

10.115(g)(5)), to ensure that FDA considers your comment on this draft CPG before it begins work on the final version of the CPG, submit either electronic or written comments on the draft CPG by February 17, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit written requests for single copies of the draft CPG to the Office of Policy and Risk Management, Office of Regulatory Affairs, Office of Global Regulatory Operations and Policy, Food and Drug Administration, 12420 Parklawn Dr., Rockville, MD 20857. Send two self-addressed adhesive labels to assist that office in processing your request. See the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for electronic access to the CPG.

Submit electronic comments on the draft CPG to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Submit written comments on the draft CPG to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mary E. Losikoff, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-325), Food and Drug Administration, 5100 Paint Branch Pkwy., College Park, MD 20740, 240-402-2300.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **I. Background**

We are announcing the availability of the draft CPG entitled “Compliance Policy Guide Sec. 540.275 Crabmeat—Fresh and Frozen—Adulteration with Filth, Involving the Presence of *Escherichia coli*.” The draft CPG, when finalized, will update the previously issued “CPG Sec. 540.275 Crabmeat—Fresh and Frozen—Adulteration with Filth, Involving the Presence of the Organism *Escherichia coli*,” which provides guidance for FDA staff on the level of *E. coli* in crabmeat (*i.e.*, 3.6 Most Probable Number per gram (MPN/g) of *E. coli*) at which FDA may consider the crabmeat to be adulterated with filth under section 402(a)(4) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the FD&C Act) (21 U.S.C. 342(a)(4)). We revised the CPG for clarity and to update the format. Revisions generally include the addition of sections on Background and Policy, updates to the sections on Regulatory Action Guidance and Specimen Charges, and FDA office names. Specifically, in the section on Regulatory Action Guidance, we clarify that FDA’s Districts have direct reference authority for both domestic seizure and import refusal based on the criteria described in the draft CPG. We also clarify the specific types of legal action to which the criteria for recommendations apply. In addition, we

provide specimen charges relating to domestic seizure and import refusal. The draft CPG also contains information that may be useful to the regulated industry and to the public.

We are issuing the draft CPG consistent with our good guidance practices regulation (21 CFR 10.115). The draft CPG, when finalized, will represent our current thinking on the level of *E. coli* in fresh or frozen crabmeat at which we may consider the crabmeat to be adulterated with filth under section 402(a)(4) of the FD&C Act.

The draft CPG does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. An alternate approach may be used if such approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations.

##### **II. Comments**

Interested persons may submit either electronic comments regarding the draft CPG to <http://www.regulations.gov> or written comments to the Division of Dockets Management (see **ADDRESSES**). It is only necessary to send one set of comments. Identify comments with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received comments may be seen in the Division of Dockets Management between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, and will be posted to the docket at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

##### **III. Electronic Access**

Persons with access to the Internet may obtain the document from FDA’s Office of Regulatory Affairs CPG history page at <http://www.fda.gov/ICECI/ComplianceManuals/CompliancePolicyGuidanceManual/default.htm> or <http://www.regulations.gov>. Use the FDA Web site listed in the previous sentence to find the most current version of the guidance.

Dated: December 10, 2014.

**Melinda K. Plaisier,**

*Associate Commissioner for Regulatory Affairs, Office of Regulatory Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 2014-29314 Filed 12-15-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4164-01-P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

### **Food and Drug Administration**

[Docket No. FDA-2014-N-1697]

#### **Privacy Act of 1974; Report of a New System of Records; Food and Drug Administration Commissioning of State and Local Officials; Correction**

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is correcting a notice that appeared in the **Federal Register** of December 8, 2014. The document misstated the effective date of the new system of records. This notice corrects that error.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joyce Strong, Regulations Editorial Section, Regulations Policy and Management Staff, Office of Policy, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002, 301-796-9148.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The December 8, 2014 (79 FR 72687) notice published with an incorrect effective date of December 8, 2014, for the new system of records. This document corrects that error. For the convenience of the reader, the complete **DATES** language is set out below.

In 79 FR 72687, published on December 8, 2014, we are correcting the **DATES** section to read as follows:

**DATES: Effective Date:** The new system of records and related routine uses will be effective on January 22, 2015. Submit either electronic or written comments by January 22, 2015.

Dated: December 10, 2014.

**Leslie Kux,**

*Associate Commissioner for Policy.*

[FR Doc. 2014-29424 Filed 12-15-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4164-01-P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

### **National Institutes of Health**

#### **Submission for OMB Review; 30-Day comment request; Generic Clearance for Satisfaction Surveys of Customers (CSR)**

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of Section 3507(a)(1)(D) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for review and approval of the information collection

listed below. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on August 21, 2014, page 49523 and allowed 60 days for public comment. No public comments were received. The purpose of this notice is to request an additional 30 days for public comment and reinstatement without change. The Center for Scientific Review (CSR), National Institutes of Health, may not conduct or sponsor, and the respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection that has been extended, revised, or implemented on or after October 31, 2014, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

**Direct Comments to OMB:** Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the: Office of Management and Budget, Office of Regulatory Affairs, *OIRA\_submission@omb.eop.gov* or by fax to 202-395-6974, Attention: NIH Desk Officer.

**DATES: Comment Due Date:** Comments regarding this information collection are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30 days of the date of this publication.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To obtain a copy of the data collection

plans and instruments, submit comments in writing, or request more information on the proposed project contact: Dr. Mary Ann Guadagno, Project Clearance Liaison, Center for Scientific Review, NIH, Room 3182, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892, or call non-toll-free number (301) 435-1251 or Email your request, including your address to: *guadagma@csr.nih.gov*. Formal requests for additional plans and instruments must be requested in writing.

**Proposed Collection:** Generic Clearance for Satisfaction Surveys of Customers (CSR), 0925-0474 expired October 31, 2014-reinstatement without change, Center for Scientific Review (CSR), National Institutes of Health (NIH).

**Need and Use of Information Collection:** The information collected in these surveys will be used by the Center for Scientific Review management and personnel: (1) To assess the quality of the modified operations and processes now used by CSR to review grant applications; (2) to assess the quality of service provided by CSR to our customers; (3) to enable identification of the most promising biomedical research that will have the greatest impact on improving public health by using a peer review process that is fair unbiased from

outside influence, timely; and (4) to develop new modes of operation based on customer need and customer feedback about the efficacy of implemented modifications. These surveys will almost certainly lead to quality improvement activities to enhance and/or streamline CSR's operations. The major mechanism by which CSR will request input is through surveys. The major initiatives ongoing at the present time include: Evaluation of the peer review process, surveys of new and early stage investigators, satisfaction with study section meetings using alternative review platforms, quick feedback for peer review, satisfaction with new reviewer orientation sessions, teleworker space needs, improving study section alignment to ensure the best reviews, and others. Surveys will be collected via Internet or in focus groups. Information gathered from these surveys will be presented to, and used directly by, CSR management to enhance the operations, processes, organization of, and services provided by the Center.

OMB approval is requested for 3 years. There are no costs to respondents other than their time. The total estimated annualized burden hours are 4323.

**ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS**

Form name	Type of respondent	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hours)	Total annual burden hours
A .....	Adult scientific professionals (via Mail/Telephone/Internet) ..	7925	1	30/60	3963
B .....	Adult scientific professionals (via focus groups) .....	240	1	90/60	360

Dated: December 10, 2014.

**Mary Ann Guadagno,**  
Project Clearance Liaison, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc. 2014-29460 Filed 12-15-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4140-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**National Institutes of Health**

**Government-Owned Inventions; Availability for Licensing**

**AGENCY:** National Institutes of Health, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The inventions listed below are owned by an agency of the U.S. Government and are available for

licensing in the U.S. in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR part 404 to achieve expeditious commercialization of results of federally-funded research and development. Foreign patent applications are filed on selected inventions to extend market coverage for companies and may also be available for licensing.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Licensing information and copies of the U.S. patent applications listed below may be obtained by writing to the indicated licensing contact at the Office of Technology Transfer, National Institutes of Health, 6011 Executive Boulevard, Suite 325, Rockville, Maryland 20852-3804; telephone: 301-496-7057; fax: 301-402-0220. A signed Confidential Disclosure Agreement will be required to receive copies of the patent applications.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Technology descriptions follow.

**Microscopy System for Distinguishing Stimulated Emissions as a Means of Increasing Signal**

**Description of Technology:** The invention pertains to a system and method for distinguishing stimulated emissions as a means of enhancing signal strength of fluorescent markers in fluorescence microscopy applications. The system is arranged such that an excitation beam (e.g., laser beam) illuminates a sample along some axis exciting the fluorescent markers used in the sample. A second light beam, a stimulation beam, illuminates the sample along another axis, possibly the same as that of the excitation beam. It has been found that if the excited fluorescent molecules are illuminated with light of a stimulation beam at a