

CFR 351.511(a)(2); (iv) evidence placed on the record by the Department; and (v) evidence other than factual information described in (i)–(iv). The final rule requires any party, when submitting factual information, to specify under which subsection of 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21) the information is being submitted and, if the information is submitted to rebut, clarify, or correct factual information already on the record, to provide an explanation identifying the information already on the record that the factual information seeks to rebut, clarify, or correct. The final rule also modified 19 CFR 351.301 so that, rather than providing general time limits, there are specific time limits based on the type of factual information being submitted. These modifications are effective for all proceeding segments initiated on or after May 10, 2013, and thus are applicable to these investigations. Interested parties should review the final rule, available at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/2013/1304frn/2013-08227.txt>, prior to submitting factual information in these investigations.

#### Revised Extension of Time Limits Regulation

On September 20, 2013, the Department modified its regulation concerning the extension of time limits for submissions in AD and CVD proceedings.<sup>35</sup> The modification clarifies that parties may request an extension of time limits before a time limit established under Part 351 expires, or as otherwise specified by the Secretary. In general, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after the time limit established under Part 351 expires. For submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after 10:00 a.m. on the due date. Examples include, but are not limited to: (1) Case and rebuttal briefs, filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309; (2) factual information to value factors under 19 CFR 351.408(c), or to measure the adequacy of remuneration under 19 CFR 351.511(a)(2), filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3) and rebuttal, clarification and correction information filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3)(iv); (3) comments concerning the selection of a surrogate country and surrogate values and rebuttal; (4) comments concerning CBP data; and (5) quantity and value questionnaires. Under certain circumstances, the Department may elect to specify a different time limit by

<sup>35</sup> See *Extension of Time Limits; Final Rule*, 78 FR 57790 (September 20, 2013).

which extension requests will be considered untimely for submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously. In such a case, the Department will inform parties in the letter or memorandum setting forth the deadline (including a specified time) by which extension requests must be filed to be considered timely. This modification also requires that an extension request must be made in a separate, stand-alone submission, and clarifies the circumstances under which the Department will grant untimely-filed requests for the extension of time limits. These modifications are effective for all segments initiated on or after October 21, 2013, and thus are applicable to these investigations. Interested parties should review *Extension of Time Limits; Final Rule*, available at <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-09-20/html/2013-22853.htm>, prior to submitting factual information in these investigations.

#### Certification Requirements

Any party submitting factual information in an AD or CVD proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information.<sup>36</sup> Parties are hereby reminded that revised certification requirements are in effect for company/government officials, as well as their representatives. Investigations initiated on the basis of petitions filed on or after August 16, 2013, and other segments of any AD or CVD proceedings initiated on or after August 16, 2013, should use the formats for the revised certifications provided at the end of the *Final Rule*.<sup>37</sup> The Department intends to reject factual submissions if the submitting party does not comply with the applicable revised certification requirements.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. On January 22, 2008, the Department published *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Documents Submission Procedures; APO Procedures*, 73 FR 3634 (January 22, 2008). Parties wishing to participate in these investigations should ensure that they meet the requirements of these procedures (e.g., the filing of letters of

<sup>36</sup> See section 782(b) of the Act.

<sup>37</sup> See *Certification of Factual Information To Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 42678 (July 17, 2013) (“*Final Rule*”); see also frequently asked questions regarding the *Final Rule*, available at [http://enforcement.trade.gov/tlei/notices/factual\\_info\\_final\\_rule\\_FAQ\\_07172013.pdf](http://enforcement.trade.gov/tlei/notices/factual_info_final_rule_FAQ_07172013.pdf).

appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d)).

This notice is issued and published pursuant to sections 702 and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: December 2, 2014.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix I—Scope of the Investigations

The merchandise subject to these investigations is melamine (Chemical Abstracts Service (“CAS”) registry number 108–78–01, molecular formula C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>N<sub>6</sub>).<sup>1</sup> Melamine is a crystalline powder or granule typically (but not exclusively) used to manufacture melamine formaldehyde resins. All melamine is covered by the scope of these investigations irrespective of purity, particle size, or physical form. Melamine that has been blended with other products is included within this scope when such blends include constituent parts that have been intermingled, but that have not been chemically reacted with each other to produce a different product. For such blends, only the melamine component of the mixture is covered by the scope of these investigations. Melamine that is otherwise subject to these investigations is not excluded when commingled with melamine from sources not subject to these investigations. Only the subject component of such commingled products is covered by the scope of these investigations.

The subject merchandise is provided for in subheading 2933.61.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”). Although the HTSUS subheading and CAS registry number are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope is dispositive.

[FR Doc. 2014–28832 Filed 12–8–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

[A–201–805]

#### Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Mexico; Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** In response to requests from interested parties, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of

<sup>1</sup> Melamine is also known as 2,4,6-triamino-s-triazine; 1,3,5-Triazine-2,4,6-triamine; Cyanurotriamide; Cyanurotriamine; Cyanuramide; and by various brand names.

the antidumping duty order on certain circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from Mexico.<sup>1</sup> This administrative review originally covered eight entities: Productos Laminados de Monterrey, S.A. de C.V. (Productos Laminados), Prolamsa, Inc.,<sup>2</sup> Conduit S.A. de C.V. (Conduit); Ternium Mexico, S.A. de C.V. (Ternium); Tuberia Nacional, S.A. de C. V. (TUNA); Lamina y Placa Comercial, S.A. de C.V. (Lamina);<sup>3</sup> Mueller Comercial de Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V. (Mueller); and PYTCO, S.A. de C.V. (PYTCO). All requests for administrative review of Conduit, TUNA, Lamina, Ternium, Mueller, and PYTCO were timely withdrawn, and we are consequently rescinding this administrative review, in part, with respect to these six companies. The sole mandatory respondent is Productos Laminados. The period of review (POR) is August 1, 2012, through July 31, 2013. We preliminarily find that Productos Laminados made sales at prices below normal value (NV) during the POR. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* December 9, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mark Flessner or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0698 or (202) 482-1131, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Scope of the Order**

The products covered by the order are circular welded non-alloy steel pipes and tubes, of circular cross-section, not more than 406.4 millimeters (16 inches) in outside diameter, regardless of wall thickness, surface finish (black, galvanized, or painted), or end finish (plain end, beveled end, threaded, or threaded and coupled). The merchandise covered by the order and subject to this review is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff

Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheadings: 7306.30.1000, 7306.30.5025, 7306.30.5032, 7306.30.5040, 7306.30.5055, 7306.30.5085, and 7306.30.5090. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Mexico," (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with this notice, which is hereby adopted by this notice.<sup>4</sup>

**Methodology**

The Department conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Constructed export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. NV is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov><sup>5</sup> and in the Central Records Unit, Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed and electronic

versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

**Preliminary Results of the Review**

We preliminarily determine for the period November 1, 2012, through October 31, 2013, the following weighted-average dumping margin exists:

Exporter or producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Productos Laminados de Monterrey, S.A. de C.V./Aceros Cuatro Caminos, S.A. de C.V. <sup>6</sup>	6.31

**Scope Inquiry**

The Department is conducting a scope inquiry to determine whether certain types of black, circular tubing produced to American Society for Testing and Materials standard A-513 by Productos Laminados may be outside the scope of the Order because they meet the exclusion for "mechanical tubing." Parties are notified that the final results of the scope inquiry may affect the final results of this administrative review by decreasing the number of reported sales.

**Partial Rescission of Review**

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole, or in part, if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. All requests for review by all parties, except those of Productos Laminados and Prolamsa, Inc.,<sup>7</sup> were timely withdrawn.<sup>8</sup> Accordingly, we rescind the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from Mexico covering the period November 1, 2012, through October 31,

<sup>6</sup> See the Memorandum from Davina Friedmann to Richard Weible, Office Director, AD/CVD Operations Office VI, entitled, "Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Mexico: Affiliation and Collapsing Memorandum," dated December 1, 2014; see also Preliminary Decision memorandum at 4 and 12-14.

<sup>7</sup> Prolamsa, Inc. (a wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary of Productos Laminados which is an importer, and not a producer, of subject merchandise—see footnote 1), made no entries of subject merchandise during the POR. See the Memorandum from Davina Hashmi to Richard Weible, Director, AD/CVD Operations Office VI, entitled, "Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Mexico: Respondent Selection Memorandum," dated March 20, 2014, at 5.

<sup>8</sup> See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 5.

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 78 FR 79392 (December 30, 2013).

<sup>2</sup> While the Department initiated an administrative review of Productos Laminados and Prolamsa, Inc. separately, record information indicates that Prolamsa, Inc. is a wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary of Productos Laminados, and is an importer, and not a producer, of subject merchandise.

<sup>3</sup> The Department has determined that Lamina is the successor-in-interest to TUNA. See *Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changes Circumstances Review: Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Mexico*, 75 FR 82374 (December 30, 2010).

<sup>4</sup> See also *Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Brazil, the Republic of Korea (Korea), Mexico, and Venezuela and Amendment to Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Korea*, 57 FR 49453 (November 2, 1992) (the *Order*).

<sup>5</sup> On November 24, 2014, Enforcement and Compliance changed the name of Enforcement and Compliance's AD and CVD Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS") to AD and CVD Centralized Electronic Service System ("ACCESS"). The Web site location was changed from <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> to <http://access.trade.gov>. The Final Rule changing the references to the Regulations can be found at: 79 FR 69046 (November 20, 2014).

2013, with respect to Conduit, TUNA, Lamina, Ternium, Mueller, and PYTCO.

### Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department intends to disclose to interested parties the calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results within five days of the date of publication of this notice.<sup>9</sup> Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.<sup>10</sup> Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.<sup>11</sup> Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed using ACCESS.<sup>12</sup>

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the Department's electronic records system, ACCESS, by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>13</sup> Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the date and time of the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in all written case briefs, within 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

### Assessment Rates

Upon completion of the administrative review, the Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess,

antidumping duties on all appropriate entries.<sup>14</sup> If the weighted-average dumping margin for Productos Laminados/Aceros Cuatro Caminos is not zero or *de minimis* in the final results of this review, we will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping duties calculated for an importer's examined sales and the total entered value of such sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). If the weighted-average dumping margin for Productos Laminados/Aceros Cuatro Caminos is zero or *de minimis* in the final results of review, we will instruct CBP not to assess duties on any of its entries in accordance with the Final Modification for Reviews, *i.e.*, “{w}here the weighted-average margin of dumping for the exporter is determined to be zero or *de minimis*, no antidumping duties will be assessed.”<sup>15</sup>

As noted above, the Department has rescinded this administrative review for Conduit, TUNA, Lamina, Ternium, Mueller, and PYTCO. For these exporters and/or producers, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate all appropriate entries as entered.

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 41 days after publication of the final results of this review.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from Mexico entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Productos Laminado/Aceros Cuatro Caminos will be the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review except if the rate is *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in

which the manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of the proceeding for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 32.62 percent *ad valorem*, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.<sup>16</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Dated: December 1, 2014.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

### Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Order
4. Postponement of Preliminary Determination
5. No Shipments Claims
6. Partial Rescission of Review
7. Duty Absorption
8. Methodology
9. Comparisons to Normal Value
  - A. Determination of Comparison Method
  - B. Results of the DP Analysis
10. Product Comparisons
11. Date of Sale
12. Level of Trade
13. Constructed Export Price
14. Normal Value
  - A. Affiliation and Single Entity
  - B. Cost of Production
15. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison Market Prices
16. Currency Conversion
17. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2014-28833 Filed 12-8-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

<sup>9</sup> See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

<sup>10</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

<sup>11</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

<sup>12</sup> See 19 CFR 351.303.

<sup>13</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>14</sup> In these preliminary results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

<sup>15</sup> *Id.* at 77 FR 8101, 8102.

<sup>16</sup> See the Order.