

(4) appurtenant facilities. The proposed project would have an estimated annual generating capacity of 3,241 megawatt-hours. A qualifying conduit hydropower facility is one that is determined or deemed to meet all of the criteria shown in the table below.

TABLE 1—CRITERIA FOR QUALIFYING CONDUIT HYDROPOWER FACILITY

Statutory provision	Description	Satisfies (Y/N)
FPA 30(a)(3)(A), as amended by HREA	The conduit the facility uses is a tunnel, canal, pipeline, aqueduct, flume, ditch, or similar manmade water conveyance that is operated for the distribution of water for agricultural, municipal, or industrial consumption and not primarily for the generation of electricity.	Y
FPA 30(a)(3)(C)(i), as amended by HREA	The facility is constructed, operated, or maintained for the generation of electric power and uses for such generation only the hydroelectric potential of a non-federally owned conduit.	Y
FPA 30(a)(3)(C)(ii), as amended by HREA	The facility has an installed capacity that does not exceed 5 megawatts	Y
FPA 30(a)(3)(C)(iii), as amended by HREA	On or before August 9, 2013, the facility is not licensed, or exempted from the licensing requirements of Part I of the FPA.	Y

Preliminary Determination: Based upon the above criteria, Commission staff preliminarily determines that the proposal satisfies the requirements for a qualifying conduit hydropower facility, which is not required to be licensed or exempted from licensing.

Comments and Motions to Intervene: Deadline for filing comments contesting whether the facility meets the qualifying criteria is 45 days from the issuance date of this notice.

Deadline for filing motions to intervene is 30 days from the issuance date of this notice.

Anyone may submit comments or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210 and 385.214. Any motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified deadline date for the particular proceeding.

Filing and Service of Responsive Documents: All filings must (1) bear in all capital letters the “COMMENTS CONTESTING QUALIFICATION FOR A CONDUIT HYDROPOWER FACILITY” or “MOTION TO INTERVENE,” as applicable; (2) state in the heading the name of the applicant and the project number of the application to which the filing responds; (3) state the name, address, and telephone number of the person filing; and (4) otherwise comply with the requirements of sections 385.2001 through 385.2005 of the Commission’s regulations.¹ All comments contesting Commission staff’s preliminary determination that the facility meets the qualifying criteria must set forth their evidentiary basis.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filing. Please file motions to intervene and comments using the Commission’s eFiling system at [http://](http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling.asp)

www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling.asp. Commenters can submit brief comments up to 6,000 characters, without prior registration, using the eComment system at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/ecomment.asp>. You must include your name and contact information at the end of your comments. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, (866) 208-3676 (toll free), or (202) 502-8659 (TTY). In lieu of electronic filing, please send a paper copy to: Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426. A copy of all other filings in reference to this application must be accompanied by proof of service on all persons listed in the service list prepared by the Commission in this proceeding, in accordance with 18 CFR 4.34(b) and 385.2010.

Locations of Notice of Intent: Copies of the notice of intent can be obtained directly from the applicant or such copies can be viewed and reproduced at the Commission in its Public Reference Room, Room 2A, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426. The filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/elibrary.asp> using the “eLibrary” link. Enter the docket number (e.g., CD15-16-000) in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, call toll-free 1-866-208-3676 or email FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov. For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

Dated: December 10, 2014.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014-29540 Filed 12-16-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL14-37-000]

PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.; Supplemental Notice of Technical Conference

As announced in a Notice issued on October 31, 2014, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) will hold a technical conference on Wednesday, January 7, 2015. The technical conference will explore whether: 1) PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.’s (PJM) Financial Transmission Rights (FTR) forfeiture rule as it applies to Up-to Congestion (UTC) transactions and virtual (INC/DEC) transactions is just and reasonable; and 2) PJM’s current uplift allocation associated with UTC transactions and INCs/DECs is just and reasonable. The technical conference will commence at 9:00 a.m. and conclude at 4:30 p.m. and be held at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. This technical conference is free of charge and open to the public. Commission members may participate in the technical conference.

The agenda and a list of participants for this technical conference are attached.

Those who plan to attend the technical conference are encouraged to complete the registration form located at: <https://www.ferc.gov/whats-new/registration/01-07-15-form.asp>. There is no registration deadline.

The technical conference will be transcribed. Transcripts of the technical conference will be available for a fee from Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. (202-347-3700 or 1-800-336-6646). Additionally, there will be a free

¹ 18 CFR 385.2001-2005 (2014).

Webcast of the technical conference. The webcast will allow persons to listen to the technical conference but not participate. Anyone with Internet access who wants to listen to the technical conference can do so by navigating to the Calendar of Events at www.ferc.gov, locating the technical conference in the Calendar, and clicking on the webcast link. The Capitol Connection provides technical support for the Webcast and offers the option of listening to the meeting via phone-bridge for a fee. If you have any questions, visit www.CapitolConnection.org or call 703-993-3100.

While this technical conference is not for the purpose of discussing specific cases, the technical conference may address matters at issue in the following, related Commission

proceeding that is pending: ER13-1654-001.

Commission technical conferences are accessible under section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. For accessibility accommodations, please send an email to accessibility@ferc.gov or call toll free (866) 208-3372 (voice) or (202) 502-8659 (TTY), or send a fax to (202) 208-2106 with the requested accommodations.

For more information about the technical conference, please contact:

Sarah McKinley (Logistical Information), Office of External Affairs, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 502-8368, sarah.mckinley@ferc.gov.

Carmen Gastilo Machuga (Legal Information), Office of the General

Counsel, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 502-8657, carmen.gastilo@ferc.gov.

William Sauer (Technical Information), Office of Energy Policy and Innovation, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 502-6639, william.sauer@ferc.gov.

Cathleen Colbert (Technical Information), Office of Enforcement, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 502-8997, cathleen.colbert@ferc.gov.

Dated: December 10, 2014.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.



Technical Conference on Financial Transactions in PJM

Docket No. EL14-37-000

January 7, 2015

Agenda

The technical conference will explore whether: (1) PJM's FTR forfeiture rule as it applies to UTC transactions and INCs/DECs is just and reasonable; and (2) PJM's current uplift allocation associated with UTC transactions and INCs/DECs is just and reasonable. Presentations will be allowed at the beginning of each Panel. Any presentations should be narrowly confined to the topics discussed in this agenda and should be no longer than five minutes. Presentations should primarily focus on factual background. Presentations and discussions should be confined to proposals for addressing these issues within PJM.

9:00am-9:15am Welcome and Opening Remarks

9:15am-12:00pm Panel 1: FTR Forfeiture Rule Goals and Designs (with a 15 minute break)

Panel 1 will explore PJM's FTR forfeiture rule as it applies to INCs/DECs and UTC transactions. In the context of applying the rule to these products, the

Panel will discuss: (1) the goals of the FTR forfeiture rule; and (2) different ways of structuring the FTR forfeiture rule's design.

During the discussion on goals, Panelists should be prepared to address the following:

- The FTR forfeiture rule was intended to address potential market abuse.¹ The market abuse in question was trading to create artificial congestion in the day-ahead market that influenced the value of FTRs, conduct which may be a violation of the Anti-Manipulation Rule after its implementation in 2006. INCs/DECs and UTC transactions may provide value to the system by improving price convergence. Given these two priorities, is it possible to design an effective rule that addresses market abuse yet does not discourage legitimate virtual trading that can contribute to price convergence?

¹ December 22, 2000 filing of PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Docket No. ER01-773-000 at 2 ("The purpose of the modifications is to address concerns . . . that an entity can purchase FTRs in the monthly FTR auction and then enter Increment and Decrement Bids in the Day-ahead Market so as to create congestion and artificially (continued . . .) increase the value of its FTRs.")

- Examples of how INCs/DECs and UTC transactions influence the value of FTRs

- Behaviors to be discouraged or encouraged through the FTR forfeiture rule

During the discussion on different ways of structuring the FTR forfeiture rule design, Panelists should be prepared to address the structural components of an effective rule, including:

- In which way, if at all, should transactions be aggregated to determine the effect on congestion? In determining the effect on congestion, should the FTR forfeiture rule consider each market participant's portfolio of transactions? If so, is this approach technically feasible?

- In which way, if at all, should the FTR forfeiture rule assess INCs/DECs and UTC transactions that are intended to relieve congestion to benefit the value of counter-flow FTRs?

- At what threshold should the flow impact on a transmission constraint's limit trigger the forfeiture? What are the possible implications of implementing an overly strict rule versus a rule that may fail to identify all instances of potentially manipulative behavior?

- How, if at all, should the rule treat INCs/DECs and UTC transactions

differently under various rule designs? For instance, should different injection/withdrawal points be utilized? Should different forfeiture thresholds be used?

Panelists:

- Andrew Hartshorn, Boston Energy Trading and Marketing
- Noha Sidhom, Inertia Power, LP
- Harry Singh, J. Aron & Company
- Joseph Bowring, Monitoring Analytics
- Stu Bresler, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

12:00pm–1:00pm Lunch

1:00pm–4:15pm Panel 2: Uplift Causation and Allocation (with a 15 minute break)

Panel 2 will explore the circumstances under which INCs/DECs and UTC transactions may cause uplift in PJM and, if so, how INCs/DECs and UTC transactions should be allocated uplift charges. In the context of assessing PJM's uplift allocation, the Panel will discuss: (1) the extent to which uplift may be caused by INCs/DECs and UTC transactions; and (2) different ways to potentially allocate uplift to INCs/DECs and UTC transactions.

During the discussion on uplift causation, Panelists should be prepared to address the following:

- How, if at all, do INCs/DECs and UTC transactions cause uplift?
- In which way, if at all, is uplift caused by INCs/DECs and UTC transactions associated with congestion, divergences between day-ahead and real-time physical energy requirements, or other positions held by each market participant?

• Are there methods available to accurately and dynamically determine any uplift that may be caused by INCs/DECs and UTC transactions?

During the discussion on uplift allocation, Panelists should be prepared to address the following:

- The status of PJM's Energy Market Uplift Senior Task Force.
- What principle(s) should be followed if and when allocating uplift to INCs/DECs and UTC transactions? For instance, one potential solution is that uplift costs should be strictly allocated based on cost causation determinations. Other potential solutions may be guided by simplicity, predictability, or multiple objectives. What new, if any, uplift allocation rules should be implemented based on this principle(s)?
- Under which, if any, circumstances should INCs/DECs and UTC

transactions be offset by other transactions to limit uplift allocation exposure?

Panelists:

- Abram Klein, Appian Way Energy Partners
- William Hogan, Harvard University, speaking on behalf of Financial Marketers Coalition
- Joseph Bowring, Monitoring Analytics
- Adam Keech, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
- David Patton, Potomac Economics, Ltd.
- Wesley Allen, Red Wolf Energy Trading, L.L.C.
- Stephanie Staska, Twin Cities Power Holdings, L.L.C.
- Michael McNair, Yes Energy

4:15pm–4:30pm Closing

[FR Doc. 2014–29543 Filed 12–16–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA–HQ–OPP–2010–0014; FRL–9920–12]

Product Cancellation Order for Certain Pesticide Registrations

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces EPA's order for the cancellations, voluntarily requested by the registrants and accepted by the Agency, of the products listed in Table 1 of Unit II., pursuant to the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). This cancellation order follows an April 11, 2014 **Federal Register** Notice of Receipt of Requests from the registrants listed in Table 2 of Unit II. to voluntarily cancel these product registrations. In the April 11, 2014 **Federal Register** notice, EPA indicated that it would issue an order implementing the cancellations, unless the Agency received substantive comments within the 180 day comment period that would merit its further review of these requests, or unless the registrants withdrew their requests. The Agency received comments on the April 11, 2014 **Federal Register** notice but none merited its further review of the requests. Further, the registrants did not withdraw their requests. Accordingly, EPA hereby issues in this notice a cancellation order granting the requested cancellations. Any

distribution, sale, or use of the products subject to this cancellation order is permitted only in accordance with the terms of this order, including any existing stocks provisions.

DATES: The cancellations are effective December 17, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janeese Hackley, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division (7508P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (703) 605–1523; email address: hackley.janeese@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

This action is directed to the public in general, and may be of interest to a wide range of stakeholders including environmental, human health, and agricultural advocates; the chemical industry; pesticide users; and members of the public interested in the sale, distribution, or use of pesticides. Since others also may be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action.

B. How can I get copies of this document and other related information?

The docket for this action, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA–HQ–OPP–2010–0014, is available at <http://www.regulations.gov> or at the Office of Pesticide Programs Regulatory Public Docket (OPP Docket) in the Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), West William Jefferson Clinton Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566–1744, and the telephone number for the OPP Docket is (703) 305–5805. Please review the visitor instructions and additional information about the docket available at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

II. What action is the agency taking?

This notice announces the cancellation, as requested by registrants, of products registered under FIFRA section 3 (7 U.S.C. 136a). These registrations are listed in sequence by registration number in Table 1 of this unit.