

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training
Administration**

[TA-W-72,121]

**General Motors Company, Formerly
Known as General Motors Corporation,
Technical Center, Including On-Site
Leased Workers From Aerotek,
Bartech Group, CDI Professional
Services, EDS/HP Enterprise Services,
Engineering Labs, Inc., Global
Technology Associates Limited, G-
Tech Professional Staffing, Inc.,
Jefferson Wells, Kelly Services, Inc.,
Optimal, Inc., Populus Group, RCO
Engineering, Inc., Tek Systems,
Modern Engineering/Professional
Services, General Physics
Corporation, Entech, Pinnacle
Technical Resources, Inc., and Dialog
Direct (f/k/a BUDCO) Excluding
Workers of the Global Purchasing and
Supply Chain Division Warren,
Michigan; Amended Certification
Regarding Eligibility To Apply for
Worker Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (“Act”), 19 U.S.C. 2273, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on April 30, 2010, applicable to workers of General Motors Company, formerly known as General Motors Corporation, Technical Center, including on-site leased workers from Aerotek, Bartech Group, CDI Professional Services, EDS/HP Enterprise Services, Engineering Labs, Inc., Global Technology Associates Limited, G-Tech Professional Staffing, Inc., Jefferson Wells, Kelly Services, Inc., Optimal, Inc., Populus Group, RCO Engineering, Inc., Tek Systems and Modern Engineering/Professional Services, excluding workers of the Global Purchasing and Supply Chain Division, Warren, Michigan. The Department’s notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on May 28, 2010 (75 FR 30070).

At the request of the state, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in the engineering and other technical support of automotive production at affiliated plants.

Further review revealed that workers leased from Dialog Direct (formerly known as BUDCO) were employed on-site at the Warren, Michigan location of General Motors Company, formerly known as General Motors Corporation, Technical Center. The Department has determined that on-site workers from

Dialog Direct (formerly known as BUDCO) were sufficiently under the control of General Motors Company to be considered leased workers.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-72,121 is hereby issued as follows:

“All workers of General Motors Company, formerly known as General Motors Corporation, Technical Center, including on-site leased workers from Aerotek, Bartech Group, CDI Professional Services, EDS/HP Enterprise Services, Engineering Labs, Inc., Global Technology Associates Limited, G-Tech Professional Staffing, Inc., Jefferson Wells, Kelly Services, Inc., Optimal, Inc., Populus Group, RCO Engineering, Inc., Tek Systems, Modern Engineering/Professional Services, General Physics Corporation, Entech, Pinnacle Technical Resources, Inc., and Dialog Direct (f/k/a BUDCO), excluding workers of the Global Purchasing and Supply Chain Division, Warren, Michigan, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 14, 2008 through April 30, 2012, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on the date of certification through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.”

Signed in Washington, DC this 11th day of September, 2014.

Michael W. Jaffe,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2014-23482 Filed 10-1-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training
Administration****Notice of Determinations Regarding
Eligibility To Apply for Worker
Adjustment Assistance and Alternative
Trade Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) number and alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) by (TA-W) number issued during the period of *September 8, 2014 through September 12, 2014*.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for workers of a primary firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Section (a)(2)(A) all of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers’ firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. the sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely; and

C. increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have contributed importantly to such workers’ separation or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

II. Section (a)(2)(B) both of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers’ firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. there has been a shift in production by such workers’ firm or subdivision to a foreign country of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by such firm or subdivision; and

C. One of the following must be satisfied:

1. The country to which the workers’ firm has shifted production of the articles is a party to a free trade agreement with the United States;

2. the country to which the workers’ firm has shifted production of the articles to a beneficiary country under the Andean Trade Preference Act, African Growth and Opportunity Act, or the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act; or

3. there has been or is likely to be an increase in imports of articles that are like or directly competitive with articles which are or were produced by such firm or subdivision.

Also, in order for an affirmative determination to be made for secondarily affected workers of a firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(b) of the Act must be met:

(1) Significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers’ firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) the workers’ firm (or subdivision) is a supplier or downstream producer to a firm (or subdivision) that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance benefits and

such supply or production is related to the article that was the basis for such certification; and

(3) either—

(A) the workers' firm is a supplier and the component parts it supplied for the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) accounted for at least 20 percent of the production or sales of the workers' firm; or

(B) a loss or business by the workers' firm with the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) contributed importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation.

In order for the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) for older workers, the group eligibility requirements of Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act must be met:

1. Whether a significant number of workers in the workers' firm are 50 years of age or older.

2. Whether the workers in the workers' firm possess skills that are not easily transferable.

3. The competitive conditions within the workers' industry (i.e., conditions within the industry are adverse).

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

None.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(A) (increased imports) and Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

85,328, *Chromcraft Revington, Inc., Senatobia, Mississippi. May 21, 2013.*

85,409, *PolyOne Designed Structures & Solutions LLC, Cape Girardeau, Missouri. July, 2013.*

85,410, *B/E Aerospace, Inc., Lenexa, Kansas. July 1, 2013.*

85,424, *Berry Plastics Corporation, Kent, Washington. July 14, 2013.*

85,453, *Vital Signs, Inc., Totowa, New Jersey. July 29, 2013.*

85,458, *Arrow International Incorporated, Lumberton, New Jersey. July 31, 2013.*

85,461, *Wire Company Holdings, Inc., D.B.A New York New York, Hanover, Pennsylvania. October 17, 2014.*

85,461A, *Wire Company Holdings, Inc., D.B.A New York Wire, York, Pennsylvania. October 17, 2014.*

85,461B, *Wire Company Holdings, Inc., D.B.A New York Wire, York, Pennsylvania. October 17, 2014.*

85,480, *OEM Controls, Inc., Shelton, Connecticut. August 8, 2013.*

85,481, *Daimler Buses North America, Inc., Oriskany, New York, September 29, 2014.*

85,493, *STEMCO Crewson, Buffalo, New York. August 18, 2013.*

Negative Determinations for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, it has been determined that the requirements of 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) have not been met for the reasons specified.

None.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the eligibility criteria for worker adjustment assistance have not been met for the reasons specified.

Because the workers of the firm are not eligible to apply for TAA, the workers cannot be certified eligible for ATAA.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

85,287, *Quad/Graphics Marketing, LLC, Marengo, Iowa.*

85,508, *Electrodynamics, Inc., Rolling Meadows, Illinois.*

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

85,425, *Intrepid Potash Inc., Carlsbad, New Mexico.*

85,433, *Wolff Fording and Company, Richmond, Virginia.*

85,470, *Elsevier, Inc., Maryland Heights, Missouri.*

85,491, *Citibank N.A., Jersey City, New Jersey.*

Determinations Terminating Investigations of Petitions for Worker Adjustment Assistance

After notice of the petitions was published in the **Federal Register** and on the Department's Web site, as required by Section 221 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2271), the Department initiated investigations of these petitions.

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued

because the petitioning groups of workers are covered by active certifications. Consequently, further investigation in these cases would serve no purpose since the petitioning group of workers cannot be covered by more than one certification at a time.

85,316, *Honeywell Process Solutions—Mercury Instruments LLC, Cincinnati, Ohio.*

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of *September 8, 2014 through September 12, 2014*. These determinations are available on the Web site www.doleta.gov/tradeact/taa/taa_search_form.cfm under the searchable listing of determinations or by calling the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance toll free at 888-365-6822.

Signed at Washington DC, this 18th day of September 2014.

Michael W. Jaffe,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2014-23486 Filed 10-1-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

National Big Data R and D Initiative; Framework, Priorities, and Strategic Plan

AGENCY: The National Coordination Office (NCO) for Networking and Information Technology Research and Development (NITRD).

ACTION: Request for Input (RFI).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wendy Wigen at 703-292-4873 or wigen@nitrd.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

DATES: To be considered, written comments must be received by November 14, 2014.

SUMMARY: This request encourages feedback from multiple big data stakeholders to inform the development of a framework, set of priorities, and ultimately a strategic plan for the National Big Data R&D Initiative. A number of areas of interest have been identified by agency representatives in the Networking and Information Technology Research and Development (NITRD) Big Data Senior Steering Group (BDSSG) as well as the many members of the big data R&D community that have participated in BDSSG events and workshops over the past several years. This RFI is a critical step in developing a cross-agency strategic plan that has broad community input and that can be