

State	County	Fee/Acre/Yr	State	County	Fee/Acre/Yr	State	County	Fee/Acre/Yr
West Virginia	Okanogan	22.51	Wyoming	Bayfield	61.21	Montana	Crook	9.83
	Pacific	61.53		Brown	110.63		Fremont	15.06
	Pend Oreille	56.07		Buffalo	72.44		Goshen	9.81
	Pierce	273.64		Burnett	72.21		Hot Springs ..	12.07
	San Juan	161.26		Calumet	107.78		Johnson	6.35
	Skagit	125.17		Chippewa	70.21		Laramie	7.97
	Skamania	163.50		Clark	70.55		Lincoln	18.86
	Snohomish	200.63		Columbia	105.66		Natrona	5.49
	Spokane	43.62		Crawford	73.22		Niobrara	6.20
	Stevens	25.87		Dane	122.14		Park	13.64
	Thurston	158.72		Dodge	103.43	Platte	10.06	
	Wahkiakum ..	73.96		Door	95.06	Sheridan	12.92	
	Walla Walla ..	31.99		Douglas	57.91	Sublette	16.65	
	Whatcom	207.55		Dunn	78.98	Sweetwater ..	2.94	
	Whitman	20.71		Eau Claire	78.92	Teton	30.00	
	Yakima	28.39		Florence	66.65	Uinta	9.67	
	Barbour	47.34		Fond du Lac	99.63	Washakie	9.37	
	Berkeley	192.99		Forest	54.30	Weston	7.28	
	Boone	44.49		Grant	88.82			
	Braxton	46.45		Green	100.73			
	Brooke	47.25		Green Lake ..	98.10			
	Cabell	72.72		Iowa	92.86			
	Calhoun	44.60		Iron	53.59			
	Clay	47.80		Jackson	72.97			
	Doddridge	42.38		Jefferson	114.01			
	Fayette	59.04		Juneau	80.70			
	Gilmer	42.10		Kenosha	143.54			
	Grant	67.05		Kewaunee	98.55			
	Greenbrier	69.53		La Crosse	81.72			
	Hampshire	137.67		Lafayette	99.26			
	Hancock	78.78		Langlade	72.07			
	Hardy	87.85		Lincoln	72.07			
	Harrison	59.99		Manitowoc	98.53			
	Jackson	56.94		Marathon	77.12			
	Jefferson	195.67		Marinette	75.90			
	Kanawha	78.63		Marquette	86.54			
	Lewis	48.84		Menominee ..	33.11			
	Lincoln	42.73		Milwaukee	203.43			
	Logan	81.80		Monroe	83.72			
	McDowell	64.02		Oconto	84.00			
	Marion	61.11		Oneida	80.30			
	Marshall	53.82		Outagamie	104.31			
	Mason	64.17		Ozaukee	134.97			
	Mercer	63.48		Pepin	77.09			
	Mineral	79.87		Pierce	94.24			
	Mingo	28.41		Polk	81.38			
Monongalia ..	79.47	Portage	97.03					
Monroe	66.10	Price	54.95					
Morgan	124.91	Racine	134.88					
Nicholas	58.29	Richland	79.46					
Ohio	61.06	Rock	113.62					
Pendleton	61.29	Rusk	65.47					
Pleasants	60.10	St. Croix	107.52					
Pocahontas ..	58.46	Sauk	95.71					
Preston	68.89	Sawyer	83.94					
Putnam	68.69	Shawano	86.88					
Raleigh	66.21	Sheboygan	109.13					
Randolph	57.63	Taylor	65.55					
Ritchie	48.20	Trempealeau ..	73.73					
Roane	49.93	Vernon	83.69					
Summers	57.77	Vilas	140.27					
Taylor	63.27	Walworth	122.22					
Tucker	55.61	Washburn	77.00					
Tyler	54.17	Washington	143.63					
Upshur	61.29	Waukesha	154.69					
Wayne	52.21	Waupaca	91.11					
Webster	56.82	Waushara	99.63					
Wetzel	44.40	Winnebago	96.98					
Wirt	46.71	Wood	84.82					
Wood	65.81	Wyoming	7.74					
Wyoming	39.22	Albany	14.42					
Wisconsin	Adams	Campbell	6.41					
	Ashland	Carbon	5.16					
	Barron	Converse	5.66					

[FR Doc. 2015-00429 Filed 1-16-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[Docket No. USCG-2015-0008]

Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Mississippi River, Sabula, IA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of deviation from drawbridge regulations.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard has issued a temporary deviation from the operating schedule that governs the Sabula Railroad Drawbridge across the Mississippi River, mile 535.0, at Sabula, Iowa. This deviation is necessary for scheduled maintenance on the bridge. This deviation allows the bridge to open on signal if at least 24 hours advance notice is given.

DATES: This deviation is effective from 4 p.m. January 9, 2015, until 9 a.m. March 1, 2015.

ADDRESSES: The docket for this deviation, (USCG-2015-0008) is available at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Type the docket number in the "SEARCH" box and click "SEARCH." Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this deviation. You may also visit the Docket Management Facility in Room W12-140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation, West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this temporary

deviation, call or email Eric A. Washburn, Bridge Administrator, Western Rivers, Coast Guard; telephone 314-269-2378, email Eric.Washburn@uscg.mil. If you have questions on viewing the docket, call Cheryl F. Collins, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202-366-9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Canadian Pacific Railroad requested a temporary deviation for the Sabula Railroad Drawbridge, across the Upper Mississippi River, mile 535.0, at Sabula, Iowa to open on signal if at least 24 hours advance notice is given for 51 days from 4 p.m., January 9, 2015, to 9 a.m., March 1, 2015 for scheduled maintenance on the bridge. The Sabula Railroad Drawbridge currently operates in accordance with 33 CFR 117.5, which states the general requirement that drawbridge shall open on signal.

There are no alternate routes for vessels transiting this section of the Upper Mississippi River.

Winter conditions on the Upper Mississippi River coupled with the closure of Army Corps of Engineer's Lock No. 12 (Mile 556.7 UMR) and Lock No. 13 (Mile 522.5 UMR) from 7:30 a.m. December 15, 2015 until 11 a.m., March 4, 2015 will preclude any significant navigation demands for the drawspan opening.

The Sabula Railroad Drawbridge, in the closed-to-navigation position, provides a vertical clearance of 18.1 feet above normal pool. Navigation on the waterway consists primarily of commercial tows and recreational watercraft and will not be significantly impacted. This temporary deviation has been coordinated with waterway users. No objections were received.

In accordance with 33 CFR 117.35(e), the drawbridge must return to its regular operating schedule immediately at the end of the effective period of this temporary deviation. This deviation from the operating regulations is authorized under 33 CFR 117.35.

Dated: January 9, 2015.

Eric A. Washburn,

Bridge Administrator, Western Rivers.

[FR Doc. 2015-00712 Filed 1-16-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

34 CFR Parts 75 and 77

RIN 1855-AA10

[Docket ID ED-2014-OII-0116]

Direct Grant Programs and Definitions That Apply to Department Regulations

AGENCY: Department of Education.

ACTION: Final regulations.

SUMMARY: On August 13, 2013, the Department of Education (the Department) published final regulations in the **Federal Register** to amend the Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR). On October 22, 2014, the Department published a notice of proposed rulemaking to make additional amendments to EDGAR. In this document, the Department amends EDGAR to add a definition of "What Works Clearinghouse Evidence Standards" (WWC Evidence Standards) in our regulations to standardize references to this term. In addition, the Department amends the definition of "large sample" in our regulation. We also make technical edits to our regulations to improve the consistency and clarity of the regulations. Finally, we redesignate a section of our regulations and include in that redesignated section an additional provision that allows the Secretary to give special consideration to projects supported by evidence of promise.

DATES: These regulations are effective February 19, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Allison Moss, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Room 4W319, Washington, DC 20202. Telephone: (202) 205-7726 or by email: allison.moss@ed.gov.

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) or text telephone (TTY), call the Federal Relay Service (FRS), toll free, at 1-800-877-8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In this document, we amend EDGAR to standardize a term and improve the consistency and clarity of our regulations.

Summary of the Major Provisions of This Regulatory Action: The Department revises EDGAR to include a definition for "What Works Clearinghouse Evidence Standards," provide the Secretary the flexibility to establish a separate competition for or award competitive preference to discretionary grant applications supported by evidence of promise, and revise certain definitions to improve clarity.

References to the WWC Handbook

The Department adds a definition of "What Works Clearinghouse Evidence Standards" to 34 CFR part 77. This definition incorporates the most recent version of the What Works Clearinghouse (WWC) Procedures and Standards Handbook (WWC Handbook), Version 3.0, which was made public in

March 2014. Instead of continuing to separately cite the WWC Handbook in various provisions of parts 75 and 77, we add, to part 77, a single definition of the WWC Evidence Standards that incorporates the current version of the WWC Handbook, and then include that defined term, as applicable, throughout parts 75 and 77.

The WWC Handbook, first published in 2008, documents the systematic review process and the standards by which the WWC reviews studies. Version 3.0 of the WWC Handbook significantly expands the examples used to illustrate how the WWC Evidence Standards are applied in various contexts. Although previous versions of the WWC Handbook focused on only one WWC product—the intervention report—Version 3.0 includes information on several additional WWC products, including practice guides, single-study reviews, and quick reviews.

By adding a definition of "WWC Evidence Standards" and updating the applicable references throughout 34 CFR parts 75 and 77 to incorporate the most recent version of the WWC Handbook, the Department will provide more effective guidance to applicants and grantees as they design and implement rigorous evaluations of their projects.

Special Consideration for Discretionary Grant Applications Demonstrating "Evidence of Promise"

These final regulations amend § 75.266 and redesignate it as § 75.226. Previously, this section provided that the Secretary may give special consideration, through establishing a separate competition or awarding competitive preference, to discretionary grant applications supported by strong evidence of effectiveness or moderate evidence of effectiveness. In our experience using evidence in discretionary grant competitions, we have learned it is beneficial to also include in 34 CFR 75.266 (which we have redesignated as 34 CFR 75.226) a provision for giving special consideration to applications supported by evidence of promise, which is a less rigorous standard, because evidence of effectiveness in the education field continues to develop. By including evidence of promise in newly redesignated 34 CFR 75.226, we allow more flexibility to discretionary grant programs oriented towards supporting evidence-based projects.

Definition of "Evidence of Promise"

We amend the definition of "evidence of promise" to replace the reference to "quasi-experimental study" with