DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[A–570–016]

Certain Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires From the People’s Republic of China: Initiation of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Commerce.

DATES: Effective October 9, 2014.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 14, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the antidumping duty (AD) investigation of certain passenger vehicle and light truck tires from the People’s Republic of China.1 Currently, the preliminary determination is due no later than December 1, 2014.

Postponement of Preliminary Determination

Section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.205(b)(1) require the Department to issue the preliminary determination in an AD investigation no later than 140 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation.

Pursuant to section 733(c)(1)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(b)(2), the Department concludes that because of the extraordinary complexity of this case and the number of firms whose activities we must investigate, including over 80 separate rate applications, it is not practicable to complete the preliminary determination by the current deadline. Therefore, in accordance with section 733(c)(1)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(b)(2), the Department is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determination to no later than 190 days after the date on which it initiated this investigation. As a result of this postponement, the deadline for completion of the preliminary determination is now January 20, 2015.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).


Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

BILLING CODE 3510–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[A–570–954]


AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the “Department”) is conducting the third administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain magnesia carbon bricks (“bricks”) from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”),1 for the period of review (“POR”), September 1, 2012, to August 31, 2013. The Department preliminarily determines that there are no reviewable entries during the POR. The Department invites interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Effective October 9, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jerry Huang, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4047.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order includes certain magnesia carbon bricks. Certain magnesia carbon bricks that are the subject of this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 6902.10.1000, 6902.10.5000, 6815.91.0000, 6815.99.2000 and 6815.99.4000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.2

Background

On September 3, 2013, the Department published an opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order for bricks from the PRC.3 In September 2013, the Department received timely requests from interested parties to conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on bricks from the PRC. Based upon these requests, on November 8, 2013, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the Order covering 162 companies.4

Partial Rescission of the Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if all parties who requested the review withdraw their requests within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. On January 31, 2014, Petitioner and Magnesita timely withdrew their request for an administrative review for all but two companies.5 Because ANH did not withdraw its request for review for three companies, requests for review for a total of five companies remain. Two of the companies for which Petitioner and Magnesita withdrew their request, RHI Refractories Lianoung Co., Ltd. (“RHI”) and Yingkou New Century Refractories Ltd. (“New Century”), previously


2 For a full description of the scope of the Order, see Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, “Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Third Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks From the People’s Republic of China,” (“Preliminary Decision Memorandum”) dated concurrently with these results and hereby adopted by this notice.

3 See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review, 78 FR 54235, (September 3, 2013).


established their entitlement to a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding. No other party requested a review of RHI and New Century. Accordingly, the Department is rescinding this administrative review with respect to RHI and New Century in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

For those companies named in the Initiation Notice for which all review requests have been withdrawn, but which were not eligible for separate-rate status at the initiation of this review, the Department’s practice is to refrain from rescinding the review with respect to those companies at this time.7 While the request for review of these companies was timely withdrawn, we preliminarily determine that the companies remain part of the PRC-wide entity. Those companies are listed at Appendix I. The PRC-wide entity is under review for these preliminary results as noted below.8 Thus, we are not rescinding this review with respect to these companies, but the Department will make a determination with respect to the PRC-wide entity at the conclusion of this review.

Fedmet stated that although the Initiation Notice included Fedmet as a producer of subject merchandise, it is not a PRC producer but a U.S. importer.9 Fedmet provided information from this and a previous segment to demonstrate its status as an importer.10 Based on the information available, the Department preliminarily determines that Fedmet’s entries will be subject to the appropriate exporter’s cash deposit requirements and assessment rates, as outlined below. Accordingly, we are preliminarily rescinding this review for Fedmet.

Separate Rate Status

For the five companies whose review requests remain,11 we preliminarily determine that only Fengchi demonstrated its continued eligibility for a separate rate because, as discussed below, it demonstrated that it had no shipments during the POR and thus will maintain its separate rate status from the date of the initiation of this administrative review. Puyang, Fengchi Mining Co., Ltd. of Haicheng City, and Fengchi Refractories Corp. did not submit a separate rate application or certification. Therefore, these four companies have not established their eligibility for a separate rate, and the Department preliminarily determines that they be considered part of the PRC-wide entity. Accordingly the PRC-wide entity is under review.

Preliminary Finding of No Shipments

Fengchi submitted a timely-filed certification that it had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.12 The Department queried the import data from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) and found no suspended antidumping or countervailing duty entries for Fengchi. The Department issued a no-shipment inquiry to CBP with respect to Fengchi, asking CBP to provide any information contrary to our findings of no suspended entries of subject merchandise exported by Fengchi during the POR. We received no contradictory information from CBP, indicating that there were suspended entries of subject merchandise exported into the United States exported by Fengchi.

Therefore, we preliminarily determine that Fengchi had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. Because Fengchi submitted a timely no-shipment certification and CBP data indicated that there were no suspended antidumping or countervailing duty entries for Fengchi during the POR, we preliminarily determine that Fengchi will retain its separate-rate status from the date of the initiation of this administrative review.

Methodology

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached to this notice in Appendix II. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (“IA ACCESS”). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at http://iaaccess.trade.gov, and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Preliminary Results of Review

The Department preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period September 1, 2012, through August 31, 2013:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRC-Wide Entity</td>
<td>236.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Public Comment & Opportunity To Request a Hearing

Interested parties may submit case briefs within 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review.14 Rebuttals to case briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, must be filed within five days after the time limit for filing case briefs.15 Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with the argument (a) a statement of the issue, (b) a brief summary of the argument, and (c) a table of authorities.16 Parties submitting briefs should do so pursuant to the Department’s electronic filing system, IA ACCESS.

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice.17 Hearing requests should contain the following information: (1) The party’s name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs.
If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.\(^\text{18}\)

The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of our analysis of all issues raised in the case briefs, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results in the Federal Register, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

**Assessment Rates**

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.\(^\text{19}\) The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of the final results of this review. We intend to instruct CBP to liquidate entries containing subject merchandise exported by the PRC-wide entity at a rate equal to the weighted-average dumping margin specified above. Additionally, if the Department determines that an exporter had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter’s case number (i.e., at that exporter’s cash deposit rate) will be liquidated at the rate for the PRC-wide entity.\(^\text{20}\) The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future cash deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by sections 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters that received a separate rate in a prior completed segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (2) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity; and (3) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

**Notification to Interested Parties**

These preliminary results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).


Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

**Appendix I**

**Companies Subject to the Administrative Review That Are Part of the PRC-Wide Entity**

1. ANH (Xinyi) Refractories Co. Ltd.
2. Anyang Rongzhu Silicon Industry Co., Ltd.
6. Changxing Magnesium Furnace Charge Co., Ltd.
10. China Quantai Metallurgical (Beijing) Engineering & Science Co., Ltd.
11. Chosun Refractories.
13. CNBM International Corporation.
14. Dalian Cerax Co., Ltd.
15. Dalian Dalmond Trading Co., Ltd.
17. Dalian F.T.Z. Maylong Resources Co., Ltd.
18. Dalian Huayu Refractories International Co., Ltd.
19. Dalian LST Metallurgy Co., Ltd.
21. Dalian Mayeront Refractories Ltd.
22. Dalian Morgan Refractories Ltd.
23. Dashiqiao Bozhong Mineral Products Co., Ltd.
24. Dashiqiao City Magnesite.
25. Dashiqiao City Guangcheng Refractory Co., Ltd.
26. Dashiqiao Jia Sheng Mining Co., Ltd.
27. Dashiqiao Jinlong Refractories Co., Ltd.
28. Dashiqiao RongXing Refractory Material Co., Ltd.
29. Dashiqiao Sanqiang Refractory Material Co., Ltd.
31. Dashiqiao Zhongqiang Magnesia.
32. Dengfeng Desheng Refractory Co., Ltd.
33. DFL Minmet Refractories Corp.
34. Dufeco SA.
35. Dufeco BarInvest SA Beijing Office.
36. Dufeco Ironet Shanghai Representative Office.
37. Eastern Industries & Trading Co., Ltd.
38. Far Horizon Trading Limited.
39. Fengchi Mining Co., Ltd of Haicheng City.
40. Fengchi Refractories Co., of Haicheng City.
41. Fengchi Refractories Corp.
42. Ferro Alliages & Mineraux Inc.
43. Firma.
44. Haicheng City Quini Mining Co., Ltd.
45. Haicheng City Xiyang Import & Export Corporation.
46. Haicheng Donghe Taidi Refractory Co., Ltd.
47. Haicheng Ruitong Mining Co., Ltd.
49. Henan Boma Co. Ltd.
50. Henan Kingway Chemicals Co., Ltd.
51. Henan Tagore Refractories Co., Ltd.
52. Henan Xinmi Changzxing Refractories, Co., Ltd.
53. Hebei Qinghe Refractory Group Co Ltd.
54. Huailin Refractories (Dashiqiao) Pte. Ltd.
55. Hualude Hardware Products Co Ltd.
56. Indian Technomac Co., Ltd.
57. Jfe Refractories Corporation.
58. Jiangsu Sujia Group New Materials Co., Ltd.
59. Jiangsu Sujia Joint-Stock Co., Ltd.
60. Jinan Forever Imp. & Emp. Trading Co., Ltd.
61. Jinan Linguan Imp. & Emp. Co. Ltd.
62. Jinan Ludong Refractory Co., Ltd.
63. Kosmokraft Refractory Limited.
64. Kuehne & Nagel Ltd. Dalian Branch Office.
65. Kumus Sanavi Urunleri Ve Insaat Pazar.
66. Lechang City Guangdong Province SongXin Refractories Co., Ltd.
67. Liaoning Fucheng Refractories Group Co., Ltd.
68. Liaoning Fucheng Special Refractory Co., Ltd.
69. Liaoning Jiayi Metals & Minerals Ltd.
70. Liaoning Jiaxing Magnesite Group.
SUMMARY:
On July 11, 2014, the Department published the **Preliminary Results**. The POR is 7/1/2012 through 6/30/2013. We invited interested parties to comment on the **Preliminary Results**. We received no comments.

The Department conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

**Scope of the Order**

The merchandise subject to the order is solid urea, a high-nitrogen content fertilizer which is produced by reacting ammonia with carbon dioxide. The product is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedules of the United States (HTSUS) item number 3102.10.00.00. Previously, such merchandise was classified under item number 480.3000 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive.

**Final Results of the Review**

The Department made no changes to its calculations announced in the **Preliminary Results**. As a result of our review, we determine that a weighted-average dumping margin and the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and **Effective Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty**.

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

International Trade Administration

**[A–821–801]**

**Solid Urea From the Russian Federation: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On July 11, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on solid urea from the Russian Federation (Russia). For the final results, we continue to find that MCC EuroChem has not sold subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review (POR).

**DATES:** Effective October 9, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jerroll Freeman or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0180, and (202) 482–1690, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On July 11, 2014, the Department published the **Preliminary Results**. The POR is 7/1/2012 through 6/30/2013. We invited interested parties to comment on the **Preliminary Results**. We received no comments.

The Department conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

**Scope of the Order**

The merchandise subject to the order is solid urea, a high-nitrogen content fertilizer which is produced by reacting ammonia with carbon dioxide. The product is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedules of the United States (HTSUS) item number 3102.10.00.00. Previously, such merchandise was classified under item number 480.3000 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive.

**Final Results of the Review**

The Department made no changes to its calculations announced in the **Preliminary Results**. As a result of our review, we determine that a weighted-average dumping margin of 0.00 percent exists for MCC EuroChem for the POR.

**Assessment Rates**

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212 and the **Final Modification**, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate...