

it does not include A.G.'s criminal history in any other jurisdiction. [Resp't Exh. 1]. Again, the Government has proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the Respondent violated DEA regulations.

Thus, the burden of production now shifts to the Respondent to demonstrate that it takes full responsibility for its unlawful conduct and that it has put in place remedial measures so that such violations will not happen in the future. *Medicine Shoppe*, 73 Fed. Reg. at 387 (quoting *Samuel S. Jackson*, 72 FR 23,848, 23,853 (DEA 2007)) (holding that a registrant must "present sufficient mitigating evidence to assure the Administrator that [it] can be entrusted with the responsibility carried by such a registration"); *Leo R. Miller*, 53 Fed. Reg. 21,931, 21,932 (DEA 1988).

On direct examination, Mr. Lekwa took full responsibility for any misconduct attributable to the Respondent. However, on cross examination, Mr. Lekwa presented testimony inconsistent with other testimony in the record. First, he denied that A.G., at the time of his employment interview, told him about his felony conviction for distribution of crack cocaine. A.G. testified to the contrary. Further, DI Ramirez testified that, in July of 2013, she had told Mr. Lekwa about A.G.'s felony conviction, yet Mr. Lekwa denied having this conversation with DI Ramirez. Rather, Mr. Lekwa testified that he had a conversation in September 2013 with DI Ramirez's supervisor. That was when he first learned of the felony conviction, he asserted.

Next, Mr. Lekwa seemed to deny that there was any misconduct when the prescription containing both controlled substance and non-controlled substance entries, as well as a notation of "all or none," was filled by only distributing the controlled substance. Rather, Mr. Lekwa testified that he had contacted the doctor and received permission to fill the prescription in that manner. Yet the record contains no evidence of this verification action. This inconsistent testimony certainly calls into question Mr. Lekwa's genuine remorse for the misconduct proved by the Government.

As for remedial measures, the record contains unrefuted evidence that Mr. Lekwa fired A.G. in September of 2013. Also, Mr. Lekwa testified that he now trains each employee on the procedures to follow in filling a controlled substance prescription. He announced that there was a training manual to help with this training. However, on cross examination Mr. Lekwa stated that the manual was the one the franchise company provided. Although he kept the manual current, there is no evidence that he altered procedures to come into compliance with legal requirements. Rather, Mr. Lekwa testified that the manual was not deficient, but the implementation of the manual provisions was lacking prior to the Order to Show Cause being served. Arguably, this new training would be a meaningful remedial measure. But the record contains no excerpts from the manual to bolster the adequacy of this training.

Next, Mr. Lekwa testified that when he receives a prescription containing a "drug cocktail," he now requires the physician to

fax to him confirmation of the diagnosis that resulted in this kind of prescribing. Unfortunately, the record contains no evidence that this procedure has been successfully implemented.

V. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Given the extent of the misconduct and the unreliability of the testimony concerning the acceptance of responsibility, I conclude that the Respondent's registration should be revoked. Accordingly, that is my recommendation based on this record.

Dated: March 24, 2014

Gail A. Randall

Administrative Law Judge

[FR Doc. 2014-23473 Filed 10-1-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Meeting of the Compact Council for the National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact

AGENCY: Federal Bureau of Investigation, DOJ.

ACTION: Meeting notice.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this notice is to announce a meeting of the National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact Council (Council) created by the National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact Act of 1998 (Compact). Thus far, the Federal Government and 30 states are parties to the Compact which governs the exchange of criminal history records for licensing, employment, and similar purposes. The Compact also provides a legal framework for the establishment of a cooperative federal-state system to exchange such records.

The United States Attorney General appointed 15 persons from state and federal agencies to serve on the Council. The Council will prescribe system rules and procedures for the effective and proper operation of the Interstate Identification Index system for noncriminal justice purposes.

Matters for discussion are expected to include:

(1) Civil Fingerprint Image Quality Pilot Program Update

(2) Changes to the Security and Management Control Outsourcing Standards for Channelers and Non-Channelers

(3) National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact Ratification—Discussion of Ideas to Assist Nonparty States

The meeting will be open to the public on a first-come, first-seated basis. Any member of the public wishing to file a written statement with the Council or wishing to address this session of the

Council should notify the Federal Bureau Of Investigation (FBI) Compact Officer, Mr. Gary S. Barron at (304) 625-2803, at least 24 hours prior to the start of the session. The notification should contain the individual's name and corporate designation, consumer affiliation, or government designation, along with a short statement describing the topic to be addressed and the time needed for the presentation. Individuals will ordinarily be allowed up to 15 minutes to present a topic.

DATES: The Council will meet in open session from 9 a.m. until 5 p.m., on November 5-6, 2014.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will take place at the Sheraton Atlanta Hotel, 165 Courtland Street NE., Atlanta, Georgia, telephone (404) 659-6500.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Inquiries may be addressed to Mr. Gary S. Barron, FBI Compact Officer, Module D3, 1000 Custer Hollow Road, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26306, telephone (304) 625-2803, facsimile (304) 625-2868.

Dated: September 23, 2014.

Gary S. Barron,

FBI Compact Officer, Criminal Justice Information Services Division, Federal Bureau of Investigation.

[FR Doc. 2014-23463 Filed 10-1-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221 (a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221 (a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may