

review is above *de minimis*. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

On October 24, 2011, the Department announced a refinement to its assessment practice in NME cases.¹⁶ Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the NME-wide rate. In addition, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (*i.e.*, at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.¹⁷

The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements, when imposed, will apply to all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for Kangfa and Gangchang, which both have a separate rate, will be the cash deposit rate established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, then zero cash deposit will be required); (2) for any previously reviewed or investigated PRC and non-PRC exporter not listed above that received a separate rate in a previous segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity (*i.e.*, 303.80 percent); and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied the non-PRC exporter. These cash deposit

requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties. We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Dated: November 25, 2014.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Respondent Selection
4. Information and Comment Submitted in this Review
5. Scope of the Order
6. Non-Market Economy Country Status
7. Preliminary Determination of No Shipments
8. Separate Rates Determination
9. Absence of De Jure Control
10. Absence of De Facto Control
11. The PRC-wide Entity
12. Surrogate Country
13. Fair Value Comparisons
14. U.S. Price
15. Normal Value
16. Factors Valuation
17. Currency Conversion
18. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2014-28462 Filed 12-2-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-552-801]

Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Rescission of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("Department") published its *Preliminary Rescission* for the new shipper review ("NSR") of the

antidumping duty order on certain frozen fish fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam ("Vietnam") on July 14, 2014.¹ The period of review ("POR") is August 1, 2012, through July 31, 2013. As discussed below, we preliminarily found that Thanh Hung Co., Ltd. D/B/A Thanh Hung Frozen Seafood Processing Import Export Co., Ltd.'s ("Thanh Hung") sale was non-*bona fide*, and announced our preliminary intent to rescind Thanh Hung's NSR. For the final results of this review, we continue to find Thanh Hung's sale to be non-*bona fide*. Therefore, because there were no other shipments or entries by Thanh Hung during the POR, we are rescinding this NSR.

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 3, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Susan Pulongbarit, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4031.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

As noted above, on July 14, 2014, the Department published the *Preliminary Rescission* of this NSR. Thereafter, the Department extended the time period for issuing the final results to December 1, 2014.² On October 2, 2014, the Department received a case brief from Thanh Hung.³ On October 6, 2014, the Department received a rebuttal brief from the Catfish Farmers of America and individual U.S. catfish processors ("Petitioners").⁴ On November 13, 2014,

¹ See *Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Preliminary Intent To Rescind Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review; 2012-2013*, 79 FR 40710 (July 14, 2014) ("*Preliminary Rescission*").

² See Memorandum to Gary Taverman, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, through James C. Doyle, Director, Office V, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, from Susan S. Pulongbarit, Sr. International Trade Analyst, Office V, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, regarding Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review of Thanh Hung Co., Ltd., dated September 18, 2014.

³ See Letter from Thanh Hung to the Department regarding Refile & Redacted Direct Case Brief: New Shipper Review of Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Review Period—8/1/12-7/31/13, dated October 2, 2014 ("Thanh Hung Case Brief"). We note that this is a refiled and redacted case brief. See Memo to File, from Susan Pulongbarit, Sr. International Trade Analyst, regarding New Shipper Review of Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: New Factual Information, dated October 3, 2014.

⁴ See Letter from Petitioners to the Department regarding Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the

¹⁶ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

¹⁷ See *id.*

the Department conducted a public hearing regarding the NSR.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order is frozen fish fillets, including regular, shank, and strip fillets and portions thereof, whether or not breaded or marinated, of the species *Pangasius Bocourti*, *Pangasius Hypophthalmus* (also known as *Pangasius Pangasius*) and *Pangasius Micronemus*. These products are classifiable under tariff article codes 0304.29.6033, 0304.62.0020, 0305.59.0000, 0305.59.4000, 1604.19.2000, 1604.19.2100, 1604.19.3000, 1604.19.3100, 1604.19.4000, 1604.19.4100, 1604.19.5000, 1604.19.5100, 1604.19.6100 and 1604.19.8100 (Frozen Fish Fillets of the species *Pangasius* including basa and tra) of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”).⁵ Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

For a full description of the scope, see “Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of New Shipper Review,” dated concurrently with this notice (“I&D Memo”).

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties are addressed in the I&D Memo, which is hereby adopted by this Notice. A list of the issues which parties raised is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The I&D Memo is a public document and is on file in the Central Records Unit (“CRU”), Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building, as well as electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (“ACCESS”).⁶

Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Rebuttal Brief, dated October 6, 2014 (“Petitioners Rebuttal Brief”).

⁵ Until July 1, 2004, these products were classifiable under HTSUS 0304.20.6030 (Frozen Catfish Fillets), 0304.20.6096 (Frozen Fish Fillets, NESOI), 0304.20.6043 (Frozen Freshwater Fish Fillets) and 0304.20.6057 (Frozen Sole Fillets). Until February 1, 2007, these products were classifiable under HTSUS 0304.20.6033 (Frozen Fish Fillets of the species *Pangasius*, including basa and tra). On March 2, 2011, the Department added two HTSUS numbers at the request of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”): 1604.19.2000 and 1604.19.3000. On January 30, 2012, the Department added eight HTSUS numbers at the request of CBP: 0304.62.0020, 0305.59.0000, 1604.19.2100, 1604.19.3100, 1604.19.4100, 1604.19.5100, 1604.19.6100 and 1604.19.8100.

⁶ On November 24, 2014, Enforcement and Compliance changed the name of Enforcement and Compliance’s AD and CVD Centralized Electronic

ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the CRU. In addition, a complete version of the I&D Memo can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://trade.gov/enforcement/frn/index.html>. The signed I&D Memo and the electronic versions of the I&D Memo are identical in content.

Bona Fide Analysis

For the *Preliminary Rescission*, the Department analyzed the *bona fides* of Thanh Hung’s sale and preliminarily found it to be non-*bona fide*.⁷ Based on the Department’s complete analysis of all the information and comments on the record of this review regarding the *bona fides* of Thanh Hung’s NSR sale, the Department continues to find Thanh Hung’s sale to be non-*bona fide* because of (a) the atypical nature of Thanh Hung’s price and quantity; (b) extraordinary expenses arising from the transaction; (c) the importer’s regular commercial interest; (d) atypical circumstances surrounding production; and (e) unreported connections to other entities.⁸ The Department did not base its analysis on any one factor but instead examined the totality of the evidence and comments on the record of this review to determine that Thanh Hung’s sale was not *bona fide*.

Rescission of New Shipper Review

For the foregoing reasons, the Department finds that the sale of Thanh Hung is non-*bona fide* and that this sale does not provide a reasonable or reliable basis for calculating a dumping margin. Because a non-*bona fide* sale was the only sale of subject merchandise during the POR, the Department is rescinding this NSR pursuant to section 351.214(f) of the Department’s regulations.

Cash Deposit Rates

The following cash deposit requirements continue to apply for all shipment of subject merchandise from Thanh Hung entered, or withdrawn from warehouse: (1) For subject merchandise produced and exported by

Service System (“IA ACCESS”) to AD and CVD Centralized Electronic Service System (“ACCESS”). The Web site location was changed from <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> to <http://access.trade.gov>. The Final Rule changing the references to the Regulations can be found at 79 FR 69046.

⁷ See “Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist’s Republic of Vietnam” from Gary Taverman, Senior Advisor for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, dated June 18, 2014 (“Preliminary Decision Memorandum”), and hereby adopted by this notice.

⁸ *Id.*

Thanh Hung, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the Vietnam-wide rate (*i.e.*, 2.39 U.S. Dollars/kg); (2) for subject merchandise exported by Thanh Hung but not manufactured by Thanh Hung, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the Vietnam-wide rate (*i.e.*, 2.39 U.S. Dollars/kg); and (3) for subject merchandise manufactured by Thanh Hung, but exported by any other party, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the exporter. These cash deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to Administrative Protective Order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this new shipper review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.214.

Dated: November 26, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—Issues and Decision Memorandum

Summary
Background
Scope of the Order
Discussion of the Issues
Comment: *Bona Fide* Nature of the Sale Under Review
Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2014–28459 Filed 12–2–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–570–997, C–583–852]

Non-Oriented Electrical Steel From the People’s Republic of China and Taiwan: Countervailing Duty Orders

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: Based on affirmative final determinations by the Department of