

ACTION: Notice of availability, notice of comment period, and notice of opportunity for a public hearing.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA, 42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*) and Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR part 1500–1508), the Federal Aviation Administration announces the availability and request for comment on a Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (Draft SEIS) and Section 4(f) Evaluation for the Cal Black Memorial Airport. The Section 4(f) Evaluation was prepared pursuant to Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act of 1966 (recodified at 49 U.S.C. 303(c)).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before 45 days from the date of the publication of the Notice of Availability by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency in the **Federal Register**. Anyone interested in the project has up to 15 days from the date of EPA's publication of the Notice of Availability in the **Federal Register** to request a hearing.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the Draft SEIS may be viewed during regular business hours at the following locations:

1. Federal Aviation Administration Airports Division, Suite 315, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA 98057.

2. Federal Aviation Administration, Airports District Office, Suite 224, 26805 East 68th Avenue, Denver, CO 80249.

3. San Juan County Courthouse, County Executive Office, 117 S Main, Monticello, Utah 84535.

4. Web site: <http://halls.crossing.airportnetwork.com/>.

Written requests for the Draft SEIS and Section 4(f) Evaluation, submittal of comments on the documents, and requests for a public hearing can be submitted to the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janell Barrilleaux, Environmental Program Manager, Federal Aviation Administration Airports Division, Northwest Mountain Region, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA 98057. Mrs. Barrilleaux may be contacted during business hours at (425) 227–2611 (phone), (425) 227–1600 (fax), or via email at Janell.Barrilleaux@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Northwest Mountain Region of the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) as lead agency and the National Park Service (NPS) and Bureau of Land Management (BLM) as a cooperating agencies have prepared a Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact

Statement (Draft SEIS) and Section 4(f) Evaluation to address issues arising from the 1993 10th Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals Decision concerning the development of Cal Black Memorial Airport. The U.S. National Park Service (NPS) and Bureau of Land Management (BLM) are cooperating agencies, by virtue of their jurisdictional authority and/or resource management responsibilities. This Draft SEIS and Section 4(f) Evaluation does not involve any new development or project at the airport. The Cal Black Memorial Airport opened in April 1992.

Halls Crossing Airport was located within the boundary of the Glen Canyon National Recreation Area, a unit of the National Park Service (NPS). Due to safety issues with that airport, an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) was prepared concerning the development of a replacement airport. In 1990, the FAA issued a Draft and Final EIS for the development of a replacement Airport. In August 1990, the FAA issued a record of decision approving the development of Cal Black Memorial Airport. The FAA determined in the record of decision that the use of the BLM lands upon which the airport was built was reasonably necessary for the project. Accordingly, the BLM issued a Patent for the airport land to San Juan County on September 25, 1990. In reaching its approval, the FAA determined that no significant impacts would result from the new airport to the recreational experience of visitors to the recreational area.

In 1990, the National Parks and Conservation Association (NPCA), et al. brought suit against the FAA concerning the adequacy of the EIS and the adequacy of the BLM Plan Amendment and land transfer process. In its July 7, 1993, decision, the U.S. Court of Appeals, 10th Circuit, remanded the EIS decision back to the FAA and BLM for further environmental analysis of aircraft noise impacts to the recreational use of public lands and the BLM's plan amendment and transfer of land.

On November 17, 2008 the BLM issued the Monticello Field Office Record of Decision and Approved Resource Management Plan. The document provides guidance for the management of Federal lands administered by the BLM in San Juan County and a small portion of Grant County in southeast Utah and includes provisions for the disposal of the Cal Black Memorial Airport property.

Thus, the purpose of the Draft SEIS and Section 4(f) Evaluation is to address the requirements of the U.S. Court of Appeals findings. The scope of the Draft SEIS and Section 4(f) Evaluation

includes: (1) The measurement of actual aircraft noise levels in GCNRA and visitor survey, (2) an updated evaluation of existing and future aircraft noise levels; (3) a Section 4(f) evaluation using the updated noise analysis; and (4) an analysis on potential cumulative effects.

The FAA encourages all interested parties to provide comments concerning the scope and content of the Draft SEIS. Comments should be as specific as possible and address the analysis of potential environmental impacts and the adequacy of the proposed action. Reviewers should organize their participation so that it is meaningful and makes the agency aware of the viewer's interests and concerns using quotations and other specific references to the text of the Draft SEIS and related documents. Matters that could have been raised with specificity during the comment period on the Draft SEIS may not be considered if they are raised for the first time later in the decision process. This commenting procedure is intended to ensure that substantive comments and concerns are made available to the FAA in a timely manner so that the FAA has the opportunity to address them.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, be advised that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold from public review your personal identifying information, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on December 3, 2014.

Carol Suomi,

Acting Division Manager, Airports Division, Northwest Mountain Region.

[FR Doc. 2014–28869 Filed 12–9–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Notice of Final Federal Agency Actions on Proposed Highway in California

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Limitation on Claims for Judicial Review of Actions by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327.

SUMMARY: The FHWA, on behalf of Caltrans, is issuing this notice to announce actions taken by Caltrans that

are final within the meaning of 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). The actions relate to a proposed highway project, State Route 1/Calera Parkway/Highway 1 Widening Project (from South of Fassler Avenue to North of Reina Del Mar Avenue in the City of Pacifica) in the County of San Mateo, State of California (Post Miles 41.7 to 43.0). Those actions grant licenses, permits, and approvals for the project.

DATES: By this notice, the FHWA, on behalf of Caltrans, is advising the public of final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). A claim seeking judicial review of the Federal agency actions on the highway project will be barred unless the claim is filed on or before May 11, 2015. If the Federal law that authorizes judicial review of a claim provides a time period of less than 150 days for filing such claim, then that shorter time period still applies.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Yolanda Rivas, District Branch Chief, Caltrans District 4 Office of Environmental Analysis, 111 Grand Avenue, P.O. Box 23660, Oakland, CA 94623-0660, 8:00 a.m.–5:00 p.m., Pacific Standard Time, Telephone (510) 286-6216, email yolanda.rivas@dot.ca.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Effective July 1, 2007, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) assigned, and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) assumed, environmental responsibilities for this project pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327. Notice is hereby given that Caltrans has taken final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1) by issuing licenses, permits, and approvals for the following highway project in the State of California: State Route 1/Calera Parkway/Highway 1 Widening Project (from South of Fassler Avenue to North of Reina Del Mar Avenue in the City of Pacifica). The project will widen Highway 1/State Route 1/Calera Parkway in the city of Pacifica from four lanes to six lanes, extending from approximately 1,500 feet south of Fassler Avenue to approximately 2,300 feet north of Reina Del Mar Avenue, a distance of approximately 1.3 miles. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations by decreasing traffic congestion and improving peak-period travel times along a congested segment of State Route 1 within the city of Pacifica. Construction of the project is estimated to commence in spring of 2014 and is anticipated to take approximately two years. The actions by the Federal agencies, and the laws under which such actions were taken, are described in the Environmental

Impact Report/Environmental Assessment (EIR/EA) for the project, approved on August 2, 2013, in the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) issued on August 2, 2013, and in other documents in the FHWA project records. The EIR/EA, FONSI, and other project records are available by contacting Caltrans at the address provided above. The Caltrans IS/EA and FONSI can be viewed and downloaded from the project Web site at <http://www.dot.ca.gov/dist4/envdocs.htm#sanmateo>.

This notice applies to all Federal agency decisions as of the issuance date of this notice and all laws under which such actions were taken, including but not limited to:

1. General: National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) [42 U.S.C. 4321–4351]; Federal-Aid Highway Act [23 U.S.C. 109 and 23 U.S.C. 128].
 2. Air: Clean Air Act [42 U.S.C. 7401–7671(q)].
 3. Land: Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act of 1966 [49 U.S.C. 303].
 4. Wildlife: Endangered Species Act [16 U.S.C. 1531–1544 and Section 1536]; Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act [16 U.S.C. 661–667(d)]; Migratory Bird Treaty Act [16 U.S.C. 703–712].
 5. Historic and Cultural Resources: Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended [16 U.S.C. 470(f) *et seq.*].
 6. Social and Economic: Civil Rights Act of 1964 [42 U.S.C. 2000(d)–2000(d)(1)].
 7. Wetlands and Water Resources: Clean Water Act (Section 404, Section 401, Section 319) [33 U.S.C. 1251–1377]; Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) [42 U.S.C. 300(f)–300(j)(6)].
 8. Executive Orders: E.O. 11990 Protection of Wetlands; E.O. 11988 Floodplain Management; E.O. 12898 Federal Actions To Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low Income Populations; E.O. 13112 Invasive Species.
- (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

Authority: 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1)

Issued on: December 4, 2014.

Shawn Oliver,
Environmental/ROW Team Leader, Federal Highway Administration, Sacramento, California.

[FR Doc. 2014–28920 Filed 12–9–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-RY-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[Docket No. FMCSA–2000–7363; FMCSA–2002–12294; FMCSA–2006–24783; FMCSA–2006–26066]

Qualification of Drivers; Exemption Applications; Vision

AGENCY: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of renewal of exemptions; request for comments.

SUMMARY: FMCSA announces its decision to renew the exemptions from the vision requirement in the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations for 6 individuals. FMCSA has statutory authority to exempt individuals from the vision requirement if the exemptions granted will not compromise safety. The Agency has concluded that granting these exemption renewals will provide a level of safety that is equivalent to or greater than the level of safety maintained without the exemptions for these commercial motor vehicle (CMV) drivers.

DATES: This decision is effective January 13, 2015. Comments must be received on or before January 9, 2015.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments bearing the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) numbers: Docket No. [Docket No. FMCSA–2000–7363; FMCSA–2002–12294; FMCSA–2006–24783; FMCSA–2006–26066], using any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
- Mail: Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590–0001.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.
- Fax: 1–202–493–2251.

Instructions: Each submission must include the Agency name and the docket number for this notice. Note that DOT posts all comments received without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information included in a comment. Please see the Privacy Act heading below.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or