

information to be collected can be enhanced; and

- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection 1140-0024:

1 *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of an existing collection.

2 *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Report of Firearms Transaction—Demand 2.

3 *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:*

Form number: ATF F 5300.5.

Component: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, U.S. Department of Justice.

4 *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:*

Primary: Business or other for-profit.

Other: None.

Abstract: The information collection documents transactions of firearms for law enforcement purposes. ATF uses the information to determine that the transaction is in accordance with laws and regulations, and establishes the person(s) involved in the transactions.

5 *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* An estimated 1,322 respondents will take 30 minutes to complete the form.

6 *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The estimated annual public burden associated with this collection is 2,644 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., Room 3E-405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: January 15, 2015.

Jerri Murray,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2015-00852 Filed 1-20-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-FY-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed First Amendment to Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On January 14, 2015, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed first amendment to a consent decree with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio in the lawsuit entitled *United States, et al. v. INEOS ABS (USA) Corporation, et al.*, Civil Action No. 1:09-CV-00545.

Under the original 2010 consent decree, INEOS ABS (USA) Corporation (“INEOS”) agreed to undertake numerous measures to come into compliance with various environmental statutes and regulations at its facility in Addyston, Ohio, including certain measures designed to control hazardous air pollutant emissions from the facility’s flare and volatile organic compound emissions from equipment leaks. Since the entry of the original consent decree, issues involving the implementation of and compliance with certain consent decree provisions have arisen. Under the proposed First Amendment, INEOS will comply with a final limit of the net heating value in its flare gas that will ensure 99% control efficiency at the flare, which is the control efficiency requirement in the facility’s permit. INEOS also will pay a penalty of \$240,000 for alleged violations of certain leak detection and repair (“LDAR”) provisions of the original decree (which are based on regulations promulgated under the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401, *et seq.*).

The publication of this notice opens a period of public comment on the first amendment. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States, et al. v. INEOS ABS (USA) Corporation, et al.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-09264. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By e-mail	pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the first amendment may be examined and downloaded at this Department of

Justice Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. We will provide a paper copy of the first amendment upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check in the amount of \$ 10.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Randall M. Stone,

*Acting Assistant Section Chief,
Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2015-00886 Filed 1-20-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Amended Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

This Notice amends and replaces the original notice published on January 8, 2015, 80 FR 1049. On January 2, 2015, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree in *United States and the State of Arkansas v. The City of Fort Smith, Arkansas*, Civil Action No. 14-cv-02266-PKH in the United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas. Notice is hereby given that, for a period of 30 days, the United States will receive public comments on the proposed Consent Decree.

The United States and the State filed an amended complaint against Fort Smith on the same date. The amended complaint alleges that Fort Smith discharged untreated wastewater from Fort Smith’s sanitary sewer collection system to waters of the United States and the State on numerous occasions, and that Fort Smith failed to comply with certain terms and conditions of its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permits, in violation of Sections 301 and 402 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1311 and 1342.

Under the settlement, Fort Smith will implement various injunctive measures to achieve full compliance with the Clean Water Act and eliminate sanitary system overflows over an anticipated 12 year period. The injunctive measures to be undertaken by Fort Smith include conducting a comprehensive inspection of its collection system for condition defects, increasing capacity of sewer lines, where needed, repairing, rehabilitating or replacing sewer lines with significant defects, upgrading