vest manufacturers consisted of phone calls and emails to manufacturers and suppliers, Internet searches and, specifically, included an inquiry with the largest on-line supplier of motorcycle riding gear in the United States, which reported it was unaware of such vests manufactured domestically. NHTSA conducted similar assessments and is not aware of any such portable data projectors, wireless remote control presenters, blank DVDs or high-visibility motorcyclist vests produced in the United States. Since these items are unavaialable from a domestic manufacturer, the Buy America waivers are appropriate.

NHTSA invites public comment on this conclusion.

OHSP also seeks a waiver to purchase twenty 2015 Suzuki TU250X motorcycles for its motorcyclists training program. The starting price for a Suzuki TU250X is $4,169. OHSP wishes to use these motorcycles for its motorcyclists training program because they are designed specifically with smaller engine displacement (250 CC), less unladen weight, and smaller seat height. Michigan is unable to identify any training motorcycles that meet the Buy America requirements. OHSP researched motorcycle models made by the two American motorcycle manufacturers, Harley Davidson, Inc. and Victory Motorcycles. Harley Davidson plans to produce a 500 CC motorcycle called the Street 500, with an estimated MSRP between $6,700 and $7,500. Victory Motorcycles (including Indian Motorcycles) produces much heavier and larger engine displacement (500 CC), with the lowest MSRP of $10,530. OHSP was unable to find a motorcycle that meets the requirements for training motorcycles that would meet the Buy America requirements. NHTSA is not aware of any training motorcycles currently produced in the United States, with Harley Davidson’s Street set to start production sometime this year. Since the Harley Davidson Street has an estimated $6,700 to $7,500 MSRP, it is more than 25 percent higher than the cost of a Suzuki TU250X and, since no other domestic manufacturer produces a motorcycle engine displacement of 500 CC or less, a Buy America waiver is appropriate. NHTSA invites public comment on this conclusion.

Although OHSP seeks a waiver to lease a Ricoh MP 5001 Aficio copy/printer/fax machine for use by its staff, NHTSA has determined this waiver is inappropriate. OHSP provided no evidence that similar items are not produced domestically. OHSP merely states that it cannot comply with the requirements of Buy America because Michigan’s State approved contractor does not offer any other brand for OHSP to purchase and that the State is unable to deviate from its existing contracts to make provisions for Buy America. In addition, it failed to provide any showing that it made efforts to find an American made machine that complies with the Buy America requirements. NHTSA finds that it is appropriate to grant a waiver from the Buy America requirements to OHSP in order to purchase a Ricoh copy/printer/fax machine. If the State of Michigan requires OHSP to lease a Ricoh MP 5001 Aficio copy/printer/fax machine pursuant to its State procurement regulations, nothing in Buy America or NHTSA’s grante requirements prohibits OHSP from using state funds to acquire this item.

In light of the above discussion, and pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 313(b)(2), NHTSA finds that it is appropriate to grant a waiver from the Buy America requirements to OHSP in order to purchase an Epson EX series projector and a Kensington wireless remote control presenter, DVDs, twenty high-visibility motorcyclist vests and twenty Suzuki TU250X motorcycles. These waivers apply to Michigan and all other States seeking to use section 402 and 405 funds to purchase these items for the purposes mentioned herein. These waivers will continue through fiscal year 2015 and will allow the purchase of these items as required for Michigan’s Highway Safety Office and its training programs. Accordingly, this waiver will expire at the conclusion of fiscal year 2015 (September 30, 2015). NHTSA also determines that it is inappropriate to grant a waiver from the Buy America requirements to OHSP for the lease of a Ricoh MP 5001 Aficio copy/printer/fax machine. In accordance with the provisions of Section 117 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy of Users Technical Corrections Act of 2008 (Pub. L. 110–244, 122 Stat. 1572), NHTSA is providing this notice as its finding that a waiver of the Buy America requirements is appropriate for the portable data projector and wireless remote control presenter, but not the copy/printer/fax machine. Written comments on this finding may be submitted through any of the methods discussed above.

to take to eliminate crashes attributable to driver distraction. This document was an update of the “Overview of the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration’s Driver Distraction Program” that was released in April 2010.1

One of the steps called for in both of these documents is the development of nonbinding, voluntary guidelines for minimizing the distraction potential of in-vehicle and portable devices. NHTSA stated that these guidelines would be developed in three phases. The first phase covers visual-manual interfaces of electronic devices installed in vehicles as original equipment. The second phase will cover visual-manual interfaces of portable and aftermarket devices. The third phase will expand these guidelines to cover tasks performed via auditory-vocal interactions.

The Phase 1 NHTSA Guidelines were released for public comment in February 2012. The final version of the Phase 1 Distraction Guidelines was published in April 2013.2

The Phase 1 Guidelines list certain secondary tasks believed by the agency to interfere inherently with a driver’s ability to safely control the vehicle. The NHTSA Guidelines recommend that in-vehicle devices be designed so that they cannot be used by the driver to perform these inherently distracting secondary tasks while driving. For all other visual-manual secondary tasks, the NHTSA Guidelines specify a test method for measuring eye glance behavior during those tasks. Eye glance metrics are compared to acceptance criteria to evaluate whether a task interferes too much with driver attention, rendering it unsuitable for a driver to perform while driving. If a task does not meet the acceptance criteria, the NHTSA Guidelines recommend that the task be made inaccessible for performance by the driver while driving.

In addition, the NHTSA Guidelines contain several recommendations to limit and reduce the potential for distraction associated with the use of OE in-vehicle electronic devices. Examples include a recommendation that performance of visual-manual tasks should not require the use of more than one hand, a recommendation that each device’s active display be located as close as practicable to the driver’s forward line of sight, and a recommendation of a maximum downward viewing angle to the geometric center of each display.

**Clarifications**

The agency is making the following clarifications and corrections to the Phase 1 Guidelines and a revised version of the Visual-Manual NHTSA Driver Distraction Guidelines for In-Vehicle Electronic Devices (Phase 1 Guidelines), including these revisions, will be posted on www.regulations.gov in Docket NHTSA–2014–0088.

1. Clarification of Determination of Downward Viewing Angle

In the April 2013 Final Guidelines Notice, NHTSA committed to clarifying the process of determining the downward viewing angle. In particular, the agency noted that several commenters had requested notations regarding the measurement of eye height to ground in grid coordinates for 2D and SAE curb ground line coordinates in 3D. NHTSA interpreted those comments as requesting figures similar to those found in the Alliance of Automobile Manufacturers’ distraction guidelines (Alliance Guidelines).3 The figures in the Alliance Guidelines are intended to clarify coordinates and measurements used when calculating a display’s downward viewing angle, and the agency indicated that it would add similar figures to the Phase 1 Guidelines. Accordingly, the Phase 1 Guidelines are being amended to reference an additional SAE standard in Subsection III.B and the sections related to downward viewing angle have been revised and illustrative diagrams have been added. Finally, a more detailed explanation of the figures and mathematical calculations of the angles have been added in order to make the figures easier to understand.

The original text of Subsection III.B reads:


The text was revised to add the following reference:


The original text of Subsections V.C.7 and V.C.8 read:

7. Determination of 2D Downward Viewing Angle. Create a fore-and-aft plane (Plane FA) through the nominal driver eye point. Define Point B as the laterally projected (while maintaining the same fore-and-aft and vertical coordinates) position of the geometric center of the display of interest onto Plane FA. Generate two lines in Plane FA, Line 1 and Line 2. Line 1 is a horizontal line (i.e., maintaining the same vertical coordinate) going through the nominal driver eye point. Line 2 goes through the nominal driver eye point and Point B. The 2D Downward Viewing Angle is the angle from Line 1 to Line 2.

8. Determination of 3D Downward Viewing Angle. Generate two lines, Line 3 and Line 4. Line 3 is a horizontal line (i.e., maintaining the same vertical coordinate) going through the nominal driver eye point and a point vertically above, below, or at, the geometric center of the display of interest. Line 4 goes through the nominal driver eye point and the geometric center of the display. The 3D Downward Viewing Angle is the angle from Line 3 to Line 4.

The revised text of Subsections V.C.7 and V.C.8 reads as follows:


a. Coordinate System. The SAE J670 JAN2008 Vehicle Axis System, denoted by (XV, YV, ZV) is used. SAE J670 JAN2008 provides two choices for the direction of the ZV axis, pointing upward (the Z-Up orientation) or pointing downwards (the Z-Down orientation). For this document, the Z-Up orientation is chosen.

The SAE J670 JAN2008 Vehicle Axis System (XV, YV, ZV) in the Z-Up orientation is an axis system fixed in the reference frame of the vehicle sprung mass such that the XV axis is substantially horizontal (i.e., forward, and is parallel to the vehicle plane of symmetry. The YV axis is perpendicular to the vehicle plane of symmetry and points to the left. The ZV axis is perpendicular to both the XV and YV axes and points upward.

b. Create a fore-and-aft plane (Plane FA) through the nominal driver eye point. Determine the (XEP, YEP, ZEP) coordinates of the nominal driver eye point. Plane FA is parallel to both the XV and ZV axes and is perpendicular to the YV axis. Since the nominal driver eye point is generally not on the vehicle plane of symmetry, Plane FA will normally be offset, either to the left or to the right, from the vehicle reference point shown in Figure 1. All points in Plane FA will have the same YV coordinates.

c. Define Point B. Point B is the laterally projected position of the geometric center of the display of interest onto Plane FA. Determine the (XV, YV, ZV) coordinates of the geometric center of the display of interest. Then laterally project (i.e., while maintaining the same XV and YV coordinates) the geometric center of the display of interest onto Plane FA. In other words, if the geometric center of the display of interest has coordinates of (XGC, YGC, ZGC), then the coordinates of Point B will be (XGC, YEP, ZGC).

---


3 Alliance of Automobile Manufacturers, Driver Focus-Telematics Working Group, Statement of Principles, Criteria and Verification Procedures on Driver Interactions with Advanced In-Vehicle Information and Communication Systems (June 26, 2006).
d. Generate two lines in Plane FA, Line 1 and Line 2 as described in paragraphs e. and f., below.

e. Generate Line 1. Line 1 is a horizontal line (i.e., maintaining the same vertical \((Z_v)\) coordinate) in Plane FA going through the nominal driver eye point. Figure 1 shows Plane FA. Line 1 is marked in Figure 1.

f. Generate Line 2. Line 2 is in Plane FA and goes through the nominal driver eye point and Point B. Figure 1 also shows Line 2 in Plane FA. Lines 1 and 2 will intersect at the nominal driver eye point.

g. Determine the 2D Downward Viewing Angle. The 2D Downward Viewing Angle is the angle, measured in Plane FA, from Line 1 to Line 2. Figure 1 also shows the 2D Downward Viewing Angle.

h. Equations for Calculating the 2D Downward Viewing Angle. The 2D Downward Viewing Angle can be calculated using the equations that follow:

\[
d_{2D} = \sqrt{(X_{EP} - X_{GC})^2 + (Z_{EP} - Z_{GC})^2}
\]

(Equation 2)

The 2D Downward Viewing Angle, \(\theta_{2D}\), can be calculated by:

\[
\theta_{2D} = 57.2958 \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{Z_{EP} - Z_{GC}}{d_{2D}}\right)
\]

(Equation 3)

Where the above arcsine is calculated in radians and converted to degrees by multiplying by \(57.2958\) (additional digits of accuracy acceptable if desired).

i. Supplemental Note. The 2D Downward Viewing Angle could be negative (i.e., the geometric center of the display of interest could be above the nominal driver eye point). Therefore, it is not necessarily a downward viewing angle.
geometric center of the display of interest. Figure 2 illustrates Line 3 in Plane EP–GC.

c. Generate Line 4. Line 4 goes through the nominal driver eye point and the geometric center of the display. It is in Plane EP–GC and intersects with Line 3 at the nominal driver eye point. Figure 2 also illustrates Line 4 in Plane EP–GC.

d. Determine the 3D Downward Viewing Angle. The 3D Downward Viewing Angle is the angle, measured in Plane EP–GC, from Line 3 to Line 4. Figure 2 also shows the 3D Downward Viewing Angle.

e. Equations for Calculating the 3D Downward Viewing Angle. The 3D Downward Viewing Angle can be calculated using the equations that follow:

Define the nominal driver eye point to be at coordinates \((X_{EP}, Y_{EP}, Z_{EP})\). As previously stated, the geometric center of the display of interest has coordinates of \((X_{GC}, Y_{GC}, Z_{GC})\). The 3D distance in Plane EP–GC between the nominal driver eye point and geometric center of the display of interest can be calculated by:

\[
d_{3D} = \sqrt{(X_{EP} - X_{GC})^2 + (Y_{EP} - Y_{GC})^2 + (Z_{EP} - Z_{GC})^2}
\]  

(Equation 4)

The 3D Downward Viewing Angle, \(\theta_{3D}\), can be calculated by:

\[
\theta_{3D} = \frac{57.2958 \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{Z_{EP} - Z_{GC}}{d_{3D}} \right)}{\text{radians}}
\]

(Equation 5)

Where the above arcsine is calculated in radians and converted to degrees by multiplying by 57.2958 (additional digits of accuracy acceptable if desired).

f. Supplemental Note. The 3D Downward Viewing Angle could be negative (i.e., the geometric center of the display of interest could be above the nominal driver eye point). Therefore, it is not necessarily a downward viewing angle.

2. Clarification on Ordering of Test Trials When Multiple Tasks Are Tested

NHTSA is revising Subsection VI.E.12, which discusses task acceptance test sessions involving multiple testable tasks. Although the Guidelines indicated that there is no limit to the number of tasks an individual test participant may be asked to perform in one test session, the agency feels that it is appropriate to caution that including too many tasks in a single session can overwhelm test participants and lead to worse performance by the participant, thereby increasing the likelihood of a task not meeting the acceptance criteria.

Accordingly, Subsection VI.E.12 is being revised to incorporate a cautionary statement to that effect. NHTSA is also adding a similar cautionary recommendation that the instructions, practice, and testing for each task should be completed before beginning a new task in order to minimize the likelihood of test participant confusion.

The original text of Subsection VI.E.12 reads:

12. Multiple Testable Task Testing. To improve testing efficiency, multiple (different) testable tasks may be performed...
Guidelines, NHTSA became aware that the language used in Subsection VI.E.14.a, which describes the maximum number of eye glances longer than 2.0 seconds that may be observed for a conforming task during the driving simulator test procedure, was confusing to some readers. To improve the understandability of Subsection VI.E.14.a, that section has been revised as follows and an illustrative table has been added. Similar clarifying edits have also been made to Subsection VI.E.14.b, which describes the criteria for the mean duration of glances.

The original text of Subsection VI.E.14.a and b. read:

14. Acceptance Criteria. A testable task should be locked out from performance by drivers while driving unless the following three criteria are all met:

a. For at least 21 of the 24 test participants, no more than 15 percent (rounded up) of the total number of eye glances away from the forward road scene have durations of greater than 2.0 seconds while performing the testable task one time.

b. For at least 21 of the 24 test participants, the mean duration of all eye glances away from the forward road scene is less than or equal to 2.0 seconds while performing the testable task one time.

c. For at least 21 of the 24 test participants, the total number of eye glances away from the forward road scene made by an individual test participant in performing a task of a testable task during the driving simulator testing for a single task should be less than or equal to 2.0 seconds while performing the testable task one time.

The text of Subsections VI.E.14.a and VI.E.14.b have been revised to read as follows:

a. For at least 21 of the 24 test participants, no more than 15 percent (rounded up to the next whole number) of each participant’s total number of eye glances away from the forward road scene have durations of greater than 2.0 seconds while performing the testable task one time.

b. For at least 21 of the 24 test participants, the mean duration of all eye glances away from the forward road scene is less than or equal to 2.0 seconds while performing the testable task one time.

c. For at least 21 of the 24 test participants, the total number of eye glances away from the forward road scene made by an individual test participant during one or more drives. There is no limit to the number of testable tasks that may be evaluated by a test participant.

The revised text of Subsection VI.E.12 appends the following sentences to the above section:

However, it should be noted that including multiple tasks in a single session may lead to performance degradation due to test participant fatigue or confusion. Additionally, to ensure that the testing of each task reflects the demands of that task alone, all instructions, practice and testing for a single task should be completed before beginning a new task.

3. Clarification of Maximum Allowable Number of Eye Glances Longer Than 2.0 Seconds

Subsequent to publication of the Phase 1 NHTSA Driver Distraction

Table 3—Maximum Allowable Number of Eye Glances Longer Than 2.0 Seconds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of eye glances away from the forward road scene made by an individual test participant in performing a task</th>
<th>15% of the total number of eye glances away from the forward road scene</th>
<th>Maximum number of allowable off-road eye glances longer than 2.0 seconds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>*0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 through 20</td>
<td>&gt;2.0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: See Section VI.E.14.b. If a testable task takes a test participant exactly one glance to perform, that glance must be no longer than 2.0 seconds in order to have a mean duration that does not exceed 2.0 seconds for all eye glances.

b. For at least 21 of the 24 test participants, the mean duration of each participant’s eye glances away from the forward road scene is less than or equal to 2.0 seconds while performing the testable task one time.

4. Typographical Error

A typographical error was found in Section V.L.G.4.b of the Phase 1 NHTSA Driver Distraction Guidelines. The phrase “How to drive the occlusion apparatus while not performing a testable task,” should read “Become familiar with the occlusion apparatus operation while not performing a testable task.” This change merely corrects the Guidelines language without substantively changing the content.

5. Inadvertent Omission

The agency inadvertently neglected to include a recommendation regarding multiple testable task testing in Subsection V.L.G., which relates to the occlusion test protocol. As discussed above, a recommendation regarding multiple task testing was included in the section related to driving simulator testing. In order to provide consistent recommendations for both test protocols, the agency has included a recommendation similar to the revised Subsection VI.E.12 in Subsection VI.G.18 that reads as follows:

18. Multiple Testable Task Testing. To improve testing efficiency, multiple (different) testable tasks may be performed by the same test participant during one or more sessions. There is no limit to the number of testable tasks that may be evaluated by a test participant. However, it should be noted that including multiple tasks in a single session may lead to performance degradation due to test participant fatigue or confusion. Additionally, to ensure that the testing of each task reflects the demands of that task alone, all instructions, practice and testing for a single task should be completed before beginning a new task.

Issued in Washington, DC, on September 10, 2014, under authority delegated by 49 CFR 1.95.

Nathaniel Beuse,
Associate Administrator for Vehicle Safety Research.
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