

the Department conducted a public hearing regarding the NSR.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order is frozen fish fillets, including regular, shank, and strip fillets and portions thereof, whether or not breaded or marinated, of the species *Pangasius Bocourti*, *Pangasius Hypophthalmus* (also known as *Pangasius Pangasius*) and *Pangasius Micronemus*. These products are classifiable under tariff article codes 0304.29.6033, 0304.62.0020, 0305.59.0000, 0305.59.4000, 1604.19.2000, 1604.19.2100, 1604.19.3000, 1604.19.3100, 1604.19.4000, 1604.19.4100, 1604.19.5000, 1604.19.5100, 1604.19.6100 and 1604.19.8100 (Frozen Fish Fillets of the species *Pangasius* including basa and tra) of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”).⁵ Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

For a full description of the scope, see “Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of New Shipper Review,” dated concurrently with this notice (“I&D Memo”).

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties are addressed in the I&D Memo, which is hereby adopted by this Notice. A list of the issues which parties raised is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The I&D Memo is a public document and is on file in the Central Records Unit (“CRU”), Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building, as well as electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (“ACCESS”).⁶

Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Rebuttal Brief, dated October 6, 2014 (“Petitioners Rebuttal Brief”).

⁵ Until July 1, 2004, these products were classifiable under HTSUS 0304.20.6030 (Frozen Catfish Fillets), 0304.20.6096 (Frozen Fish Fillets, NESOI), 0304.20.6043 (Frozen Freshwater Fish Fillets) and 0304.20.6057 (Frozen Sole Fillets). Until February 1, 2007, these products were classifiable under HTSUS 0304.20.6033 (Frozen Fish Fillets of the species *Pangasius*, including basa and tra). On March 2, 2011, the Department added two HTSUS numbers at the request of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”): 1604.19.2000 and 1604.19.3000. On January 30, 2012, the Department added eight HTSUS numbers at the request of CBP: 0304.62.0020, 0305.59.0000, 1604.19.2100, 1604.19.3100, 1604.19.4100, 1604.19.5100, 1604.19.6100 and 1604.19.8100.

⁶ On November 24, 2014, Enforcement and Compliance changed the name of Enforcement and Compliance’s AD and CVD Centralized Electronic

ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the CRU. In addition, a complete version of the I&D Memo can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://trade.gov/enforcement/frn/index.html>. The signed I&D Memo and the electronic versions of the I&D Memo are identical in content.

Bona Fide Analysis

For the *Preliminary Rescission*, the Department analyzed the *bona fides* of Thanh Hung’s sale and preliminarily found it to be non-*bona fide*.⁷ Based on the Department’s complete analysis of all the information and comments on the record of this review regarding the *bona fides* of Thanh Hung’s NSR sale, the Department continues to find Thanh Hung’s sale to be non-*bona fide* because of (a) the atypical nature of Thanh Hung’s price and quantity; (b) extraordinary expenses arising from the transaction; (c) the importer’s regular commercial interest; (d) atypical circumstances surrounding production; and (e) unreported connections to other entities.⁸ The Department did not base its analysis on any one factor but instead examined the totality of the evidence and comments on the record of this review to determine that Thanh Hung’s sale was not *bona fide*.

Rescission of New Shipper Review

For the foregoing reasons, the Department finds that the sale of Thanh Hung is non-*bona fide* and that this sale does not provide a reasonable or reliable basis for calculating a dumping margin. Because a non-*bona fide* sale was the only sale of subject merchandise during the POR, the Department is rescinding this NSR pursuant to section 351.214(f) of the Department’s regulations.

Cash Deposit Rates

The following cash deposit requirements continue to apply for all shipment of subject merchandise from Thanh Hung entered, or withdrawn from warehouse: (1) For subject merchandise produced and exported by

Service System (“IA ACCESS”) to AD and CVD Centralized Electronic Service System (“ACCESS”). The Web site location was changed from <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> to <http://access.trade.gov>. The Final Rule changing the references to the Regulations can be found at 79 FR 69046.

⁷ See “Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist’s Republic of Vietnam” from Gary Taverman, Senior Advisor for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, dated June 18, 2014 (“Preliminary Decision Memorandum”), and hereby adopted by this notice.

⁸ *Id.*

Thanh Hung, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the Vietnam-wide rate (*i.e.*, 2.39 U.S. Dollars/kg); (2) for subject merchandise exported by Thanh Hung but not manufactured by Thanh Hung, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the Vietnam-wide rate (*i.e.*, 2.39 U.S. Dollars/kg); and (3) for subject merchandise manufactured by Thanh Hung, but exported by any other party, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the exporter. These cash deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to Administrative Protective Order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this new shipper review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.214.

Dated: November 26, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—Issues and Decision Memorandum

Summary
Background
Scope of the Order
Discussion of the Issues
Comment: *Bona Fide* Nature of the Sale Under Review
Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2014–28459 Filed 12–2–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–570–997, C–583–852]

Non-Oriented Electrical Steel From the People’s Republic of China and Taiwan: Countervailing Duty Orders

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: Based on affirmative final determinations by the Department of

Commerce (the Department) and the International Trade Commission (ITC), the Department is issuing countervailing duty (CVD) orders on non-oriented electrical steel (NOES) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) and Taiwan.

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 3, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

PRC: Joshua Morris or Thomas Schauer, Office I, telephone: (202) 482–1779 and (202) 482–0410, respectively; Taiwan: Patricia Tran or Christopher Hargett, Office III, telephone: (202) 482–1503 and (202) 482–4161, respectively; AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 14, 2014, the Department published the final determinations in the CVD investigations of NOES from Korea, PRC, and Taiwan.¹ On November 25, 2014, the ITC notified the Department of its final determination pursuant to sections 705(b)(1)(A)(i) and section 705(d) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of subsidized imports of subject merchandise from the PRC and Taiwan.² The ITC also determined that critical circumstances did not exist for the PRC.³

Scope of the Orders

The merchandise subject to these orders consists of NOES, which includes cold-rolled, flat-rolled, alloy steel products, whether or not in coils, regardless of width, having an actual thickness of 0.20 mm or more, in which the core loss is substantially equal in any direction of magnetization in the plane of the material. The term “substantially equal” means that the cross grain direction of core loss is no more than 1.5 times the straight grain

direction (*i.e.*, the rolling direction) of core loss. NOES has a magnetic permeability that does not exceed 1.65 Tesla when tested at a field of 800 A/m (equivalent to 10 Oersteds) along (*i.e.*, parallel to) the rolling direction of the sheet (*i.e.*, B_{800} value). NOES contains by weight more than 1.00 percent of silicon but less than 3.5 percent of silicon, not more than 0.08 percent of carbon, and not more than 1.5 percent of aluminum. NOES has a surface oxide coating, to which an insulation coating may be applied.

NOES is subject to these orders whether it is fully processed (*i.e.*, fully annealed to develop final magnetic properties) or semi-processed (*i.e.*, finished to final thickness and physical form but not fully annealed to develop final magnetic properties). Fully processed NOES is typically made to the requirements of ASTM specification A 677, Japanese Industrial Standards (JIS) specification C 2552, and/or International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) specification 60404–8–4. Semi-processed NOES is typically made to the requirements of ASTM specification A 683. However, the scope of these orders is not limited to merchandise meeting the ASTM, JIS, and IEC specifications noted immediately above.

NOES is sometimes referred to as cold-rolled non-oriented (CRNO), non-grain oriented (NGO), non-oriented (NO), or cold-rolled non-grain oriented (CRNGO) electrical steel. These terms are interchangeable.

Excluded from the scope of these orders are flat-rolled products not in coils that, prior to importation into the United States, have been cut to a shape and undergone all punching, coating, or other operations necessary for classification in Chapter 85 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) as a part (*i.e.*, lamination) for use in a device such as a motor, generator, or transformer.

The subject merchandise is provided for in subheadings 7225.19.0000, 7226.19.1000, and 7226.19.9000 of the HTSUS. Subject merchandise may also be entered under subheadings 7225.50.8085, 7225.99.0090, 7226.92.5000, 7226.92.7050, 7226.92.8050, 7226.99.0180 of the HTSUS. Although HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope is dispositive.

Countervailing Duty Orders

In accordance with sections 705(b)(1)(A)(i) and 705(d) of the Act, the ITC notified the Department of its final determination that the industry in the

United States producing NOES is materially injured by reason of subsidized imports of NOES from the PRC and Taiwan. Therefore, in accordance with section 705(c)(2) of the Act, we are publishing these CVD orders.

Pursuant to section 706(a) of the Act, the Department will direct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess, upon further instruction by the Department, CVDs on unliquidated entries of NOES entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after March 25, 2014, the date on which the Department published its affirmative preliminary CVD determinations in the **Federal Register**, and before July 23, 2014, the date on which the Department instructed CBP to discontinue the suspension of liquidation in accordance with section 703(d) of the Act. Section 703(d) of the Act states that the suspension of liquidation pursuant to a preliminary determination may not remain in effect for more than four months. Entries of NOES made on or after July 23, 2014, and prior to the date of publication of the ITC's final determination in the **Federal Register** are not liable for the assessment of CVDs, due to the Department's discontinuation, effective July 23, 2014, of the suspension of liquidation.

With regard to the ITC's negative critical circumstances determination for the PRC, the Department will instruct CBP to lift suspension and refund any cash deposits of estimated CVDs for entries on or after December 25, 2013, (*i.e.*, 90 days prior to the date of the preliminary determination), but before March 25, 2014.

Suspension of Liquidation

For the PRC, in accordance with section 706 of the Act, the Department will direct CBP to reinstitute the suspension of liquidation of NOES from the PRC, effective the date of publication of the ITC's notice of final determination in the **Federal Register**, and to assess, upon further instruction by the Department pursuant to section 706(a)(1) of the Act, CVDs for each entry of the subject merchandise in an amount based on the net countervailable subsidy rates for the subject merchandise. CBP must require, at the same time as importers would normally deposit estimated duties on this merchandise, a cash deposit equal to the rates noted below:

Company	Subsidy rate (%)
Baoshan Iron & Steel Co., Ltd	158.88

¹ See *Non-Oriented Electrical Steel From the Republic of Korea: Final Negative Countervailing Duty Determination and Final Negative Critical Circumstances Determination*, 79 FR 61605 (October 14, 2014) (*Korea Final Determination*); *Non-Oriented Electrical Steel From the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Final Affirmative Critical Circumstances Determination*, 79 FR 61607 (October 14, 2014) (*PRC Final Determination*); *Non-Oriented Electrical Steel From Taiwan: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination*, 79 FR 61602 (October 14, 2014) (*Taiwan Final Determination*).

² See *Non-Oriented Electrical Steel from China, Germany, Japan, Korea, Sweden, and Taiwan*, Investigation Nos. 701–TA–506 & 508 and 731–TA–1238–1243 (Final), USITC Publication 4502, November 2014.

³ *Id.*

Company	Subsidy rate (%)
All Others	158.88

For Taiwan, in accordance with section 706 of the Act, the Department will direct CBP to reinstitute the suspension of liquidation of NOES from Taiwan, effective the date of publication of the ITC's notice of final determination in the **Federal Register**, and to assess, upon further instruction by the Department pursuant to section 706(a)(1) of the Act, CVDs for each entry of the subject merchandise in an amount based on the net countervailable subsidy rates for the subject merchandise. Because China Steel Corporation and its cross-owned affiliates Dragon Steel Corporation, HiMag Magnetic Corporation, and China Steel Global Trading Corporation (collectively, CSC Companies) received a *de minimis* net subsidy rate in the *Taiwan Final Determination*, they are excluded from this Taiwan CVD order. This exclusion will apply only to subject merchandise both produced and exported by CSC Companies. CBP must require, at the same time as importers would normally deposit estimated duties on this merchandise, a cash deposit equal to the rates noted below:

Company	Subsidy rate (%)
Leicong Industrial Company, Ltd (Leicong)	17.12
All Others	8.80

This notice constitutes the CVD orders with respect to NOES from the PRC and Taiwan, pursuant to section 706(a) of the Act. Interested parties may contact the Department's Central Records Unit, Room 7046 of the main Commerce Building, for copies of an updated list of CVD orders currently in effect.

These orders are issued and published in accordance with section 706(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.211(b).

Dated: November 26, 2014.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014-28507 Filed 12-2-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Agency Information Collection Extension

AGENCY: U.S. Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice and request for OMB review and comment.

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy (DOE) has submitted an information collection request to OMB for extension under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The information collection requests a three-year extension of its Davis-Bacon Semi-annual Labor Compliance Report collection. The collection requests information from certain financial assistance grantees, loan guarantee and loan borrowers, and the Department of Energy Management and Operation (M&O) and Facilities Management Contractors for contract administration and management oversight. The information collection is necessary to allow DOE to comply with a reporting requirement placed on all Federal agencies administering programs subject to the Davis-Bacon Act wage provisions. Department of Labor regulation at 29 CFR 5.7(b) requires all Federal agencies administering programs subject to the Davis-Bacon Act wage provisions to submit to the Department of Labor a semi-annual compliance and enforcement report. In order for the Department of Energy (DOE) to comply with this reporting requirement, it must collect information from certain financial assistance grantees, Loan and Loan Guarantee Borrowers, DOE M&O contractors, and DOE Facilities Management contractors that administer DOE programs subject to Davis-Bacon Act requirements. DOE will ask each of these entities to report to DOE the information it is required to report to DOL on a semi-annual (every 6 months) basis. DOE must ultimately report all this information in a report to DOL, including information on the number of Davis-Bacon Act compliance and enforcement investigations conducted and whether violations were found.

DATES: Comments regarding this collection must be received on or before January 2, 2015. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, please advise the OMB Desk Officer of your intention to make a submission as soon as possible. The Desk Officer may be telephoned at 202-395-4650.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to the:

DOE Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10102, 735 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503.

And to:

Eva M. Auman, GC-63, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, Or by fax at 202-586-0971; or by email to eva.auman@hq.doe.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to:

Eva M. Auman, Attorney-Advisor (Labor), GC-63, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, or by fax at 202-586-0971 or by email to eva.auman@hq.doe.gov.

The current collection instrument is available for review at the following Web site: <http://www.energy.gov/gc/services/technology-transfer-and-procurement/office-assistant-general-counsel-labor-and-pension>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This information collection request contains: (1) OMB No. 1910-5165; (2) This information collection originally provided for Recovery Act grantees receiving grants from the DOE Office of Weatherization and Intergovernmental Programs to submit their reports via the PAGE System, however, those grants are now closed and the PAGE System is no longer available; (3) Type of Request: Extension; (4) Purpose: To provide the information necessary to facilitate DOE compliance with a reporting requirement placed on all Federal agencies administering programs subject to the Davis-Bacon Act wage provisions found at 29 CFR 5.7(b); (5) Annual Estimated Number of Respondents: 75; (6) Annual Estimated Number of Total Responses: 150; (7) Annual Estimated Number of Burden Hours: 2 per respondent for total of 300 hours per year; (8) Annual Estimated Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost *Burden*: \$0.00
Statutory Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7254, 7256.

Issued in Washington, DC on: November 26, 2014.

Jean S. Stucky,
General Counsel for Labor and Pension Law, Office of the General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2014-28454 Filed 12-2-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Combined Notice of Filings

Take notice that the Commission has received the following Natural Gas Pipeline Rate and Refund Report filings: