

May 16, 2014, Navneet Education submitted a revised CCR request updating the period covered by the original CCR request for purposes of determining countervailing duties liability as a result of the *CLPP Order*.³ On July 3, 2014, the Department published its *Preliminary Results*, in which it preliminarily determined that Navneet Education is the successor-in-interest to Navneet Publications for purposes of the Department's countervailing duty proceeding on certain lined paper products from India.⁴ The Department invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.⁵ We received no comments or requests for a hearing from interested parties.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the *CLPP Order* is certain lined paper products, typically school supplies (for purposes of this scope definition, the actual use of or labeling these products as school supplies or non-school supplies is not a defining characteristic) composed of or including paper that incorporates straight horizontal and/or vertical lines on ten or more paper sheets (there shall be no minimum page requirement for looseleaf filler paper). The products are currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 4811.90.9035, 4811.90.9080, 4820.30.0040, 4810.22.5044, 4811.90.9050, 4811.90.9090, 4820.10.2010, 4820.10.2020, 4820.10.2030, 4820.10.2040, 4820.10.2050, 4820.10.2060, and 4820.10.4000. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.⁶

Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

Because no parties submitted comments opposing the Department's *Preliminary Results*, and because there is no other information or evidence on the record that calls into question the *Preliminary Results*, the Department continues to find that Navneet Education is the successor-in-interest to Navneet Publications for the purpose of determining countervailing duty liability.

³ See *CLPP Order*; Navneet Education's May 16, 2014, submission (Second Supplemental Filing).

⁴ See *Preliminary Results*, 79 FR at 38011.

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ For a complete description of the scope of the *CLPP Order*, see the *Preliminary Results*.

Instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection

As a result of this determination, we find that Navneet Education should retain the cash deposit rate previously assigned to Navneet Publications (*i.e.*, the 8.76 percent cash deposit rate currently assigned to Navneet Publications) in the most recently completed review of the countervailing duty order on certain lined paper products from India for Navneet Publications.⁷ However, because cash deposits are only estimates of the amount of countervailing duties to be assessed, changes in cash deposit rates are not made retroactively.⁸ Therefore, as stated in the *Preliminary Results*, no retroactive change will be made to Navneet Education's cash deposit rate, as Navneet Education requested.⁹ Consequently, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to collect estimated countervailing duties for all shipments of subject merchandise exported by Navneet Education and entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this notice in the **Federal Register** at the current cash deposit rate for Navneet Publications. This cash deposit requirement shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.306. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

⁷ See *Certain Lined Paper Products From India: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 6573, 6574 (February 10, 2009).

⁸ See *Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from India*, 77 FR 64953, 64955 (October 24, 2012), unchanged in final, *Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From India*, 77 FR 73619, December 11, 2012; see also *Certain Hot-Rolled Lead and Bismuth Carbon Steel Products From the United Kingdom: Final Results of Changed-Circumstances Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 64 FR 66880, 66881 (November 30, 1999).

⁹ Navneet Education argued that the determination as successor-in-interest should be made effective as of the date of the name change, *i.e.*, September 30, 2013. See CCR Request at 8 and see *Preliminary Results*, 79 FR at 38012.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.216(e).

Dated: September 25, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014-23412 Filed 9-30-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda E. Waters, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Customs Liaison Unit, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4735.

Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), may request, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213, that the Department of Commerce ("the Department") conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

All deadlines for the submission of comments or actions by the Department discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting date.

Respondent Selection

In the event the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews initiated pursuant to requests made for the orders identified below, the Department intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") data for U.S. imports during the period of review. We intend to release the CBP data under Administrative Protective Order ("APO") to all parties having an APO within five days of publication of the

initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 21 days of publication of the initiation **Federal Register** notice. Therefore, we encourage all parties interested in commenting on respondent selection to submit their APO applications on the date of publication of the initiation notice, or as soon thereafter as possible. The Department invites comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection within five days of placement of the CBP data on the record of the review.

In the event the Department decides it is necessary to limit individual examination of respondents and conduct respondent selection under section 777A(c)(2) of the Act:

In general, the Department finds that determinations concerning whether particular companies should be “collapsed” (*i.e.*, treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating antidumping duty rates) require a substantial amount of detailed information and analysis, which often require follow-up questions and analysis. Accordingly, the Department will not conduct collapsing analyses at the respondent selection phase of this review and will not collapse companies at the respondent selection phase unless there has been a determination to collapse certain companies in a previous segment of this antidumping proceeding (*i.e.*, investigation,

administrative review, new shipper review or changed circumstances review). For any company subject to this review, if the Department determined, or continued to treat, that company as collapsed with others, the Department will assume that such companies continue to operate in the same manner and will collapse them for respondent selection purposes. Otherwise, the Department will not collapse companies for purposes of respondent selection. Parties are requested to (a) identify which companies subject to review previously were collapsed, and (b) provide a citation to the proceeding in which they were collapsed. Further, if companies are requested to complete the Quantity and Value Questionnaire for purposes of respondent selection, in general each company must report volume and value data separately for itself. Parties should not include data for any other party, even if they believe they should be treated as a single entity with that other party. If a company was collapsed with another company or companies in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding where the Department considered collapsing that entity, complete quantity and value data for that collapsed entity must be submitted.

Deadline for Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), a party that requests a review may

withdraw that request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The regulation provides that the Department may extend this time if it is reasonable to do so. In order to provide parties additional certainty with respect to when the Department will exercise its discretion to extend this 90-day deadline, interested parties are advised that, with regard to reviews requested on the basis of anniversary months on or after October 2014, the Department does not intend to extend the 90-day deadline unless the requestor demonstrates that an extraordinary circumstance prevented it from submitting a timely withdrawal request. Determinations by the Department to extend the 90-day deadline will be made on a case-by-case basis.

The Department is providing this notice on its Web site, as well as in its “Opportunity to Request Administrative Review” notices, so that interested parties will be aware of the manner in which the Department intends to exercise its discretion in the future.

Opportunity to Request a Review: Not later than the last day of October 2014,¹ interested parties may request administrative review of the following orders, findings, or suspended investigations, with anniversary dates in October for the following periods:

Antidumping duty proceedings	Period of review
Australia: Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide A-602-806	10/1/13-9/30/14
Brazil: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod A-351-832	10/1/13-9/30/14
Indonesia: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod A-560-815	10/1/13-9/30/14
Italy: Pressure Sensitive Plastic Tape A-475-059	10/1/13-9/30/14
Mexico: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod A-201-830	10/1/13-9/30/14
Moldova: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod A-841-805	10/1/13-9/30/14
Republic of Korea: Polyvinyl Alcohol A-580-850	10/1/13-9/30/14
The People’s Republic of China: Barium Carbonate A-570-880	10/1/13-9/30/14
The People’s Republic of China: Barium Chloride A-570-007	10/1/13-9/30/14
The People’s Republic of China: Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide A-570-919	10/1/13-9/30/14
The People’s Republic of China: Helical Spring Lock Washers A-570-822	10/1/13-9/30/14
The People’s Republic of China: Polyvinyl Alcohol A-570-879	10/1/13-9/30/14
The People’s Republic of China: Steel Wire Garment Hangers A-570-918	10/1/13-9/30/14
Trinidad and Tobago: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod A-274-804	10/1/13-9/30/14
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
Brazil: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod C-351-833	1/1/13-12/31/13
Iran: Roasted In Shell Pistachios C-507-601	1/1/13-12/31/13
Suspension Agreements	
Russian Federation: Uranium A-821-802	10/1/13-9/30/14

¹ Or the next business day, if the deadline falls on a weekend, federal holiday or any other day when the Department is closed.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. For both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order or suspension agreement for which it is requesting a review. In addition, a domestic interested party or an interested party described in section 771(9)(B) of the Act must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters. If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which was produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

Note that, for any party the Department was unable to locate in prior segments, the Department will not accept a request for an administrative review of that party absent new information as to the party's location. Moreover, if the interested party who files a request for review is unable to locate the producer or exporter for which it requested the review, the interested party must provide an explanation of the attempts it made to locate the producer or exporter at the same time it files its request for review, in order for the Secretary to determine if the interested party's attempts were reasonable, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.303(f)(3)(ii).

As explained in *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003), and *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011) the Department clarified its practice with respect to the collection of final antidumping duties on imports of merchandise where intermediate firms are involved. The public should be aware of this clarification in determining whether to request an administrative review of merchandise subject to antidumping findings and orders.²

Further, as explained in *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change*

in *Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963 (November 4, 2013), the Department clarified its practice with regard to the conditional review of the non-market economy (NME) entity in administrative reviews of antidumping duty orders. The Department will no longer consider the NME entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews. Accordingly, the NME entity will not be under review unless the Department specifically receives a request for, or self-initiates, a review of the NME entity.³ In administrative reviews of antidumping duty orders on merchandise from NME countries where a review of the NME entity has not been initiated, but where an individual exporter for which a review was initiated does not qualify for a separate rate, the Department will issue a final decision indicating that the company in question is part of the NME entity. However, in that situation, because no review of the NME entity was conducted, the NME entity's entries were not subject to the review and the rate for the NME entity is not subject to change as a result of that review (although the rate for the individual exporter may change as a function of the finding that the exporter is part of the NME entity).

Following initiation of an antidumping administrative review when there is no review requested of the NME entity, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate entries for all exporters not named in the initiation notice, including those that were suspended at the NME entity rate.

All requests must be filed electronically in Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS") on the IA ACCESS Web site at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov>.⁴ Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(1)(i), a copy of each request must be served on the petitioner and each exporter or producer specified in the request.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty

³ In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), parties should specify that they are requesting a review of entries from exporters comprising the entity, and to the extent possible, include the names of such exporters in their request.

⁴ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Electronic Filing Procedures; Administrative Protective Order Procedures*, 76 FR 39263 (July 6, 2011).

Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of October 2014. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of October 2014, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures "gap" period of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the period of review.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: September 22, 2014.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2014-23409 Filed 9-30-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-570-978]

High Pressure Steel Cylinders From the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is rescinding the administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on high pressure steel cylinders (HPSC) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) for the period January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 1, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sergio Balbontin or Joshua Morris, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230;

² See also the Enforcement and Compliance Web site at <http://trade.gov/enforcement/>.