

Rights no later than November 1, 2014. Letters of interest must be sent to the address listed below.

Because the terms of the members of the South Carolina Advisory Committee are expiring on February 7, 2015, the United States Commission on Civil Rights hereby invites any individual who is eligible to be appointed to apply. The memberships are exclusively for the South Carolina Advisory Committee, and applicants must be residents of South Carolina to be considered. Letters of interest must be received by the Southern Regional Office of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights no later than November 1, 2014. Letters of interest must be sent to the address listed below.

DATES: Letters of interest for membership on the Colorado Advisory Committee should be received no later than November 1, 2014.

Letters of interest for membership on the Louisiana Advisory Committee should be received no later than November 1, 2014.

Letters of interest for membership on the Ohio Advisory Committee should be received no later than November 1, 2014.

Letters of interest for membership on the South Carolina Advisory Committee should be received no later than November 1, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Send letters of interest for the Colorado Advisory Committee to: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, Rocky Mountain Regional Office, 999 18th Street NW., Suite 1380 South, Denver, CO 80294. Letter can also be sent via email to ebohor@usccr.gov.

Send letters of interest for the Louisiana Advisory Committee to: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, Central Regional Office, 400 State Avenue, Suite 908, Kansas City, KS 66101. Letter can also be sent via email to csanders@usccr.gov.

Send letters of interest for the Ohio Advisory Committee to: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, Midwestern Regional Office, 55 W. Monroe St., Suite 410, Chicago, IL 60603. Letter can also be sent via email to callen@usccr.gov.

Send letters of interest for the South Carolina Advisory Committee to: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, Southern Regional Office, 61 Forsyth St. SW., Suite 16T126, Atlanta, GA 30303. Letters can also be sent via email to jhinton@usccr.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Mussatt, Chief, Regional Programs Unit, 55 W. Monroe St., Suite 410, Chicago, IL 60603, (312) 353-8311.

Questions can also be directed via email to dmussatt@usccr.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Colorado, Louisiana, Ohio, and South Carolina Advisory Committees (SACs) are statutorily mandated federal advisory committees of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1975a. Under the charter for the SACs, the purpose is to provide advice and recommendations to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (Commission) on a broad range of civil rights matters in its respective state that pertain to alleged deprivations of voting rights or discrimination or denials of equal protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, sex, age, disability, or national origin, or the administration of justice. SACs also provide assistance to the Commission in its statutory obligation to serve as a national clearinghouse for civil rights information.

The SAC consists of not more than 19 members, each of whom will serve a two-year term. Members serve as unpaid Special Government Employees who are reimbursed for travel and expenses. To be eligible to be on a SAC, applicants must be residents of the respective state and have demonstrated expertise or interest in civil rights issues.

The Commission is an independent, bipartisan agency established by Congress in 1957 to focus on matters of race, color, religion, sex, age, disability, or national origin. Its mandate is to:

- Investigate complaints from citizens that their voting rights are being deprived,
- Study and collect information about discrimination or denials of equal protection under the law,
- Appraise federal civil rights laws and policies,
- Serve as a national clearinghouse on discrimination laws,
- Submit reports and findings and recommendations to the President and the Congress, and
- Issue public service announcements to discourage discrimination.

The Commission invites any individual who is eligible to be appointed a member of the Colorado, Louisiana, Ohio, or South Carolina Advisory Committee covered by this notice to send a letter of interest and a résumé to the respective address above.

Dated in Chicago, IL, on October 1, 2014.

David Mussatt,

Chief, Regional Programs Unit.

[FR Doc. 2014-23746 Filed 10-3-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-848]

Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Review; 2012-2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review and new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People's Republic of China (PRC). The period of review (POR) for the administrative review and new shipper review is September 1, 2012, through August 31, 2013. The Department preliminarily determines that Hubei Nature Agriculture Industry Co., Ltd. (Hubei Nature, the new shipper), and Xiping Opeck Food Co., Ltd. (Xiping Opeck) have not made sales of subject merchandise in the United States at prices below normal value.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 6, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Bryan Hansen or Hermes Pinilla, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3683, and (202) 482-3477, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the antidumping duty order is freshwater crawfish tail meat, which is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers 1605.40.10.10, 1605.40.10.90, 0306.19.00.10, and 0306.29.00.00. On February 10, 2012, the Department added HTSUS classification number 0306.29.01.00 to the scope description pursuant to a request by U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). While the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.¹

¹ See the memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Decision Memorandum for the

Methodology

The Department conducted these reviews in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export Price is calculated in accordance with section 772(c) of the Act. Because the PRC is a non-market economy (NME) within the meaning of section 771(18) of the Act, normal value has been calculated in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Preliminary Results of Reviews

The Department determines that the following preliminary dumping margins exist for the administrative review covering the period September 1, 2012, through August 31, 2013:

| Exporter | Weighted average dumping margin (percent) |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Xiping Opeck Food Co., Ltd. | 0.00 |

As a result of the new shipper review, the Department preliminarily determines that a dumping margin of 0.00 percent exists for merchandise produced and exported by Hubei Nature Agriculture Industry Co., Ltd. covering the period September 1, 2012, through August 31, 2013.

Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department will disclose calculations performed in these preliminary results to the parties within

Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Review: Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China" dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

five days after the date of publication of this notice.² Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit cases briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review.³ Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with the argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities. Rebuttals briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the time limit for filing the case briefs, as specified by 19 CFR 351.309(d).

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, filed electronically using IA ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the Department's IA ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.⁴ Hearing requests should contain (1) the party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, we will inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing which will be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington DC 20230, at a time and location to be determined.⁵ Parties should confirm by telephone or email the date, time, and location of the hearing.

Unless the deadline is extended pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, the Department will issue the final results of these reviews, including the results of its analysis of issues raised by parties in their comments, within 120 days after the publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

Assessment Rates

Upon issuing the final results, the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by these reviews.⁶ If a respondent's weighted average dumping margin is above *de minimis* (i.e., 0.50 percent) in the final results of these reviews, the Department

will calculate an importer-specific assessment rate on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales and, where possible, the total entered value of sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). In these preliminary results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in the *Final Modification for Reviews*, i.e., on the basis of monthly average-to-average comparisons using only the transactions associated with the importer with offsets being provided for non-dumped comparisons.⁷ Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.⁸

Pursuant to a refinement in the Department's assessment practice in NME cases,⁹ for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate. We intend to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of these reviews.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of these reviews for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the companies listed above that have a separate rate, with the exception of Hubei Nature (see below), the cash deposit rate will be that established in the final results of these reviews (except if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, i.e., less than 0.5 percent, then no cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate

⁷ See *Antidumping Proceeding: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012) (*Final Modification for Reviews*).

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

⁹ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

² See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

³ See 19 CFR 351.309(c).

⁴ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

will be that for the PRC-wide entity; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter.

With respect to Hubei Nature, the new shipper respondent, the Department established a combination cash deposit rate for this company consistent with its practice as follows: (1) For subject merchandise produced and exported by Hubei Nature, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for Hubei Nature in the final results of the NSR; (2) for subject merchandise exported by Hubei Nature, but not produced by Hubei Nature, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the PRC-wide entity; and (3) for subject merchandise produced by Hubei Nature but not exported by Hubei Nature, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the exporter.

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during these PORs. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing the preliminary results of these reviews in accordance with sections 751(a)(1), 751(a)(2)(B)(iv), 751(a)(3), 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h), 351.214 and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: September 29, 2014.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Order
4. Bona Fides Analysis
5. Non-Market-Economy Country Status
6. Surrogate Country
7. Separate Rates
8. Absence of De Jure Control
9. Absence of De Facto Control
10. Fair Value Comparisons
11. U.S. Price
12. Normal Value
13. Surrogate Values
14. Currency Conversion

15. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2014-23793 Filed 10-3-14; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-924]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Results of Administrative Review and Notice of Amended Final Results of Administrative Review Pursuant to Court Decision

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 22, 2014, the United States Court of International Trade ("CIT") sustained the Department of Commerce's ("the Department") results of redetermination, pursuant to the CIT's remand order, in *Dupont Teijin Films v. United States*, 997 F. Supp. 2d 1338 (CIT 2014).¹

Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ("CAFC") in *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) ("Timken"), as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) ("Diamond Sawblades"), the Department is notifying the public that the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the Department's *PET Film Final Results*² and is amending the final results with respect to the margins assigned to Tianjin Wanhua Co., Ltd., Sichuan Dongfang Insulating Material Co., Ltd., Fuwei Films (Shandong) Co., Ltd., and Shaoxing Xiangyu Green Packing Co., Ltd. ("Respondents") for the period of review ("POR") November 1, 2009, through October 31, 2010.

Effective Date: August 1, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Martin, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3936.

¹ See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, Court No. 12-00088, dated February 7, 2014, available at: <http://enforcement.trade.gov/remands/index.html> ("PET Film Final Remand").

² See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the 2009-2010 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 77 FR 14493 (March 12, 2012) ("PET Film Final Results").

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 12, 2012, the Department published the *PET Film Final Results*. Domestic producers Dupont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc., SKC, Inc., and Toray Plastics (America), Inc. appealed the *PET Film Final Results* to the CIT, and on February 7, 2013, the CIT issued its first remand order in this case concerning the Department's selection of its surrogate country.³ On August 21, 2013, the CIT issued its second remand and ordered the Department to reconsider its surrogate country selection with the benefit of 2009 per-capita gross national income data.⁴

Pursuant to the CIT's remand instructions, the Department, under protest, selected South Africa as the primary surrogate country for calculating normal value.⁵ The CIT sustained the Department's *PET Film Final Remand* on July 22, 2014,⁶ and, as a result, the Respondents' dumping margins changed.

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*, 893 F.2d at 341, as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*, the CAFC has held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), the Department must publish a notice of a court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Department determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's July 22, 2014, judgment sustaining the *PET Film Final Remand* constitutes a final decision of that court that is not in harmony with the *PET Film Final Results*. This notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*.

Amended Final Results

Because there is now a final court decision with respect to the *PET Film Final Results*, the revised dumping margins are as follows:

| Exporter | Weighted-average margin (percent) |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Tianjin Wanhua Co., Ltd. | 19.49 |
| Sichuan Dongfang Insulating Material Co., Ltd. | 14.25 |
| Fuwei Films (Shandong) Co., Ltd. | 19.35 |

³ See *Dupont Teijin Films v. United States*, 896 F. Supp. 2d 1302 (CIT 2013).

⁴ See *Dupont Teijin Films v. United States*, 931 F. Supp. 2d 1297 (CIT 2013) ("Remand Opinion and Order").

⁵ See *PET Film Final Remand*.

⁶ See *Dupont Teijin Films v. United States*, 997 F. Supp. 2d 1338 (CIT 2014).