

**OFFICE OF PERSONNEL
MANAGEMENT****Submission for Review: OPM 1655,
Application for Senior Administrative
Law Judge, and OPM 1655–A,
Geographic Preference Statement for
Senior Administrative Law Judge
Applicant**

AGENCY: Office of Personnel
Management.

ACTION: 30-day notice and request for
comments.

SUMMARY: The Administrative Law
Judge Program Office, Office of
Personnel Management (OPM) offers the
general public and other federal
agencies the opportunity to comment on
an information collection request (ICR)
3206–0248, OPM 1655, *Application for
Senior Administrative Law Judge*, and
OPM 1655–A, *Geographic Preference
Statement for Senior Administrative
Law Judge Applicant*. OPM is soliciting
comments for this collection under 44
U.S.C. 3507(a)(1)(D) and 5 CFR
1320.5(a)(1)(iv) and 1320.10(a). The
information collection was previously
published in the **Federal Register** on
August 1, 2014 at 79 FR 44872 allowing
for a 60-day public comment period. No
comments were received for this
information collection. The purpose of
this notice is to allow an additional 30
days for public comments.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and
will be accepted until November 28,
2014.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are
invited to submit written comments on
the proposed information collection to
the Office of Information and Regulatory
Affairs, Office of Management Budget,
725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC
20503, Attention: Desk Officer for the
Office of Personnel Management or sent
via electronic mail to oir_submission@omb.eop.gov or faxed to (202) 395–6974.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A
copy of the proposed information
collection, with applicable supporting
documentation, may be obtained by
contacting the Administrative Law
Judge Office, Office of Personnel
Management, 1900 E Street NW.,
Washington, DC 20415, Attention:
Juanita H. Love, ALJ Program Manager
or sent via electronic mail to
juanita.love@opm.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Office
of Management and Budget is
particularly interested in comments
that:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed
collection of information is necessary
for the proper performance of the

functions of the agency, including
whether the information will have
practical utility;

2. Evaluate the accuracy of the
agency's estimate of the burden of the
proposed collection of information,
including the validity of the
methodology and assumptions used;

3. Enhance the quality, utility, and
clarity of the information to be
collected; and

4. Minimize the burden of the
collection of information on those who
are to respond, including through the
use of appropriate automated,
electronic, mechanical, or other
technological collection techniques or
other forms of information technology,
e.g., permitting electronic submissions
of responses.

OPM 1655, *Application for Senior
Administrative Law Judge*, and OPM
1655–A, *Geographic Preference
Statement for Senior Administrative
Law Judge Applicant*, are used by
retired Administrative Law Judges
seeking reemployment on a temporary
and intermittent basis to complete
hearings of one or more specified case(s)
in accordance with the Administrative
Procedure Act of 1946. OPM proposes to
revise the information collection to
more clearly state, in the form
instructions, the licensure requirement
for appointment as an ALJ; to eliminate
an obsolete reference to the OF 612,
Optional Application for Federal
Employment, which OPM canceled on
June 13, 2011, see 76 FR 31998; to
reference a full list of the Privacy Act
routine uses applicable to this
information collection; to update
geographic locations; and to make
technical changes to citations and
terminology.

Analysis

Agency: Administrative Law Judge
Program Office, Office of Personnel
Management.

Title: OPM 1655, *Application for
Senior Administrative Law Judge*, and
OPM 1655–A, *Geographic Preference
Statement for Senior Administrative
Law Judge Applicant*.

OMB Number: 3206–0248.

Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: Federal
Administrative Law Judge Retirees.

Number of Respondents:
Approximately 150—OPM 1655/
Approximately 200—OPM 1655–A.

Estimated Time per Respondent:
Approximately 30–45 Minutes—OPM
1655/Approximately 15–25 Minutes—
OPM 1655–A.

Total Burden Hours: Estimated 94
hours—OPM 1655/Estimated 67 hours—
OPM 1655–A.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

Katherine Archuleta,
Director.

[FR Doc. 2014–25688 Filed 10–28–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325–43–P

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION**

[Release No. 34–73419; File No. 10–214]

**Automated Matching Systems
Exchange, LLC; Order Instituting
Proceedings To Determine Whether To
Grant or Deny an Application for an
Exemption From Registration as a
National Securities Exchange Under
Section 5 of the Securities Exchange
Act of 1934**

October 23, 2014.

I. Introduction

On July 7, 2014, Automated Matching
Systems Exchange, LLC (“AMSE”) filed
with the Securities and Exchange
Commission (“Commission”) an
application seeking a limited volume
exemption under Section 5 of the
Securities Exchange Act (“Exchange
Act”) from registration as a national
securities exchange under Section 6
of the Exchange Act.¹ Notice of AMSE's
exemption application was published
for comment in the **Federal Register** on
July 29, 2014.² Although Section 5 of
the Exchange Act does not require the
Commission to institute proceedings on
whether to grant or deny AMSE's
exemption application, the Commission
has determined, in its discretion, to
institute such proceedings in order to
solicit further the views of interested
persons on AMSE's exemption
application. This order institutes
proceedings to determine whether to
grant or deny the exemption
application.

II. Description of AMSE's System

AMSE proposes to conduct business
in reliance upon an exemption from
registration as a national securities
exchange pursuant to Section 5 of the
Exchange Act.³ In general, AMSE seeks
to operate as an exchange for alternative
trading systems.⁴ AMSE proposes to

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78e.

² See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 72661
(July 23, 2014), 79 FR 44070 (“Notice”). The
Commission notes that Section 5 of the Exchange
Act did not require publication of AMSE's
exemption application. The Commission
determined, in its discretion, to publish the Notice
in order to solicit the views of interested persons
on AMSE's exemption application.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78e.

⁴ For more detail on AMSE's proposed system,
see AMSE's full exemption application and