

in section 12(d)(1)(A) of the Act, except to the extent the Fund acquires securities of another investment company pursuant to exemptive relief from the Commission permitting the Fund to acquire securities of one or more investment companies for short-term cash management purposes.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, under delegated authority.

Kevin M. O'Neill,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014-30436 Filed 12-29-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Rel. No. IA-3990/803-00214]

William E. Simon & Sons, LLC; New Vernon Advisors, Inc.; Notice of Application

December 22, 2014.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission").

ACTION: Notice of application for an exemptive order under section 202(a)(11)(H) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 ("Advisers Act").

APPLICANT: William E. Simon & Sons, LLC and New Vernon Advisors, Inc. (together, the "Applicant").

RELEVANT ADVISERS ACT SECTIONS: Exemption requested under section 202(a)(11)(H) of the Advisers Act from section 202(a)(11) of the Advisers Act.

SUMMARY: *Summary of Application:* The Applicant requests that the Commission issue an order declaring it to be a person not within the intent of Section 202(a)(11) of the Advisers Act, which defines the term "investment adviser."

DATES: *Filing Dates:* The application was filed on June 20, 2012; an amended application was filed on April 1, 2014, August 13, 2014, November 12, 2014, and December 16, 2014.

HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING: An order granting the application will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission's Secretary and serving the Applicant with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on January 16, 2015, 2014, and should be accompanied by proof of service on the Applicant, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Pursuant to Rule 0-5 under the Advisers Act, hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, any facts bearing upon the

desirability of a hearing on the matter, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons may request notification of a hearing by writing to the Commission's Secretary.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549. The Applicant, William E. Simon & Sons, LLC and New Vernon Advisors, Inc., c/o James E. Anderson, WilmerHale, 1875 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael S. Didiuk, Senior Counsel, at (202) 551-6839 or Holly L. Hunter-Ceci, Branch Chief, at (202) 551-6825 (Division of Investment Management, Chief Counsel's Office).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission's Web site either at <http://www.sec.gov/rules/iareleases.shtml> or by searching for the file number, or for an applicant using the Company name box, at <http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm>, or by calling (202) 551-8090.

The Applicant's Representations

1. The Applicant is a multi-generational single-family office that provides services to the family and descendants of William E. Simon. The Applicant is wholly-owned by Family Clients and is exclusively controlled (directly and indirectly) by one or more Family Members and/or Family Entities in compliance with Rule 202(a)(11)(G)-1 (the "Family Office Rule"). For purposes of the application, the term "Simon Family" means the lineal descendants of William E. Simon, their spouses, and all of the persons and entities that qualify as Family Clients as defined in paragraph (d)(4) of the Family Office Rule. Capitalized terms herein have the same meaning as defined in the Family Office Rule.

2. The Applicant provides both advisory and non-advisory services (collectively, "Services"). Any Service provided by the Applicant that relates to investment advice about securities or may otherwise be construed as advisory in nature is considered an "Advisory Service."

3. The Applicant represents that: (i) Other than the exception discussed in representation 4 below, each of the persons served by the Applicant is a Family Client, *i.e.*, the Applicant has no investment advisory clients other than Family Clients as required by paragraph (b)(1) of the Family Office Rule; (ii) the Applicant is owned and controlled in a manner that complies in all respects

with paragraph (b)(2) of the Family Office Rule; and (iii) the Applicant does not hold itself out to the public as an investment adviser as required by paragraph (b)(3) of the Family Office Rule. At the time of the application, the Applicant represents that Family Members account for approximately 89 percent of the natural persons to whom the Applicant provides Advisory Services.

4. The Applicant provides Services to the sibling of a former spouse of William E. Simon's lineal descendant ("Former Sister-in-Law") as well as a private foundation funded exclusively by this sibling (collectively, the "Additional Family Client"). The Applicant represents that if the Former Sister-in-Law were a Family Client, the related foundation would meet the requirements of (d)(4)(v) of the Family Office Rule.

5. The Additional Family Client does not have an ownership interest in the Applicant. The Applicant represents that the assets beneficially owned by Family Members and/or Family Entities (excluding the Additional Family Client's Family Entity) make up at least 75 percent of the total assets for which the Applicant provides Advisory Services.

6. The Applicant represents that the Additional Family Client has important familial ties to and is an integral part of the Simon Family. The Applicant maintains that including the Additional Family Client in the "family" simply recognizes and memorializes the familial ties and intra-familial relationships that already exist, and have existed for at least 26 years while the assets of the Additional Family Client were managed by the Simon Family.

The Applicant's Legal Analysis

1. Section 202(a)(11) of the Advisers Act defines the term "investment adviser" to mean "any person who, for compensation, engages in the business of advising others, either directly or through publications or writings, as to the value of securities or as to the advisability of investing in, purchasing, or selling securities, or who, for compensation and as part of a regular business, issues or promulgates analyses or reports concerning securities. . . ."

2. The Applicant falls within the definition of an investment adviser under Section 202(a)(11). The Family Office Rule provides an exclusion from the definition of investment adviser for which the Applicant would be eligible but for the provision of Services to the Additional Family Client. Section 203(a) of the Advisers Act requires investment

advisers to register with the SEC. Because the Applicant has regulatory assets under management of more than \$100 million, it is not prohibited from registering with Commission under Section 203A(a) of the Advisers Act. Therefore, absent relief, the Applicant would be required to register under Section 203(a) of the Advisers Act.

3. The Applicant submits that its relationship with the Additional Family Client does not change the nature of the office into that of a commercial advisory firm. In support of this argument, the Applicant notes that if the Former Sister-in-Law were the spouse of a lineal descendant, rather than the sibling of a former spouse of a lineal descendant, there would be no question that each of the persons presently being served by the office would be a Family Member, and that the related foundation would meet the requirements of paragraph (d)(4)(v) of the Family Office Rule pertaining to charitable foundations. The Applicant states that in requesting the order, the office is not attempting to expand its operations or engage in any level of commercial activity to which the Advisers Act is designed to apply. Indeed, although the Additional Family Client does not fall within the definition of Family Member, she is considered to be, and treated as, a member of the Simon Family and the number of natural persons who are not Family Members as a percentage of the total natural persons to whom the office would provide Advisory Services if relief were granted would be only approximately 11 percent. The Applicant maintains that, from the perspective of the Simon Family, the Applicant seeks to continue providing Advisory Services exclusively to members of a single family.

4. The Applicant also submits that there is no public interest in requiring the Applicant to be registered under the Advisers Act. The Applicant states that the office is a private organization that was formed to be the “family office” for the Simon Family, and that the office does not have any public clients. The Applicant maintains that the office’s Advisory Services are tailored exclusively to the needs of the Simon Family and the Additional Family Client. The Applicant argues that the presence of the Additional Family Client, who has been receiving Advisory Services from the office for 26 years, does not create any public interest that would require the office to be registered under the Advisers Act that is different in any manner than the considerations that apply to a “family office” that complies in all respects with the Family Office Rule.

5. The Applicant argues that, although the Family Office Rule largely codified the exemptive orders that the Commission had previously issued before the enactment of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, the Commission recognized in proposing the rule that the exact representations, conditions, or terms contained in every exemptive order could not be captured in a rule of general applicability. The Commission noted that family offices would remain free to seek a Commission exemptive order to advise an individual or entity that did not meet the proposed family client definition, and that certain situations may raise unique conflicts and issues that are more appropriately addressed through an exemptive order process where the Commission can consider the specific facts and circumstances, than through a rule of general applicability. The Applicant maintains that its unusual circumstances—providing Services to Family Clients and to an Additional Family Client for the past 26 years—have not changed the nature of the office’s operations into that of a commercial advisory business, and that an exemptive order is appropriate based on the Applicant’s specific facts and circumstances.

6. For the foregoing reasons, the Applicant requests an order declaring it to be a person not within the intent of Section 202(a)(11) of the Advisers Act. The Applicant submits that the order is necessary and appropriate, in the public interest, consistent with the protection of investors, and consistent with the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Advisers Act.

The Applicant’s Conditions

1. The Applicant will offer and provide Advisory Services only to Family Clients and to the Additional Family Client, who will generally be deemed to be, and be treated as if she and the related foundation were, a Family Client; provided, however, that the Additional Family Client will be deemed to be, and treated as if she were, a Family Member for purposes of paragraph (b)(1) and for purposes of paragraph (d)(4)(vi) of the Family Office Rule.

2. The Applicant will at all times be wholly owned by Family Clients and exclusively controlled (directly or indirectly) by one or more Family Members and/or Family Entities (excluding the Additional Family Client’s Family Entity) as defined in paragraph (d)(5) of the Family Office Rule.

3. At all times the assets beneficially owned by Family Members and/or Family Entities (excluding the Additional Family Client’s Family Entity) will account for at least 75 percent of the assets for which the Applicant provides Advisory Services.

4. The Applicant will comply with all the terms for exclusion from the definition of investment adviser under the Advisers Act set forth in the Family Office Rule except for the limited exception requested by this Application.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, under delegated authority.

Kevin M. O’Neill,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014–30435 Filed 12–29–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. IC-31388; File No. 812-14403]

Royal Bank of Canada, et al.; Notice of Application and Temporary Order

December 19, 2014.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”).

ACTION: Temporary order and notice of application for a permanent order under section 9(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (“Act”).

SUMMARY OF APPLICATION: Applicants have received a temporary order (“Temporary Order”) exempting them from section 9(a) of the Act, with respect to an injunction entered against Royal Bank of Canada (“RBC”) on December 18, 2014 by the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (“Court”), in connection with a consent order between RBC and the United States Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“CFTC”), until the Commission takes final action on an application for a permanent order (the “Permanent Order,” and with the Temporary Order, the “Orders”). Applicants also have applied for a Permanent Order.

APPLICANTS: RBC, RBC Europe Limited (“RBC EL”), RBC Capital Markets Arbitrage, S.A. (“CMA”), RBC Global Asset Management (U.S.) Inc. (“GAM US”), BlueBay Asset Management LLP (“BlueBay LLP”), BlueBay Asset Management USA LLC (“BlueBay USA”), and RBC Global Asset Management (UK) Limited (“GAM UK”) (each an “Applicant” and collectively, the “Applicants”).

DATES: *Filing Date:* The application was filed on December 19, 2014.