

of publication of notice in the **Federal Register**.

As discussed above, OCC filed Amendment No. 1 to describe the proposed change to Article IV, Section 1 of OCC's By-Laws to reflect OCC's Board of Directors' decision that the President should not be a Management Director. Specifically, OCC is amending Article IV, Section 1 of its By-Laws to refer only to the Executive Chairman, and not the President, as a Management Director. Amendment No. 1 provides the Commission with clarifying information about how OCC is implementing and providing transparency about the Transition Plan. By allowing OCC to implement the proposed changes, as amended, on an accelerated basis, OCC will be able to implement the Transition Plan sooner, which should allow OCC to manage and govern OCC more efficiently and effectively.

Accordingly, the Commission finds good cause to approve the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1, on an accelerated basis.

#### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

##### *Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-OCC-2014-18 on the subject line.

##### *Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-OCC-2014-18. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than

those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of OCC. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-OCC-2014-18 and should be submitted on or before January 2, 2015.

#### V. Conclusion

On the basis of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the proposal is consistent with the requirements of the Act and in particular with the requirements of Section 17A of the Act<sup>8</sup> and the rules and regulations thereunder.

*It is therefore ordered*, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,<sup>9</sup> that the proposed rule change (SR-OCC-2014-18), as modified by Amendment No. 1, be, and it hereby is, approved on an accelerated basis.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>10</sup>

**Kevin M. O'Neill**,

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2014-29110 Filed 12-11-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-73782; File No. SR-EDGX-2014-32]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; EDGX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Related to Fees for Use of EDGX Exchange, Inc.

December 8, 2014.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on November

<sup>8</sup> In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>9</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>10</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

26, 2014, EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "EDGX") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange has designated the proposed rule change as one establishing or changing a member due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange under Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act<sup>3</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(2) thereunder,<sup>4</sup> which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange filed a proposal to amend the fee schedule applicable to Members<sup>5</sup> of the Exchange pursuant to EDGX Rules 15.1(a) and (c) ("Fee Schedule"). Changes to the Fee Schedule pursuant to this proposal are effective upon filing.

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange's Web site at <http://www.directedge.com>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

##### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

###### 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Fee Schedule to amend: (i) The criteria

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>4</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

<sup>5</sup> The term "Member" is defined as "any registered broker or dealer, or any person associated with a registered broker or dealer, that has been admitted to membership in the Exchange. A Member will have the status of a "member" of the Exchange as that term is defined in Section 3(a)(3) of the Act." See Exchange Rule 1.5(n).

for the Retail Order Tier under Footnote 4; and (ii) the first two bullets regarding added and removal flags under the General Notes section to include Flags EA, ER, and 5, which include in [sic] internalized volume.

#### Retail Order Tier

The Exchange currently provides a rebate of \$0.0032 per share for Retail Orders<sup>6</sup> that yield Flag ZA and add liquidity. The Exchange currently offers a Retail Order Tier under Footnote 4 whereby Members are provided a rebate of \$0.0034 per share if they: (i) Add an Average Daily Volume<sup>7</sup> (“ADV”) of Retail Orders yielding Flag ZA that is 0.10% or more of the Total Consolidated Volume<sup>8</sup> (“TCV”) on a daily basis, measured monthly; and (ii) have an “added liquidity” to “added to removed liquidity” ratio of at least 85%. The Exchange proposes to ease the criteria to satisfy this tier by: (i) Lowering the requirement that a Member have an average daily volume of Retail Orders of 0.10% or more of the TCV on a daily basis, measured monthly, to 0.07% or more of the TCV on a daily basis, measured monthly; and (ii) deleting the requirement that a Member have an “added liquidity” to “added to removed liquidity” ratio of at least 85%. The Exchange believes easing the criteria to satisfy the Retail Order Tier will attract more Retail Orders to the Exchange.

#### Added and Removal Flags

The General Notes section of the Fee Schedule includes two bullets that contain the list of applicable “added flags” and “removal flags” that may be considered when calculating whether a Member satisfied a certain pricing tier. The Exchange appends Flags EA, ER,

and 5 to orders that inadvertently match against each other and share the same MPID (Member shares both sides of the trade). The Exchange proposes to amend the first bullet regarding added flags to include Flag EA, which covers internalized trades that add liquidity. The Exchange also proposes to amend the second bullet regarding removal flags to include Flag ER, which covers internalized trades that remove liquidity. Lastly, the Exchange proposes to amend both the first and second bullets to include Flag 5, which covers internalized trades that add or remove liquidity during the pre and post market sessions. The Exchange also proposes to add Footnote 10 to state that a Member’s monthly volume attributed to Flag 5 will be divided evenly between the added flags and removal flags when determining whether that Member satisfied a certain tier. The Exchange proposes to divide a Member’s Flag 5 volume as such because the Exchange’s systems cannot currently delineate orders yielding Flag 5 that added from those that removed liquidity for purposes of determining whether a Member satisfies a certain tier.

#### Implementation Date

The Exchange proposes to implement these amendments to its Fee Schedule on December 1, 2014.

#### 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the objectives of Section 6 of the Act,<sup>9</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4),<sup>10</sup> in particular, as it is designed to provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among its Members and other persons using its facilities. The Exchange also notes that it operates in a highly-competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive. The proposed rule change reflects a competitive pricing structure designed to incent market participants to direct their order flow to the Exchange. The Exchange believes that the proposed rates are equitable and non-discriminatory in that they apply uniformly to all Members. The Exchange believes the fees and credits remain competitive with those charged by other venues and therefore continue to be reasonable and equitably allocated to Members.

#### Retail Order Tier

The Exchange believes that easing the criteria required to achieve the Retail Order Tier is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because it would continue to encourage Members to send additional Retail Orders that add liquidity to the Exchange for execution in order to qualify for an incrementally higher rebate for such executions that add liquidity. The potential for increased volume from Retail Orders would increase potential revenue to the Exchange, and allow the Exchange to spread its administrative and infrastructure costs over a greater number of shares, leading to lower per share costs. These lower per share costs in turn would allow the Exchange to pass on the savings to Members in the form of lower fees. The increased liquidity benefits all investors by deepening EDGX’s liquidity pool, offering additional flexibility for all investors to enjoy cost savings, supporting the quality of price discovery, promoting market transparency and improving investor protection. The Exchange notes that a significant percentage of the orders of individual investors are executed over-the-counter.<sup>11</sup> The Exchange believes that it is thus appropriate to continue to create a financial incentive to bring more retail order flow to a public market, such as the Exchange, over off-exchange venues. The Exchange believes that investor protection and transparency is promoted by rewarding displayed liquidity on exchanges over off-exchange executions. In this regard, the Exchange believes that maintaining or increasing the proportion of Retail Orders in exchange-listed securities that are executed on a registered national securities exchange (rather than relying on certain available off-exchange execution methods) would contribute to investors’ confidence in the fairness of their transactions and would benefit all investors by deepening the Exchange’s liquidity pool, supporting the quality of price discovery, promoting market

<sup>6</sup> Exchange Rule 11.21(a) defines a “Retail Order,” in part, as an: (i) An agency order or riskless principal order that meets the criteria of FINRA Rule 5320.03 that originates from a natural person; (ii) is submitted to EDGX by a Member, provided that no change is made to the terms of the order; and (iii) the order does not originate from a trading algorithm or any other computerized methodology.

<sup>7</sup> ADV is defined in the Exchange’s Fee Schedule “as the average daily volume of shares that a Member executed on, or routed by, the Exchange for the month in which the fees are calculated. ADV is calculated on a monthly basis, excluding shares on any day that the Exchange’s system experiences a disruption that lasts for more than 60 minutes during Regular Trading Hours (‘Exchange System Disruption’), on any day with a scheduled early market close and on the last Friday in June (the ‘Russell Reconstitution Day’).”

<sup>8</sup> TCV is defined in the Exchange’s Fee Schedule “as the volume reported by all exchanges and trade reporting facilities to the consolidated transaction reporting plans for Tapes A, B and C securities for the month in which the fees are calculated, excluding volume on any day that the Exchange experiences an Exchange System Disruption, on any day with a scheduled early market close or the Russell Reconstitution Day.”

<sup>9</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f.

<sup>10</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

<sup>11</sup> See Concept Release on Equity Market Structure, Securities Exchange Act Release No. 61358 (January 14, 2010), 75 FR 3594 (January 21, 2010) (noting that dark pools and internalizing broker-dealers executed approximately 25.4% of share volume in September 2009). See also Mary L. Schapiro, Strengthening Our Equity Market Structure (Speech at the Economic Club of New York, Sept. 7, 2010) (available on the Commission’s Web site). In her speech, Chairman Schapiro noted that nearly 30 percent of volume in U.S.-listed equities was executed in venues that do not display their liquidity or make it generally available to the public and the percentage was increasing nearly every month.

transparency and improving investor protection.

The Exchange believes that reducing the percentage of TCV required to achieve the Retail Order Tier from 0.10% to 0.07% for Members' Retail Orders that add liquidity (Flag ZA) is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because this percentage continues to be within a range that the Exchange believes would incentivize Members to submit Retail Orders to the Exchange in order to qualify for the applicable rebate of \$0.0034 per share. The Exchange notes that certain other existing pricing tiers within its Fee Schedule make rebates available to Members that are also based on the Member's level of activity as a percentage of TCV. These existing percentage thresholds, depending on other related factors and the level of the corresponding rebates, are both higher and lower [sic] than the 0.07% proposed herein.<sup>12</sup>

The Exchange also notes that the revisions to the Retail Order Tier, including removing the requirement that Members have an "added liquidity" to "added to removed liquidity" ratio of at least 85%, are reasonable in that NYSE Arca, Inc. ("NYSE Arca") offers a comparable Retail Order Tier (with an analogous Retail Order definition) that provides a rebate of \$0.0033 per share for its Retail Orders that provide liquidity on NYSE Arca in Tapes A, B and C securities for ETP Holders that execute an ADV of Retail Orders that is 0.20% or more of the TCV with no additional criteria.<sup>13</sup> In addition, The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC ("Nasdaq") recently proposed to offer its members a rebate of \$0.0034 per share for Designated Retail Orders, as defined by Nasdaq, where the member adds Customer and/or Professional liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options of 1.40% or more of national customer volume in multiply-listed equity and ETF options classes in a month as pursuant to Chapter XV, Section 2 of the Nasdaq Options Market rules.<sup>14</sup> Moreover, like

<sup>12</sup> See for example, the Market Depth Tier 1 Rebate (\$0.00325 per share rebate), Mega Step-Up Tier Rebate (\$0.0032 per share), Ultra Tier rebate (\$0.0031 per share rebate), and Investor Tier rebate (\$0.0032 per share rebate) that are all tied to a percentage of TCV.

<sup>13</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 69134 (March 14, 2013), 78 FR 17247 (March 20, 2013) (SR-NYSEArca-2013-24). See also, NYSE Arca Equities, Inc., Schedule of Fees and Charges for Exchange Services, [https://usequities.nyx.com/sites/usequities.nyx.com/files/nyse\\_arca\\_marketplace\\_fees\\_3\\_1\\_13.pdf](https://usequities.nyx.com/sites/usequities.nyx.com/files/nyse_arca_marketplace_fees_3_1_13.pdf).

<sup>14</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 73648 (November 19, 2014) (SR-Nasdaq-2014-108). See also Nasdaq Price List available at <http://nasdaqtrader.com/Trader.aspx?id=PriceListTrading2>.

existing pricing on the Exchange and the NYSE that are tied to Member's volume levels as a percentage of TCV, the proposed Retail Order Tier continues to be equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because it is available to all Members on an equal and non-discriminatory basis.

#### Added and Removal Flags

The Exchange believes that its proposal to amend two bullets under the General Notes section of the Fee Schedule that contain the list of applicable "added flags" and "removal flags" are [sic] represents an equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among Members and other persons using its facilities. The Exchange appends Flag EA, ER, and 5 to buy and sell orders that inadvertently match against each other and share the same MPID (Member shares both sides of the trade). The Exchange also believes proposed Footnote 10 stating that a Member's monthly volume attributed to Flag 5 will be divided evenly between the added flags and removal flags when determining whether that Member satisfied a certain tier represents an equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges. The Exchange proposes to divide a Member's Flag 5 volume as such because Flag 5 includes both added and removed liquidity because the Exchange's systems cannot currently delineate orders yielding Flag 5 that added from those that removed liquidity purposes of determining whether a Member satisfies a certain tier. The Exchange believes that Members orders that yield Flags EA, ER, or 5 should be included in the calculation of the ADV threshold as added or removal flags for purposes of determining whether a tier's criteria has been met. Including such Flags would be a reasonable means to encourage Members to direct their orders to the Exchange because they would have certainty that certain orders will not be excluded from their ADV calculations because it inadvertently matched against an order sharing the same MPID. Lastly, the Exchange also believes that the proposed amendment is non-discriminatory because it applies uniformly to all Members.

#### B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

These proposed rule changes do not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange does not believe that any of these changes represent a significant departure from previous pricing offered by the Exchange or pricing offered by

the Exchange's competitors.

Additionally, Members may opt to disfavor EDGX's pricing if they believe that alternatives offer them better value. Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed changes will impair the ability of Members or competing venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets.

#### Retail Order Tier

Regarding the Retail Order Tier, the Exchange believes that its proposal to amend the criteria to achieve the tier will increase intermarket competition for Retail Orders because the proposed Retail Order Tier is comparable in price and criteria to NYSE Arca and Nasdaq's retail order tier.<sup>15</sup> In addition, the proposed rule change is in direct response to Nasdaq recently implementing a rebate for retail orders of \$0.0034 per share where the member adds Customer and/or Professional liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options of 1.40% or more of national customer volume in multiply-listed equity and ETF options classes in a month as pursuant to Chapter XV, Section 2 of the Nasdaq Options Market rules.<sup>16</sup> The Exchange believes that its proposal would neither increase nor decrease intramarket competition because the Retail Order Tier would continue to apply uniformly to all Members and the ability of some Members to meet the Retail Order Tier would only benefit other Members by contributing to increased retail liquidity on the Exchange.

#### Added and Removal Flags

The Exchange believes that adding orders yielding Flags EA, ER, and 5 to the "added flags" and "removal flags" would increase intermarket competition because it would encourage Members to direct their orders to the Exchange because they would have certainty that their orders will not be excluded from their ADV calculations because it inadvertently matched against an order sharing the same MPID. The Exchange believes that its proposal would neither increase nor decrease intramarket competition because the added and removal flags would continue to apply uniformly to all Members and the ability of some Members to meet the tiers

<sup>15</sup> See NYSE Arca, NYSE Arca Equities Trading Fees—Retail Order Tier, available at <http://usequities.nyx.com/markets/nyse-arca-equities/trading-fees> (last visited June 27, 2013). See also Nasdaq, Price List—Rebate to Add Displayed Designated Retail Liquidity, available at <http://www.nasdaqtrader.com/Trader.aspx?id=PriceListTrading2> (last visited June 27, 2013).

<sup>16</sup> See supra note 14.

would only benefit other Members by contributing to increased liquidity and improve market quality at the Exchange.

*C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others*

The Exchange has not solicited, and does not intend to solicit, comments on this proposed rule change. The Exchange has not received any unsolicited written comments from Members or other interested parties.

**III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act<sup>17</sup> and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.<sup>18</sup> At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

**IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

*Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-EDGX-2014-32 on the subject line.

*Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-EDGX-2014-32. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule

change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-EDGX-2014-32, and should be submitted on or before January 2, 2015.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>19</sup>

**Kevin M. O'Neill,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2014-29108 Filed 12-11-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

[Release No. 34-73776; File No. SR-CME-2014-29]

**Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Mercantile Exchange Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Make Clarifying Changes to CME Rule 814 and CME Rule 901**

December 8, 2014.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that, on November 26, 2014, Chicago Mercantile Exchange Inc. ("CME") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared primarily by CME. CME filed the proposal pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act,<sup>3</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(4)(ii)<sup>4</sup> thereunder, so that the proposal was effective upon filing with the

Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

**I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change**

CME is filing a proposed rule change that is limited to its business as a derivatives clearing organization. More specifically, the proposed rule change would make amendments to CME Rule 814 and CME Rule 901 to specify the time at which a settlement bank becomes responsible to the clearing house to perform variation margin settlement and the point during the clearing cycle at which a clearing member's obligations to the clearing house cease. The proposed revisions would not modify clearing house operations but merely clarify to the marketplace the clearing cycle currently in place.

**II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change**

In its filing with the Commission, CME included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. CME has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of these statements.

*A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change*

CME is registered as a derivatives clearing organization with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC") and operates a substantial business clearing futures and swaps contracts subject to the jurisdiction of the CFTC. CME is proposing to make amendments to CME Rule 814 and CME Rule 901 to specify the time at which a settlement bank becomes responsible to the clearing house to perform variation margin settlement and the point during the clearing cycle at which a clearing member's obligations to the clearing house cease. The proposed revisions would not modify clearing house operations but merely clarify to the marketplace the clearing cycle currently in place. CME notes that it has also made a corresponding filing with the CFTC, in Submission No. 14-280, regarding the proposed changes.

<sup>19</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>4</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(4)(ii).

<sup>17</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>18</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f).