subdivided volume feature in GOTHIC showed that the structural limits on the block wall between the ESF SWGR rooms would be substantially exceeded.

- There has been no structured and detailed review of the licensing requirements for HELB.

On May 14, 2012, the petitioner and the licensee met with the NRC’s Petition Review Board. The meeting provided the petitioner and the licensee an opportunity to provide additional information and to clarify issues cited in the petition. On November 15, 2012, the petitioner and the licensee again met with the NRC’s Petition Review Board at the request of the Petition Review Board. The transcripts of these meetings were treated as supplements to the petition and are available in the ADAMS (Accession Nos. ML 12145A633 and ML 12347A354, respectively).

The NRC sent a copy of the proposed director’s decision to the petitioner and the licensee for comment on June 18, 2014. The petitioner and the licensee were asked to provide comments within 30 days on any part of the proposed director’s decision that was considered to be erroneous or any issues in the petition that were not addressed. The staff did not receive any comments on the proposed director’s decision.

The Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has determined that the request, to require immediate shutdown of Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2, and Byron Station, Units 1 and 2, until all turbine building (TB) high-energy line break (HELB) concerns were identified and those important to safety were corrected be denied. The Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has determined to partially grant the petition in that the licensing basis requirements for high energy line break were reviewed during the review of the application for the Braidwood/Byron measurement uncertainty recapture uprate, which was completed in the February 7, 2014 (ADAMS accession No. ML13281A0000). The reasons for this decision are explained in the director’s decision NRC–2012–0203 pursuant to Section 2.206 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) of the Commission’s regulations.

The NRC will file a copy of the director’s decision with the Secretary of the Commission for the Commission’s review in accordance with 10 CFR 2.206. As provided by this regulation, the director’s decision will constitute the final action of the Commission 25 days after the date of the decision unless the Commission, on its own motion, institutes a review of the director’s decision in that time.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of December, 2014.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

William Dean,
Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2015–00569 Filed 1–12–15; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Temporary Emergency Committee of the Board of Governors: Sunshine Act Meeting

DATES AND TIMES: On January 6, 2015, members of the Temporary Emergency Committee of the Board of Governors of the United States Postal Service met in Washington, DC, via teleconference, and voted unanimously to move their previously announced January 7, 2015, meeting to January 6, 2015. In addition, the members voted to close the meeting to public observation and to revise the items to be considered. The Committee determined that no earlier public notice was possible.

MATTERS CONSIDERED:

1. Strategic Issues.
2. Pricing.
4. Governors’ Executive Session.

GENERAL COUNSEL CERTIFICATION: The General Counsel of the United States Postal Service certified that the meeting might be closed under the Government in the Sunshine Act.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Requests for information about the meeting should be addressed to the Secretary of the Board, Julie S. Moore, at 202–268–4800.

Julie S. Moore,
Secretary, Board of Governors.

[FR Doc. 2015–00506 Filed 1–9–15; 4:15 pm]
BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB’s estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.


Under section 3(f)(3) of the Railroad Retirement Act (RRA), the total monthly benefits payable to a railroad employee and his/her family are guaranteed to be no less than the amount which would be payable if the employee’s railroad service had been covered by the Social Security Act. This is referred to as the Social Security Overall Minimum Guarantee, which is prescribed in 20 CFR 229. To administer this provision, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) requires information about a retired employee’s spouse and child(ren) who would not be eligible for benefits under the RRA but would be eligible for benefits under the Social Security Act if the employee’s railroad service had been covered by that Act. The RRB obtains the required information by the use of Forms G–319, Statement Regarding Family and Earnings for Special Guaranty Computation, and G–320, Student Questionnaire for Special Guaranty Computation. One response is required of each respondent. Completion is required to obtain or retain benefits. The RRB proposes non-burden impacting editorial changes to Forms G–319 and G–320.

ESTIMATE OF ANNUAL RESPONDENT BURDEN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form number</th>
<th>Annual responses</th>
<th>Time (minutes)</th>
<th>Burden (hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G–319</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With assistance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>