

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**Radio Broadcasting Services; AM or FM Proposals To Change The Community of License**

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The following applicants filed AM or FM proposals to change the community of license: Marie H. Whitehead, Executrix, Station KWRW, Facility ID 17835, BPH-20140925ABH, From Rusk, TX, To Troup, TX; Top O Texas Educational Broadcasting Foundation, Station KASV, Facility ID 175031, BPED-20141104AEB, From Red River, NM, To Sanford, CO.

DATES: The agency must receive comments on or before February 3, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 Twelfth Street SW., Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tung Bui, 202-418-2700.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The full text of these applications is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the Commission's Reference Center, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554 or electronically via the Media Bureau's Consolidated Data Base System, http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/prod/cdbs/pubacc/prod/cdbs_pa.htm.

A copy of this application may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., 445 12th Street SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 1-800-378-3160 or www.BCPIWEB.com.

Federal Communications Commission.

James D. Bradshaw,

Deputy Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 2014-28509 Filed 12-4-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 14-15]

Ngobros and Company Nigeria Limited v. Oceane Cargo Link, LLC, and Kingston Ansah, Individually; Notice of Filing of Complaint and Assignment

Notice is given that a complaint has been filed with the Federal Maritime Commission (Commission) by Ngobros and Company Nigeria Limited (NCNL), hereinafter "Complainant," against Ocean Cargo Link, LLC (OCL) and

Kingston Ansah, hereinafter "Respondents." Complainant states that it is a Nigerian Limited Liability Company. Complainant alleges that Respondent OCL is a license ocean freight forwarder and non-vessel-operating common carrier and Respondent Kingston Ansah is a "member of OCL" and "has utilized OCL as his alter egos [sic]."

Complainant alleges that Respondents have violated the Shipping Act, 46 U.S.C. 41102(c), in connection with the failed shipment of three vehicles from the United States to Nigeria. Complainant alleges that Respondent shipped the vehicles to the wrong destination resulting in the loss of the vehicles.

Complainant seeks an Order holding that Respondents violated § 41102(c); an Order compelling Respondents "to make reparations to Complainant NCNL in the amount of \$180,628.66 for shipping its goods intentionally or unintentionally to a wrong destination and abandoning it there"; "attorney's fees, interests and costs and expenses incurred in this matter"; and "such other and further relief as the Commission deems just and proper."

The full text of the complaint can be found in the Commission's Electronic Reading Room at www.fmc.gov/14-15.

This proceeding has been assigned to the Office of Administrative Law Judges. The initial decision of the presiding officer in this proceeding shall be issued by December 1, 2015 and the final decision of the Commission shall be issued by June 1, 2016.

Karen V. Gregory,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014-28538 Filed 12-4-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 14-16]

Baltic Auto Shipping, Inc. v. Michael Hitrinov a/k/a Michael Khitrinov, Empire United Lines Co., Inc.; Notice of Filing of Complaint and Assignment

Notice is given that a complaint has been filed with the Federal Maritime Commission (Commission) by Baltic Auto Shipping, Inc., hereinafter "Complainant," against Michael Hitrinov ("Hitrinov") and Empire United Lines Co., Inc. ("EUL"), hereinafter "Respondents." Complainant states that it is an Illinois corporation. Complainant alleges that Respondent EUL is a licensed NVOCC, and Respondent Hitrinov is "the sole principal and officer of EUL."

Complainant alleges that Respondents have violated the Shipping Act, 46 U.S.C. 41102, 41104, 40501, and 46 CFR part 515, in connection with shipment of over 4000 used automobiles over a five year period and charging rates "in excess of the amounts set forth in EUL's tariff." Complainant alleges it "has sustained and continued to sustain injuries and damages in excess of \$400,000."

Complainant seeks that Respondents "be required to answer the charges herein; that after due hearing, an order be made commanding said respondent to pay to Complainant by way of reparations for the unlawful conduct . . . with interest and attorney's fees or such other sum as the Commission may determine to be proper as an award of reparation; and that such other and further order or orders be made as the Commission determines to be proper in the premises."

The full text of the complaint can be found in the Commission's Electronic Reading Room at www.fmc.gov/14-16.

This proceeding has been assigned to the Office of Administrative Law Judges. The initial decision of the presiding officer in this proceeding shall be issued by December 1, 2015 and the final decision of the Commission shall be issued by June 1, 2016.

Karen V. Gregory,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014-28539 Filed 12-4-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION**Sunshine Act Meeting**

AGENCY: Federal Maritime Commission.

TIME AND DATE: December 10, 2014; 11:00 a.m.

PLACE: 800 N Capitol Street NW., First Floor Hearing Room, Washington, DC.

STATUS: The first portion of the meeting will be held in Open Session; the second in Closed Session.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Open Session

1. Briefing on European Maritime Law Organization's (EMLO) Conference.

2. Briefing on South Atlantic Port Forum held October 30th Concerning Causes and Implications of Congestion at U.S. Ports.

3. Briefing on Gulf Coast Port Forum held November 3rd Concerning Causes and Implications of Congestion at U.S. Ports.

Closed Session

1. Staff Briefing Concerning International Affairs.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:
Karen V. Gregory, Secretary, (202) 523 5725.

Karen V. Gregory,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014-28726 Filed 12-3-14; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION**Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request**

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission (“FTC” or “Commission”).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The information collection requirements described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (“PRA”). The FTC seeks public comments on proposed information requests by compulsory process to a combined ten or more of the largest cigarette manufacturers and smokeless tobacco manufacturers. The information sought would include, among other things, data on manufacturer annual sales and marketing expenditures. The current FTC clearance from the OMB to conduct such information collection expires January 31, 2015. The Commission intends to ask OMB for renewed three-year clearance to collect this information.

DATES: Comments must be submitted by January 5, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may file a comment online or on paper, by following the instructions in the Request for Comment part of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below. Write “Tobacco Reports: Paperwork Comment, FTC File No. P054507” on your comment. File your comment online at <https://ftcpublish.commentworks.com/ftc/tobaccoreportspra2> by following the instructions on the web-based form. If you prefer to file your comment on paper, mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite CC-5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW., 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information should be addressed to Shira Modell, Division of Advertising Practices, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Mailstop CC-10528, Washington, DC 20580. Telephone: (202) 326-3116.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: FTC Cigarette and Smokeless Tobacco Data Collection.

OMB Control Number: 3084-0134.

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved collection.

On August 13, 2014, the Commission sought comment on the information collection requirements associated with the Cigarette and Smokeless Tobacco Data Collection. 79 FR 47463 (“August 13, 2014 Notice”). Pursuant to the OMB regulations, 5 CFR part 1320, that implement the PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*, the FTC is providing a second opportunity for the public to comment while seeking OMB approval to renew the pre-existing clearance for the information the FTC proposes to seek from cigarette manufacturers and smokeless tobacco manufacturers.

In response to the August 13, 2014 Notice, the Commission received comments from Altria Client Services (“Altria”), the Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids (“CTFK”), Legacy, and Professor M. Jane Lewis (“Lewis”) of the Rutgers School of Public Health.

Three of the comments (Legacy, Lewis, and CTFK) specifically noted the utility and importance of the Commission’s Cigarette and Smokeless Tobacco Reports, and urged the agency to continue collection and reporting industry sales and marketing expenditure data.¹ Legacy and CTFK also noted that these data are not available from other sources.

Three of the commenters (Altria, CTFK, and Legacy) responded to questions raised in the Commission’s 60-day notice concerning the future collection of data on cigarette tar, nicotine, and carbon monoxide yields. Altria stated that the Commission should not require the manufacturers to provide yield data on all varieties of cigarettes they sell and should cease requiring the cigarette manufacturers to report any smoke constituent data, given the Food and Drug Administration’s (“FDA”) new statutory authority to collect such data. Altria stated further that if the Commission retains or

expands the existing reporting requirements (which require the companies to provide yield data only for those varieties for which such data already exist), it should require all cigarette manufacturers, not just the major companies, to submit those data. Altria, at 3.

CTFK acknowledged that tar, nicotine, and carbon monoxide yield data may be important for researchers and regulatory agencies, but noted that “the tobacco industry’s history of manipulating this self-reported data raises concerns about its accuracy and validity,” and urged the Commission to coordinate with FDA “to establish a coherent set of product testing requirements that will best serve the statutory missions of both agencies.” CTFK, at 3.

Legacy encouraged the Commission to cease its collection and reporting of these cigarette yield data, citing their potential to mislead consumers about health risks, the limitations of existing testing methodologies to produce yield results consistent with those actually experienced by smokers, and the “potential unintended consequences among people of low literacy and low numeracy” to understand information on smoke constituent yields. Legacy, at 2-3. Instead, Legacy noted, the Commission and the FDA should work together to determine the best methodology for determining the yields of harmful or potentially harmful smoke constituents, and the best means of disseminating that information in a way that protects public health.

The FTC and FDA staff have long worked together on the many areas where the two agencies share jurisdiction, and the Commission fully expects this tradition to continue now that the agencies share jurisdiction over cigarettes and smokeless tobacco. The Commission further agrees that FDA should be the primary agency to determine the best test methodology. The Commission is not aware, however, of another means of preserving the existing record of cigarette yield trends unless it continues to collect these data. Freedom of Information Act requests filed with the Commission also suggest that researchers remain interested in these data. Accordingly, the Commission intends to continue collecting the data to the extent recipients of the 6(b) Orders possess them.²

¹ CTFK and Legacy also urged the Commission to collect and report sales and marketing data for cigars and electronic cigarettes, as well as for conventional tobacco cigarettes and smokeless tobacco. CTFK, at 2-3; Legacy, at 5-6. The Commission will consider those recommendations.

² The Commission will consider Altria’s recommendation that all cigarette manufacturers be required to provide yield data if the major manufacturers are required to do so, although it believes that the five major cigarette manufacturers