

11–15, 17, and 18 of the ‘052 patent; claims 1–6 of the ‘103 patent; and claims 1–6 and 10–12 of the ‘457 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties and other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is:  
Advanced Research Corporation, 4459  
White Bear Parkway, White Bear  
Lake, MN 55110.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:  
International Business Machines Corp.,  
New Orchard Road, Armonk, NY  
10504.

Fujifilm Holdings Corporation, 7–3,  
Akasaka 9-chome, Minato-ku,  
Tokyo 107–0052, Japan.  
Fujifilm Corporation, 7–3, Akasaka 9-  
chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo 107–  
0052, Japan.

Oracle Corporation, 500 Oracle  
Parkway, Redwood Shores, CA  
94065.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import  
Investigations, U.S. International Trade  
Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite  
401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 24, 2014.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2014–23094 Filed 9–26–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—The Open Group, L.L.C.

Notice is hereby given that, on September 8, 2014, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), The Open Group, L.L.C. (“TOG”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Specifically, Adservio, Paris, FRANCE; aicas GmbH, Karlsruhe, GERMANY; 24 Learning Beijing Hua Fang Ji Ye Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; bitil.com, Avellino, ITALY; Central Bank of Republic of Turkey, Ankara, TURKEY; ECIS Consultants Limited, Oxford, UNITED KINGDOM; Enterprise Architects LTD, London, UNITED KINGDOM; Firebrand Training Limited, London, UNITED KINGDOM; Fujitsu Limited, Chiyoda-ku, JAPAN; Gelder Gringas and Associates, Ottawa, CANADA; Maryville Data Systems, Inc., St. Louis, MO; SE7Ti Serviços de Tecnologia da Informação, Rio de Janeiro, BRAZIL; Sierra Nevada Corporation, Sparks, NV; Silosmashers, Inc., Fairfax, VA, Technology Service

Corporation, Turnbull, CT; Tonex, Inc., Dallas, TX; and Visual Paradigm, Kowloon, HONG KONG–CHINA, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, 1Plug Corporation, Alameda, CA; Baker Hughes, Sugar Land, TX; Diogosa, Lima, PERU; EA Fellows ApS, Dragor, DENMARK; Enterprise Architecture Consulting Ltd, Oxford, UNITED KINGDOM; Enterprise Architecture Solutions Ltd., London, UNITED KINGDOM; Gobuchi, Dubai, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES; Litmus Group (Pty) Ltd, Sydney, AUSTRALIA; Net Security Training Ltd, Wembley, UNITED KINGDOM; Novay, Enschede, THE NETHERLANDS; Raymond James, St. Petersburg, FL; Standard Insurance Company, Portland, OR; and VisioTech Solutions Pvt. Ltd., Bahawalpur, PAKISTAN, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and TOG intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 21, 1997, TOG filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 13, 1997 (62 FR 32371).

The last notification was filed with the Department on June 16, 2014. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on July 3, 2014 (79 FR 38071).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2014–23146 Filed 9–26–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Investigations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance; Correction

**AGENCY:** Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Employment and Training Administration (ETA) published in the **Federal Register** on Thursday, September 11, 2014, an announcement of investigation regarding eligibility to apply for workers adjustment assistance (Vol. 79, No. 176, page 54291, see