

<sup>3</sup> See Redetermination Pursuant to Remand, *JTEKT Corporation, et al. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 07-00377 (CIT September 2, 2009), dated December 4, 2009 (*Final First Remand*).

<sup>4</sup> See *JTEKT Corp. v. United States*, 768 F. Supp. 2d 1333 (2011).

<sup>5</sup> See *Final Second Remand*.

<sup>11</sup> For a full discussion of this practice, see *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).