

Day	Event/Activity
A .....	If access granted: Issuance of presiding officer or other designated officer decision on motion for protective order for access to sensitive information (including schedule for providing access and submission of contentions) or decision reversing a final adverse determination by the NRC staff.
A + 3 .....	Deadline for filing executed Non-Disclosure Affidavits. Access provided to SUNSI consistent with decision issuing the protective order.
A + 23 .....	Deadline for submission of contentions whose development depends upon access to SUNSI. However, if more than 25 days remain between the petitioner's receipt of (or access to) the information and the deadline for filing all other contentions (as established in the notice of hearing or opportunity for hearing), the petitioner may file its SUNSI contentions by that later deadline.
A + 48 .....	(Contention receipt +25) Answers to contentions whose development depends upon access to SUNSI.
A + 55 .....	(Answer receipt +7) Petitioner/Intervenor reply to answers.
>A + 55 .....	Decision on contention admission.

[FR Doc. 2014-23800 Filed 10-3-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-255; NRC-2014-0216]

### Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.; Palisades Nuclear Plant

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** 10 CFR 2.206 request; receipt.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is giving notice that by petition dated March 5, 2014, as supplemented by email dated May 21, 2014, Michael Mulligan (the petitioner) has requested that the NRC take enforcement action against Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., due to recent plant events and equipment failures at Palisades Nuclear Plant (PNP). The petitioner's requests are included in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

**ADDRESSES:** Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2014-0216 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2014-0216. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301-287-3422; email: [Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov](mailto:Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov).

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents" and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by

email to [pdr.resource@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr.resource@nrc.gov). The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced in this document (if that document is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that a document is referenced.

- *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given that by petition dated March 5, 2014 (ADAMS Accession No. ML14071A006), as supplemented by email dated May 21, 2014 (ADAMS Accession No. ML14142A101), and the petitioner's addresses to the Petition Review Board dated April 8 and September 3, 2014 (ADAMS Accession Nos. ML14143A212 and ML14259A135, respectively), the petitioner, has asked the NRC to take enforcement action against Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., due to recent plant events and equipment failures at PNP. The petitioner was particularly concerned with primary coolant pump (PCP) impeller pieces breaking off and lodging in the reactor vessel.

The petitioner requests the following actions:

- Require PNP to open every PCP for inspection and clear up all flaws.
- Require PNP to replace the PCPs with a design for their intended duty.
- An Office of Inspector General (OIG) inspection on why there are different analysis criteria for similar PCP events between the NRC regions.
- A ten million dollar fine for these events.

- Intensify NRC monitoring of PNP, and return them to yellow or red status.

As the basis for the request, the petitioner stated, in part, the following:

- The petitioner cited other recent plant events and equipment failures, such as leakage from the safety injection refueling water tank, and flaws in the control rod drive mechanisms.

- The petitioner asserted the licensee and the NRC staff used non-conservative

engineering judgment during the evaluation of the lodged PCP impeller piece and during the operability evaluation of the existing PCP impellers.

- The petitioner asserts that the NRC staff was not being aggressive in resolving plant equipment issues, not resolving PCP equipment issues uniformly across the NRC regions, and accommodating the nuclear industry.

The request is being treated pursuant to § 2.206 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), and has been referred to the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR). In accordance with 10 CFR 2.206, the NRC will take appropriate action on this petition within a reasonable period of time. The petitioner met with the NRR's Petition Review Board on April 8 and September 3, 2014, to discuss the petition. The Petition Review Board considered the results of that discussion in its determination of the petitioner's request for immediate action and in the establishment of the schedule for the review of the petition.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 25th day of September 2014.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Daniel H. Dorman,**

*Acting Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 2014-23802 Filed 10-3-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2014-0093]

### Medical Evaluation of Licensed Personnel for Nuclear Power Plants

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Regulatory guide; issuance.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing revision 4 to Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.134, "Medical Assessment of Licensed

Operators or Applicants for Operator Licenses at Nuclear Power Plants.” The NRC is updating RG 1.134 based upon the regulatory experience gained since the previous revision was issued and to endorse the 2013 revision to the underlying consensus standard, American National Standard Institute/American Nuclear Society (ANSI/ANS) Standard 3.4, “Medical Certification and Monitoring of Personnel Requiring Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants.” The guide helps to ensure that medical certifications (and related medical evidence) are sufficient to meet the NRC’s nuclear power reactor operator licensing requirements.

**ADDRESSES:** Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2014–0093 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC–2014–0093. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301–287–3422; email: [Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov](mailto:Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov). For technical questions, contact the individual(s) listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may access publicly available documents online in the NRC Library at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select “ADAMS Public Documents” and then select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to [pdr.resource@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr.resource@nrc.gov). The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced in this notice (if that document is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that a document is referenced. Revision 4 of Regulatory Guide 1.134 is available in ADAMS under Accession No. ML14189A385. The regulatory analysis may be found in ADAMS under Accession No. ML13352A279.

- *NRC’s PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC’s PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

Regulatory guides are not copyrighted, and NRC approval is not required to reproduce them.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lawrence Vick, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, telephone: 301–

415–3181, email: [Lawrence.Vick@nrc.gov](mailto:Lawrence.Vick@nrc.gov), or Richard Jervey, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, telephone: 301–251–7404, email: [Richard.Jervey@nrc.gov](mailto:Richard.Jervey@nrc.gov). Both are staff of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **I. Introduction**

The NRC is issuing a revision to an existing guide in the NRC’s “Regulatory Guide” series. This series was developed to describe and make available to the public information such as methods that are acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the agency’s regulations, techniques that the staff uses in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and data that the staff needs in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

Revision 4 of RG 1.134 was issued with a temporary identification as draft regulatory guide (DG), DG–1310. The guidance is intended for use by nuclear power plant license holders under part 50 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), “Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities,” and 10 CFR Part 52, “Licenses, Certifications, and Approvals for Nuclear Power Plants.” Licensees of these facilities are required under 10 CFR 50.54, “Conditions of Licensees,” to use qualified licensed operators as described in 10 CFR Part 55, “Operators’ Licenses.”

Regulatory Guide 1.134, Revision 3, “Medical Evaluation of Licensed Personnel for Nuclear Power Plants,” was issued in 1998 to identify that consensus standard ANSI/ANS 3.4 (1996), “Medical Certification and Monitoring of Personnel Requiring Operator Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants,” was a method acceptable to the staff for complying with those portions of the NRC’s regulations associated with approval or acceptance of medical examination certifications at nuclear power plants.

The consensus standard ANSI/ANS 3.4 was issued in 2013 to provide clarification and comprehensive medical guidance to improve industry’s consistent implementation of the standard. This included clarification of specific minimum requirements, disqualifying conditions, conditional restrictions, examination methods, and monitoring methods for each medical area. The 2013 issue also included consideration of other industry medical standards, including those of the U.S. Department of Transportation and

Federal Aviation Administration as well as medical criteria that reflected progressions in medical science including updated terminology, current medical practices, criteria for normality, and risk assessments.

Regulatory Guide 1.134 was revised to identify to licensees that ANSI/ANS 3.4–2013 is acceptable for their use. The guide helps to ensure that medical certifications (and related medical evidence) used to meet the operator licensing requirements of 10 CFR part 55 are sufficient with respect to (1) an applicant’s or operator licensee’s medical examination, as described in 10 CFR 55.21, “Medical Examination”; (2) a facility licensee’s medical certification, as described in 10 CFR 55.23, “Certification”; (3) an operator licensee’s incapacitation because of disability or illness, as described in 10 CFR 55.25, “Incapacitation Because of Disability or Illness”; and (4) a facility licensee’s medical documentation, as described in 10 CFR 55.27, “Documentation.”

##### **II. Additional Information**

The DG–1310 was published in the **Federal Register** on April 25, 2014 (79 FR 23017), for a 30-day public comment period. The public comment period closed on May 27, 2014. There were no public comments received.

##### **III. Congressional Review Act**

This RG is a rule as defined in the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801–808). However, the Office of Management and Budget has not found it to be a major rule as defined in the Congressional Review Act.

##### **IV. Backfitting and Issue Finality**

Regulatory Guide 1.134, Revision 4, provides updated guidance on the methods acceptable to the NRC staff for complying with the NRC’s regulations associated with approval or acceptance of the medical assessment of an applicant for, or holder of, an operator or senior operator license at a nuclear power plant. The guide applies to current and future applicants for, and holders of, power reactor licenses under 10 CFR parts 50 and 52 and power reactor operating licenses under 10 CFR part 55. Issuance of RG 1.134, revision 4, does not constitute backfitting under 10 CFR part 50 and is not otherwise inconsistent with the issue finality provisions in 10 CFR Part 52. As discussed in the “Implementation” section of RG 1.134, revision 4, the NRC has no current intention to impose the RG on current holders of 10 CFR part 50 operating licenses or 10 CFR part 52 combined licenses. Part 55 does not

contain backfitting or issue finality regulations, and power reactor operating licenses are not protected by the backfitting provisions in 10 CFR 50.109 or the 10 CFR part 52 issue finality provisions because neither 10 CFR 50.109 nor 10 CFR part 52 applies to 10 CFR part 55 power reactor operating licenses.

This RG could be applied to applications for 10 CFR part 50 operating licenses, 10 CFR part 52 combined licenses, or 10 CFR part 55 operator licenses. Such action would not constitute backfitting as defined in 10 CFR 50.109 or be otherwise inconsistent with the applicable issue finality provision in 10 CFR part 52, inasmuch as such applicants are not within the scope of entities protected by 10 CFR 50.109 or the relevant issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of September, 2014.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Harriet Karagiannis,**

*Acting Chief, Regulatory Guidance and Generic Issues Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.*

[FR Doc. 2014-23717 Filed 10-3-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2014-48 and CP2014-84; Order No. 2200]

### New Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning an addition of Priority Mail Contract 94 to the competitive product list. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* October 7, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

### Table of Contents

I. Introduction

II. Notice of Commission Action

III. Ordering Paragraphs

### I. Introduction

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Priority Mail Contract 94 to the competitive product list.<sup>1</sup>

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new product under 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. *Id.* Attachment B.

To support its Request, the Postal Service filed a copy of the contract, a copy of the Governors' Decision authorizing the product, proposed changes to the Mail Classification Schedule, a Statement of Supporting Justification, a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a), and an application for non-public treatment of certain materials. It also filed supporting financial workpapers.

### II. Notice of Commission Action

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2014-48 and CP2014-84 to consider the Request pertaining to the proposed Priority Mail Contract 94 product and the related contract, respectively.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than October 7, 2014. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints James F. Callow to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

### III. Ordering Paragraphs

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2014-48 and CP2014-84 to consider the matters raised in each docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, James F. Callow is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings (Public Representative).

3. Comments are due no later than October 7, 2014.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

<sup>1</sup> Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 94 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of Unredacted Governors' Decision, Contract, and Supporting Data, September 29, 2014 (Request).

By the Commission.

**Shoshana M. Grove,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2014-23669 Filed 10-3-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P**

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2014-49 and CP2014-85; Order No. 2199]

### New Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning an addition of Priority Mail Contract 95 to the competitive product list. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* October 7, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

### Table of Contents

I. Introduction

II. Notice of Commission Action

III. Ordering Paragraphs

### I. Introduction

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Priority Mail Contract 95 to the competitive product list.<sup>1</sup>

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new product under 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. *Id.* Attachment B.

To support its Request, the Postal Service filed a copy of the contract, a copy of the Governors' Decision authorizing the product, proposed changes to the Mail Classification Schedule, a Statement of Supporting Justification, a certification of

<sup>1</sup> Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 95 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of Unredacted Governors' Decision, Contract, and Supporting Data, September 29, 2014 (Request).