

## ESTIMATE OF BURDEN FOR EACH FORM—Continued

Survey instrument	Burden estimate per survey (in minutes)	Number of surveys (times/yr.)	Number of respondents per survey	Total estimated number of respondents	Total annual hour burden
Totals .....	.....	.....	.....	6,141	2,044

In addition, there are an estimated 1,575 number of contacts who will not respond. These non-respondents account for 13 total burden hours per year.

### III. Request for Comments

We invite comments concerning this information collection on:

(a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of our functions, including whether the information will have practical use;

(b) The accuracy of our burden estimate for the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(c) Ways to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(d) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents.

We will summarize written responses to this notice and address them in our submission for OMB approval. As a result of your comments, we will make any necessary adjustments to the burden in our submission to OMB.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Reclamation will display a valid OMB control number on the forms.

### IV. Public Disclosure of Comments

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: December 16, 2014.

**Karl Stock,**

*Acting Director, Policy and Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2014–30294 Filed 12–24–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4332–90–P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Reclamation

[RR83550000, 145R5065C6,  
RX.59389832.1009676]

### Change in Discount Rate for Water Resources Planning

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of change.

**SUMMARY:** The Water Resources Planning Act of 1965 and the Water Resources Development Act of 1974 require an annual determination of a discount rate for Federal water resources planning. The discount rate for Federal water resources planning for fiscal year 2015 is 3.375 percent. Discounting is to be used to convert future monetary values to present values.

**DATES:** This discount rate is to be used for the period October 1, 2014, through and including September 30, 2015.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Max Millstein, Bureau of Reclamation, Reclamation Law Administration Division, Denver, Colorado 80225; telephone: 303–445–2853.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given that the interest rate to be used by Federal agencies in the formulation and evaluation of plans for water and related land resources is 3.375 percent for fiscal year 2015.

This rate has been computed in accordance with Section 80(a), Pub. L. 93–251 (88 Stat. 34), and 18 CFR 704.39, which: (1) Specify that the rate will be based upon the average yield during the preceding fiscal year on interest-bearing marketable securities of the United States which, at the time the computation is made, have terms of 15 years or more remaining to maturity (average yield is rounded to nearest one-eighth percent); and (2) provide that the rate will not be raised or lowered more than one-quarter of 1 percent for any year. The U.S. Department of the Treasury calculated the specified average to be 3.3378 percent. This rate, rounded to the nearest one-eighth percent, is 3.375 percent, which is a change of less than the allowable one-

quarter of 1 percent. Therefore, the fiscal year 2015 rate is 3.375 percent.

The rate of 3.375 percent will be used by all Federal agencies in the formulation and evaluation of water and related land resources plans for the purpose of discounting future benefits and computing costs or otherwise converting benefits and costs to a common-time basis.

Dated: November 20, 2014.

**Roseann Gonzales,**

*Director, Policy and Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2014–30426 Filed 12–24–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4332–90–P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under CERCLA

On December 15, 2014, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Riverview Trenton Railroad Company, Civ. Action No. 2:14-cv-14707-PDB-MJH*.

Riverview Trenton Railroad Company (“RTRR”) owns property that was formerly part of the McLouth Steel facility located near Detroit, Michigan (“RTRR Site”). The proposed settlement resolves the United States’ claims against RTRR under Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9607, for recovery of response costs incurred at the RTRR Site. Under the proposed Consent Decree, RTRR will pay \$675,000.00 to resolve the Government’s claims.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Riverview Trenton Railroad Company, Civ. Action No. 2:14-cv-14707-PDB-MJH*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–11–3–10709. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By e-mail .....	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail .....	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: [http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent\\_Decrees.html](http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html). We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$5.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

**Randall M. Stone,**

*Acting Assistant Section Chief,  
Environmental Enforcement Section,  
Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2014–30331 Filed 12–24–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–15–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Comment Request for Information Collection for the Evaluation of Grants Serving Young Offenders; New Collection

**AGENCY:** Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) [44 U.S.C. 3505(c)(2)(A)]. PRA helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of the collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed.

Currently, the Employment and Training Administration (ETA) is soliciting comments concerning the

information collection activities associated with the random assignment evaluation of ETA-funded grants serving young offenders, specifically, the Face Forward Grants (FFG) Rounds I and II; and the High-Poverty, High-Crime Communities Grants (HPHCG). These grants are aimed at serving young adult offenders, juvenile offenders, and students in high-risk high schools; and provide specific supports and interventions, such as enrollment in school or job training programs as well as access to housing, the availability of adult mentors, mental health services, and supporting social services through referrals. The objective of the evaluation is to determine whether these grants improve youth educational and employment outcomes, and reduce recidivism.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addresses section below on or before February 27, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit written comments to Gloribel Nieves-Cartagena, Office of Policy Development and Research, Room N–5641, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210. Telephone number: 202–693–2771 (this is not a toll-free number). Individuals with hearing or speech impairments may access the telephone number above via TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Information Relay Service at 1–877–889–5627 (TTY/TDD). Fax: 202–693–2766. Email: [nieves-cartagena.gloribel@dol.gov](mailto:nieves-cartagena.gloribel@dol.gov). A copy of the proposed information collection request with applicable supporting documentation including a description of likely respondents, proposed frequency of responses, and estimated total burden can be obtained free of charge by contacting the office listed above.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **I. Background**

For those leaving incarceration, overcoming the barriers to successful reentry may mean the difference between living a healthy and fulfilling life in a community and facing instability and potential homelessness. Understanding the ways Federal programs and systems interact with the formerly incarcerated is critical to helping individuals overcome these barriers. Additionally, it is important that employers and job seekers understand the facts around the role of a criminal record in making hiring decisions.

The Department assists young offenders in finding employment and

making a smooth transition to community life through employment-centered programs that include mentoring, job training, and other transitional services implemented by local faith-based and community organizations in collaboration with the American Job Centers.

In Program Years 2012 and 2013, the Department awarded \$120 million in funds to implement projects aimed at serving young adult offenders, juvenile offenders, and students in high-risk high schools. The FFG and the HPHCG programs are holistic program models offering a full complement of services including educational programs, vocational and employment programs, and mentoring. In addition, the programs target the same youth outcomes-educational attainment, improved labor market outcomes, and reduced recidivism.

Understanding the effectiveness of these Department of Labor-funded youth offender programs requires a rigorous evaluation that can address potential biases resulting from fundamental differences between program participants and nonparticipants. ETA has contracted with Mathematica Policy Research and its subcontractor, Social Policy Research Associates, to conduct (1) a random assignment evaluation to measure the impact of the youth offender programs, and (2) a process study to understand program implementation and help interpret impact study results.

The proposed evaluation will include two data collection efforts: (1) A request for youth consent to participate in the random assignment study in the Contact Information Form; and (2) baseline and contact information collected for the random assignment evaluation, in the Baseline Information Form.

Understanding the effectiveness of youth offender programs requires data collection from multiple sources. This evaluation effort intends to collect a rich set of baseline, service, and outcome data on treatment and control group members. The Baseline Information Form will enable the evaluators to describe the characteristics of study participants at the time they are randomly assigned to the treatment or control group, ensure that random assignment was conducted properly, create subgroups for the analysis, provide contact information to locate individuals for follow-up surveys, and improve the precision of the impact estimates.

##### **II. Desired Focus of Comments**

The Department is particularly interested in comments which: