maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; to train personnel and to be able to respond to a collection of information, to search data sources, to complete and review the collection of information; and to transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

All written comments will be available for public inspection at Regulations.gov.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget control number.

Kathy Plowitz-Worden,
Panel Coordinator, Guidelines and Panel Operations.

FOR MEDIA INFORMATION CONTACT: Eric Weiss, (202) 314–6100 or by email at eric.weiss@ntsb.gov.

Dated: October 17, 2014.
Candi R. Bing,
Federal Register Liaison Officer.

BILLING CODE 7533–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Notice No. NRC–2014–0174]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The NRC published a Federal Register notice with a 60-day comment period on this information collection on July 30, 2014.

1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: New.
2. The title of the information collection: Generic Communications Program.
3. Current OMB approval number: 3150–XXXX.
4. The form number if applicable: N/A.
5. How often the collection is required: On occasion.
6. Who will be required or asked to report: Nuclear power reactor licensees, non-power reactors and materials applicants and licensees.
7. An estimate of the number of annual responses: 2,200.
8. The estimated number of annual respondents: 500.
9. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 160,000.
10. Abstract: The NRC is requesting approval of a generic clearance to collect information concerning possible non-routine generic problems which would require prompt action from the NRC to preclude potential threats to public health and safety.

The public may examine and have copied for a fee publicly-available documents, including the final supporting statement, at the NRC’s Public Document Room, Room O–1F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852. The OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC’s Web site: http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/. The document will be available on the NRC’s home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice. Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer listed below by November 20, 2014.

Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given to comments received after this date.

Vlad Dorjets, Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–XXXX), NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be emailed to Vladik_Dorjets@omb.eop.gov or submitted by telephone at 202–395–7315.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Tremaine Donnell, telephone: 301–415–6258.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of October, 2014.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Tremaine Donnell,
NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

PRIVACY AND CIVIL LIBERTIES OVERSIGHT BOARD

[Notice–PCLOB–2014–05; Docket No. 2014–0001; Sequence 5]

Notice of Meeting

AGENCY: Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board.

ACTION: Notice of meeting; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: The Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board will conduct a public meeting with industry representatives, academics, technologists, government personnel, and members of the advocacy community, on the topic: “Defining Privacy.” While the Board will address the definition of privacy in the context of government counterterrorism programs, it is also interested in what
conceptual interests are involved in the protection of privacy, how the impact of technology has affected privacy, what privacy interests have been identified by government privacy officials, what lessons have been learned in the private sector, and what the best way is for government to address privacy concerns. Interested parties are encouraged to attend and to submit comments. The meeting and comments will inform the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board’s approach to privacy issues within its statutory purview. Visit www.pclob.gov for a list of panelists closer to the meeting date.

DATES: The meeting will be held Wednesday, November 12, 2014 from 8:00 a.m. through 4:30 p.m. (Eastern Standard Time). Written comments must be received on or before December 31, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Washington Marriott Georgetown Hotel, 1221 22nd Street NW., Washington, DC 20037. Any change in location will be announced on www.pclob.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sharon Bradford Franklin, Executive Director, 202–331–1986, or send your inquiry to: info@pclob.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Agenda

Doors open at 8:00 a.m. (Eastern Standard Time). The meeting will begin promptly at 8:30 a.m. and will be divided into the following panels:

• Panel 1: Defining Privacy Interests.
• Panel 2: Privacy Interests in the Counterterrorism Context and the Impact of Technology.
• Panel 3: Privacy Interests Identified and Addressed by Government Privacy Officials.
• Panel 4: Applying Lessons Learned from the Private Sector.

A formal agenda will be available at www.pclob.gov closer to the meeting date.

Procedures for Public Observation

The meeting is open to the public. Pre-registration is not required. Individuals who plan to attend and require special assistance should contact Sharon Bradford Franklin, Executive Director, 202–331–1986, at least 72 hours prior to the meeting date.

Public Comments

The Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board invites written comments of interested persons regarding privacy in the counterterrorism context. You may submit comments with the docket number PCLOB–2014–05 by the following method:

Submit comments identified by Notice PCLOB 2014–05, Notice of a Meeting by any of the following methods:

• Regulations.gov: http://www.regulations.gov. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by searching “PCLOB 2014–05”. Select the link “Comment Now” that corresponds with “Notice PCLOB 2014–05, Notice of a Meeting”. Follow the instructions provided on the screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and “Notice PCLOB 2014–05, Notice of a Meeting”, on your attached document.
• Instructions: Please submit comments only and cite Notice PCLOB 2014–05, Notice of a Meeting, in all correspondence related to this collection. All comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided.
• Written comments may be submitted at any time prior to the closing of the docket at 11:59 p.m. Eastern Standard Time on December 31, 2014.


Peter Winn,

Acting General Counsel, Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–2736.

Extension:


Rule 15c2–7 places disclosure requirements on broker-dealers who have correspondent relationships, or agreements identified in the rule, with other broker-dealers. Whenever any such broker-dealer enters a quotation for a security through an inter-dealer quotation system, Rule 15c2–7 requires the broker-dealer to disclose these relationships and agreements in the manner required by the rule. The inter-dealer quotation system must also be able to make these disclosures public in association with the quotation the broker-dealer is making.

When Rule 15c2–7 was adopted in 1964, the information it requires was necessary for execution of the Commission’s mandate under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to prevent fraudulent, manipulative and deceptive acts by broker-dealers. In the absence of the information collection required under Rule 15c2–7, investors and broker-dealers would have been unable to accurately determine the market depth of, and demand for, securities in an inter-dealer quotation system.

There are approximately 4,342 broker-dealers registered with the Commission. Any of these broker-dealers could be potential respondents for Rule 15c2–7, so the Commission is using that figure to represent the number of respondents. Rule 15c2–7 applies only to quotations entered into an inter-dealer quotation system, such as the OTC Bulletin Board (“OTCBB”), or OTC Link (formerly, “Pink Sheets”), operated by OTC Markets Group Inc. (“OTC Link”). According to representatives of both OTC Link and the OTCBB, neither entity has recently received, or anticipates receiving any Rule 15c2–7 notices. However, because such notices could be made, the Commission estimates that one filing is made annually pursuant to Rule 15c2–7.

Based on prior industry reports, the Commission estimates that the average time required to enter a disclosure pursuant to the rule is .75 minutes, or 45 seconds. The Commission sees no reason to change this estimate. We estimate that impacted respondents spend a total of .0125 hours per year to comply with the requirements of Rule 15c2–7 (1 notice [x] 45 seconds/notice). Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission’s estimates of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity