

Malaysia under the relevant HTSUS subheadings.⁴⁰ Petitioner states that prior to 2009, there were virtually no imports of uncovered innerspring units from Malaysia to the United States.⁴¹ However, according to the chart, subject imports from Malaysia to the United States have steadily increased: 185,917 pieces were imported in 2009; 312,317 pieces were imported in 2010; 344,388 pieces were imported in 2011; 132,017 pieces were imported in 2012; and 52,051 pieces were imported in 2013.⁴² Petitioner claims that the lower overall entry quantities over the last two years are due to the previous anticircumvention inquiry filed by Petitioner in 2012.⁴³ Petitioner notes that quantities of imports after 2012, while not as high as the immediately preceding years, are still significant compared to before the *Order* was in place.⁴⁴

Furthermore, Petitioner contends that Malaysia's official import statistics indicated that imports from the PRC of one of the key components in innerspring units (*i.e.*, coils) have increased substantially since the *Order* was imposed.⁴⁵ Petitioner provided a chart of import data related to Malaysia's imports of coils from the PRC over the last several years, as well as the current year under HTS 7320.99.000 (other springs and leaves for springs, of iron/steel, kilograms ("kgs")). This chart shows an increase of imported coils from 2,995,519 kgs in 2007 to 11,972,478 kgs in 2011, and a gradual decrease to 5,218,789 kgs for the current year.⁴⁶ Again, Petitioner notes that imports have somewhat declined starting in 2012, which may be due to the Department's determination in the previous anticircumvention inquiry filed by Petitioner.⁴⁷ Nevertheless, Petitioner contends that imports of coils from the PRC remain higher than before the *Order* was in place.⁴⁸

(2) Increase of Subject Imports From the PRC to Malaysia After the Investigation Initiation

Petitioner did not provide any evidence regarding an increase in subject imports (*i.e.*, completed

uncovered innerspring units) from the PRC to Malaysia after the initiation of the investigation. However, as noted above, Petitioner provided information that imports of one of the key components of innerspring units from PRC to Malaysia increased significantly during this time.

F. Whether Action Is Appropriate To Prevent Evasion of the Order

Based on the information provided by Petitioner, and for the reasons provided in the analysis below, the Department determines that initiating an anticircumvention inquiry is appropriate to identify any potential evasion of the *Order*.

Analysis of the Request

Based on our analysis of Petitioner's circumvention inquiry request, the Department determines that Petitioner has satisfied the criteria under section 781(b)(1) of the Act to warrant an initiation of a formal circumvention inquiry.⁴⁹ In accordance with section 351.225(e) of the Department's regulations, the Department finds that the issue of whether a product is included within the scope of an order cannot be determined based solely upon the application and the descriptions of the merchandise. Accordingly, the Department will notify by mail all parties on the Department's scope service list of the initiation of a circumvention inquiry.

In accordance with section 351.225(l)(2) of the Department's regulations, if the Department issues a preliminary affirmative determination, we will then instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to suspend liquidation and require a cash deposit of estimated duties on the merchandise. This circumvention inquiry covers Goldon. If, within sufficient time, the Department receives a formal request from an interested party regarding potential circumvention of the *Order* by other Malaysian companies, we will consider conducting additional inquiries concurrently.

The Department will establish a schedule for questionnaires and comments on the issues. In accordance with section 351.225(f)(5) of the Department's regulations, the Department intends to issue its final determination within 300 days of the date of publication of this initiation, in accordance with section 781(f) of the Act. This notice is published in accordance with section 351.225(f) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: December 22, 2014.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2014-30658 Filed 12-30-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-827]

Certain Cased Pencils From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission; 2012-2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cased pencils (pencils) from the People's Republic of China (PRC).¹ The period of review (POR) is December 1, 2012, through November 30, 2013. This review covers two exporters of subject merchandise, Shandong Rongxin Import & Export Co., Ltd. (Rongxin) and Shanghai Foreign Trade Co., Ltd. (SFTC).

We preliminarily determine that Rongxin is not eligible for a separate rate, and, thus, remains part of the PRC-wide entity. In addition, we are rescinding the review with respect to SFTC. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise during the POR. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 31, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Kolberg, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1785.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order includes certain cased pencils from the PRC. The subject merchandise is

¹ See *Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Cased Pencils From the People's Republic of China*, 59 FR 66909 (December 28, 1994).

⁴⁰ *Id.*, at 17.

⁴¹ *Id.*, at 16.

⁴² *Id.*, at 17.

⁴³ *Id.*

⁴⁴ *Id.*

⁴⁵ *Id.*

⁴⁶ *Id.* Petitioner also provided a description of Malaysia's relevant HTS numbers. *Id.*, at Exhibit 7.

⁴⁷ *Id.*; see also *Reztec Final Determination*, 79 FR 3345 and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum. Petitioner did not submit any Malaysian import statistics regarding imports of helical wires and border rods from the PRC.

⁴⁸ *Id.*

⁴⁹ *Id.*, at 7-17.

currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheading 9609.1010. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice.²

Partial Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the *Initiation Notice*. On March 3, 2014, SFTC timely withdrew its request for a review of its exports.³ Accordingly, the Department is rescinding this administrative review with respect to SFTC.

Methodology

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). However, as we have preliminarily determined that Rongxin is not eligible for a separate rate, the Department has not calculated a margin for these preliminary results. For a full description of the analysis underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS).⁴ ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete

² See Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission: Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China; 2012–2013," dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

³ See letter from SFTC, "Withdrawal of Request: Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Cased Pencils from the PRC," dated March 3, 2014.

⁴ On November 24, 2014, Enforcement and Compliance changed the name of Enforcement and Compliance's AD and CVD Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS) to AD and CVD Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). The Web site location was changed from <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> to <http://access.trade.gov>. The Final Rule changing the references to the Regulations can be found at 79 FR 69046 (November 20, 2014).

version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Preliminary Results of Review

The Department preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist⁵:

Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
PRC-wide Rate	114.90

Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department intends to disclose to parties to this proceeding the preliminary separate rate analysis performed in reaching the preliminary results within five days of the date of publication of these preliminary results.⁶ Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results.⁷ Rebuttals to case briefs may be filed no later than five days after the deadline for filing case briefs and all rebuttal comments must be limited to comments raised in the case briefs.⁸ Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.⁹ Case and rebuttal briefs must be filed electronically via ACCESS.¹⁰

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice.¹¹ Hearing requests should contain the following information: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.¹²

⁵ As noted, Rongxin is not eligible for a separate rate.

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

⁷ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii).

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.303(b).

¹¹ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

¹² See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any briefs, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuing the final results of review, the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.¹³ The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of review.

If, in the course of this review, we reverse our determination and find that Rongxin is eligible for a separate rate, and Rongxin's weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis* (*i.e.*, 0.50 percent) in the final results of this review, we will calculate importer-specific (or customer-specific) *ad valorem* (or per-unit) assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales and the total entered value (or quantity) of those sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Specifically, the Department will apply the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Final Modification for Reviews*.¹⁴ Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.¹⁵

On October 24, 2011, the Department announced a refinement to its assessment practice in NME cases.¹⁶ Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during this review, but that entered under the case number of that exporter, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate. In addition, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case

¹³ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

¹⁴ See *Antidumping Proceeding: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8103 (February 14, 2012) (*Final Modification for Reviews*).

¹⁵ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

¹⁶ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694, 65694–95 (October 24, 2011).

number (*i.e.*, at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.¹⁷

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by sections 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Rongxin will be that established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, then zero cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity (114.90 percent); and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: December 12, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Order

4. Partial Rescission of Review
5. Discussion of the Methodology
 - a. Non-Market Economy Country
 - b. Separate Rate
6. Recommendation

EDITORIAL NOTE: FR Doc. 2014–30755 was originally supposed to publish in the issue of December 19, 2014, is correctly published in its entirety in the issue of December 31, 2014.

[FR Doc. 2014–30755 Filed 12–30–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505–01–D

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–985]

Xanthan Gum From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of 2013 Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (“the Department”) is conducting a new shipper review (“NSR”) of the antidumping duty order on xanthan gum from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”). The NSR covers Meihua Group International Trading (Hong Kong) Limited, Langfang Meihua Bio-Technology Co., Ltd., and Xinjiang Meihua Amino Acid Co., Ltd. (collectively, “Meihua”). The period of review (“POR”) is July 19, 2013, through December 31, 2013. The Department preliminarily determines that Meihua has not made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value. Interested parties are invited to comment on the preliminary results of this review.

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 31, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brandon Farlander, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0182.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The scope of the order covers dry xanthan gum, whether or not coated or blended with other products. Further, xanthan gum is included in this order regardless of physical form, including, but not limited to, solutions, slurries, dry powders of any particle size, or unground fiber. Merchandise covered by the scope of this order is classified in

the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (“HTS”) of the United States at subheading 3913.90.20. This tariff classification is provided for convenience and customs purposes; however, the written description of the scope is dispositive.¹

Preliminary Affiliation Determination

Based on the evidence presented in Meihua's questionnaire responses, we preliminarily find that Meihua Group International Trading (Hong Kong) Limited, Langfang Meihua Bio-Technology Co., Ltd., and Xinjiang Meihua Amino Acid Co., Ltd. are affiliated, pursuant to section 771(33)(F) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”). In addition, based on the information presented in the questionnaire responses, we preliminarily find that Meihua Group International Trading (Hong Kong) Limited, Langfang Meihua Bio-Technology Co., Ltd., and Xinjiang Meihua Amino Acid Co., Ltd. should be treated as a single company for the purposes of this review pursuant to section 19 CFR 351.401(f).²

Methodology

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214. The Department calculated export price in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Because the PRC is a nonmarket economy (“NME”) within the meaning of section 771(18) of the Act, the Department calculated normal value in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted with this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (“ACCESS”). ACCESS is available to registered users

¹ For a complete description of the Scope of the Order, see “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the 2013 Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review of Xanthan Gum from the People's Republic of China,” from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance (“Preliminary Decision Memorandum”), dated concurrently with this notice.

² See the memorandum from Brandon Farlander, International Trade Analyst, AD/CVD Operations Office IV to Abdelali Elouaradia, Director, AD/CVD Operations Office IV regarding “Xanthan Gum from the People's Republic of China: Affiliation and Single Company Status” dated concurrently with this notice.

¹⁷ *Id.*