

Lexington, Kentucky, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 21, 2012 through April 3, 2015, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on the date of certification through April 3, 2015, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 16th day of December 2014.

Michael W. Jaffe,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2014-30506 Filed 12-29-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 (“the Act”) and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or

threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than January 9, 2015.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than January 9, 2015.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-5428, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Michael W. Jaffe,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

APPENDIX

[22 TAA petitions instituted between 12/8/14 and 12/12/14]

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
85697	Allegany Technologies, The South Plant Operations (State/One-Stop).	Albany, OR	12/08/14	12/05/14
85698	General Motors Corporation (State/One-Stop)	Lansing, MI	12/08/14	12/05/14
85699	Fisher & Paykel Laundry Manufacturing, Inc. (Company)	Clyde, OH	12/08/14	12/05/14
85700	Sport Mart Inc. (Workers)	Charleston, WV	12/08/14	12/05/14
85701	Grammer Inc. (Company)	Hudson, WI	12/08/14	12/04/14
85702	JP Morgan Chase & Co (Workers)	Lowell, MA	12/08/14	11/05/14
85703	CareFusion Resources, LLC (Company)	Englewood, CO	12/09/14	12/08/14
85704	Performance Fibers (Company)	New Hill, NC	12/09/14	12/08/14
85705	Key Bank, NA (Workers)	Brooklyn, OH	12/09/14	12/07/14
85706	Quality Auto Electric, Inc. (State/One-Stop)	Knoxville, TN	12/10/14	12/09/14
85707	Covidien (Company)	Seneca, SC	12/10/14	12/09/14
85708	Luck-E-Strike (State/One-Stop)	Cassville, MO	12/10/14	12/09/14
85709	Brammo Inc. (State/One-Stop)	Talent, OR	12/11/14	12/10/14
85710	Hugo Boss Cleveland Inc (Union)	Brooklyn, OH	12/11/14	12/10/14
85711	GE Appliances and Lighting (State/One-Stop)	Dekalb, IL	12/11/14	12/10/14
85712	Turbomeca Manufacturing, LLC (Company)	Monroe, NC	12/11/14	12/10/14
85713	Surgical Specialties Corporation (State/One-Stop)	Reading, PA	12/11/14	12/10/14
85714	Superior Industries International (State/One-Stop)	Fayetteville, AR	12/11/14	12/10/14
85715	Vermont Circuits, Inc. (State/One-Stop)	Brattleboro, VT	12/12/14	12/11/14
85716	Flextronics (State/One-Stop)	West Chester, PA	12/12/14	12/11/14
85717	Green Diamond Resource Company (Union)	Korbel, CA	12/12/14	12/09/14
85718	Osram Sylvania (State/One-Stop)	Danvers, MA	12/12/14	12/10/14

[FR Doc. 2014-30510 Filed 12-29-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor

herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) number and alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) by (TA-W) number issued during the period of *December 8, 2014 through December 12, 2014.*

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for workers of a primary firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker

adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Section (a)(2)(A) all of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. the sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely; and

C. increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

II. Section (a)(2)(B) both of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to a foreign country of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by such firm or subdivision; and

C. One of the following must be satisfied:

1. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles is a party to a free trade agreement with the United States;

2. the country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles to a beneficiary country under the Andean Trade Preference Act, African Growth and Opportunity Act, or the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act; or

3. there has been or is likely to be an increase in imports of articles that are like or directly competitive with articles which are or were produced by such firm or subdivision.

Also, in order for an affirmative determination to be made for secondarily affected workers of a firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222(b) of the Act must be met.

(1) Significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) the workers' firm (or subdivision) is a supplier or downstream producer to a firm (or subdivision) that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance benefits and such supply or production is related to the article that was the basis for such certification; and

(3) either—

(A) the workers' firm is a supplier and the component parts it supplied for the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) accounted for at least 20 percent of the production or sales of the workers' firm; or

(B) a loss or business by the workers' firm with the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) contributed importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation.

In order for the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) for older workers, the group eligibility requirements of section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act must be met.

1. Whether a significant number of workers in the workers' firm are 50 years of age or older.

2. Whether the workers in the workers' firm possess skills that are not easily transferable.

3. The competitive conditions within the workers' industry (*i.e.*, conditions within the industry are adverse).

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

None.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of section 222(a)(2)(A) (increased imports) and section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

85,546, *Boston Scientific Corporation, San Clemente, California. September 22, 2013.*

85,600, *Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Suffern, New York. October 15, 2013.*

85,623, *Republic Steel, Canton, Ohio. October 31, 2013.*

85,641, *Regal Beloit Corporation, Springfield, Missouri. November 10, 2013.*

85,643, *Oak-Mitsui Technologies, LLC, Hoosick Falls, New York. November 12, 2013.*

85,646, *Albea Thomaston, Inc. Thomaston, Connecticut. November 14, 2013.*

85,648, *DynaVox Systems, LLC, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. November 14, 2013.*

85,652, *Essilor Industries, Ponce, Puerto Rico. November 17, 2013.*

85,662, *Leonard & Harral Packing Company, Inc., San Antonio, Texas. November 14, 2013.*

85,663, *ITT Corporation—Interconnect Solutions, Santa Ana, California. October 18, 2014.*

85,664, *Mondi Bags USA, LLC, New Philadelphia, Ohio. November 13, 2013.*

85,667, *JDS Uniphase, Milpitas, California. June 27, 2014.*

85,667A, *Leased Workers From OPSEC Security, Milpitas, California. November 24, 2013.*

85,683, *Hamilton Sundstrand, Pratt & Whitney, San Diego, California. May 23, 2014.*

Negative Determinations for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, it has been determined that the requirements of 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) have not been met for the reasons specified.
None.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the eligibility criteria for worker adjustment assistance have not been met for the reasons specified.

Because the workers of the firm are not eligible to apply for TAA, the workers cannot be certified eligible for ATAA.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.A.) and (a)(2)(B)(II.A.) (employment decline) have not been met.

85,556, *Honeywell, Tempe, Arizona.*

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

85,677, *Hitachi Zosen Catalyst USA, LLC, Scottsboro, Alabama.*

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

83,367, *Pixel Playground, Inc.*,
Woodland Hills, California.
85,595, *Quad/Graphics, Woodstock,*
Illinois.
85,613, *Midair, USA Inc., Rome, New*
York.
85,619, *Oracle America, Inc.,*
Morrisville, North Carolina.
85,633, *Microsoft, Calabasas, California.*

Determinations Terminating Investigations of Petitions for Worker Adjustment Assistance

After notice of the petitions was published in the **Federal Register** and on the Department's Web site, as required by section 221 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2271), the Department initiated investigations of these petitions.

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued because the petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn.

85,675, *Hewlett Packard Company,*
Corvallis, Oregon.
85,690, *Apex Tool Group, LLC.,*
Garland, Texas.

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued in cases where these petitions were not filed in accordance with the requirements of 29 CFR 90.11. Every petition filed by workers must be signed by at least three individuals of the petitioning worker group. Petitioners separated more than one year prior to the date of the petition cannot be covered under a certification of a petition under section 223(b), and therefore, may not be part of a petitioning worker group. For one or more of these reasons, these petitions were deemed invalid.

85,673, *Quantum Foods, Bolingbrook,*
Illinois.
85,681, *Atmel Corporation, Colorado*
Springs, Colorado.
85,692, *Honeywell, Canton,*
Massachusetts.

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued because the petitioning groups of workers are covered by active certifications. Consequently, further investigation in these cases would serve no purpose since the petitioning group of workers cannot be covered by more than one certification at a time.

85,658, *SMC Electrical Products, Inc.,*
Delta, Colorado.
I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of *December 8, 2014 through December 12, 2014.* These determinations are available on the Department's Web site *www.tradeact/ta/ta_search_form.cfm* under the searchable listing of determinations or by calling the Office of Trade

Adjustment Assistance toll free at 888–365–6822.

Signed at Washington DC, this 22nd day of December 2014.

Michael W. Jaffe,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2014–30512 Filed 12–29–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Notice and Request for Comments on the National Science Foundation (NSF) Implementation of Proposed NSF Management Fee Policy

AGENCY: National Science Foundation.

ACTION: Notice and Request for Comments on the National Science Foundation (NSF) Implementation of Proposed NSF Management Fee Policy.

SUMMARY: The payment of a small but appropriate management fee has been a long standing practice at the National Science Foundation (NSF) in limited circumstances related to the construction and operation of major facility projects. NSF is strengthening both the criteria used to establish such management fees and the controls that may be necessary to ensure that uses of fees are consistent with those established criteria. These efforts have resulted in a revised policy that we are providing here for public comment.

DATES: Comments on the proposed NSF Management Fee are welcome before February 13, 2015. Comments will be useful in shaping the agency's implementation. All comments received before the close of the comment period will be available for public inspection, including any personally identifiable or confidential business information that is included. Because they will be made public, comments should not include any sensitive information. Please send written comments regarding the management fee policy to Suzanne Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Rm. 1265, Arlington, VA 22230, or by email to *splimpto@nsf.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Suzanne Plimpton on (703) 292–7556 or send email to *splimpto@nsf.gov*. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339, which is accessible 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days a year (including federal holidays).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following proposed NSF Management

Fee Policy can be found in the NSF Large Facilities Manual:

Section 4.2.2.2 *Management Fee*
Management fee is an amount of money paid to a recipient in excess of a cooperative agreement's or cooperative support agreement's allowable costs. Generally, NSF does not pay profit or fee to organizations under financial assistance, except for the specific exception of profits to commercial organizations performing Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) or Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR) work. However, a management fee may be authorized for awards to non-profit organizations in the limited circumstance of construction or operation of a large facility under an NSF assistance award when the organization has limited or no other financial resources to cover certain ordinary and necessary business expenses that may not be reimbursable under the governing cost principles. When requested and justified by an awardee and subsequently authorized by NSF, management fee will be paid once negotiated by the NSF Grants and Agreements Officer. Any amount negotiated shall be expressly set forth in the terms and conditions of the award.

NSF recognizes the following criteria for the negotiation and award of management fee:

- Working capital necessary to fund operations under an award
- Facilities capital necessary to acquire assets for performance
- Amounts for other expenses that are ordinary and necessary for business operations but that are not otherwise reimbursable under the governing cost principles

Amounts for working capital may be necessary to ensure a level of retained earnings available to the organization in order to secure credit and borrowing to assure the financial health of the organization. An amount for facilities capital may be necessary to allow the organization to acquire major assets and to address expenses that require immediate substantive financial outlays but that are only reimbursed through depreciation or amortization over a period of years. Amounts for other expenses that are ordinary and necessary but not otherwise reimbursable can provide a reasonable allowance for management initiative and investments that will directly or indirectly benefit NSF. Examples of potential appropriate needs include contract terminations and losses, certain appropriate educational and public outreach activities, and providing financial incentives to obtain and retain high caliber staff. Amounts for this