
(4) Airbus Service Bulletin A330–57–3096, Revision 03, dated October 24, 2012, which is not incorporated by reference by this AD.

(5) Airbus Service Bulletin A330–57–3096, Revision 04, dated February 6, 2013, which is not incorporated by reference by this AD.


(7) Airbus Service Bulletin A340–57–4104, Revision 01, dated August 13, 2007, which is not incorporated by reference by this AD.


(9) Airbus Service Bulletin A340–57–4104, Revision 03, dated October 24, 2012, which is not incorporated by reference by this AD.


(12) Airbus Service Bulletin A340–57–5009, Revision 02, dated October 24, 2012, which is not incorporated by reference by this AD.

Other FAA AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the International Branch, send it to ATTN: Vladimir Ulyanov, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA 98057–3356; telephone 425–227–1138; fax 425–227–1149. Information may be emailed to: 9–ANM–116–AMOC-REQUESTS@faa.gov. Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office. The AMOC approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

(2) Contacting the Manufacturer: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer, the action must be accomplished using a method approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA; or the European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA); or Airbus’s EASA Design Organization Approval (DOA). If approved by the DOA, the approval must include the DOA-authorized signature.

(k) Related Information


(2) For service information identified in this AD, contact Airbus SAS, Airworthiness Office—EAL, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France; telephone +33 5 61 93 36 96; fax +33 5 61 93 45 80; email airworthiness.A330–A340@airbus.com; Internet http://www.airbus.com. You may view this service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.


Michael Kaszycki,
Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39


RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A. (EMBRAER) Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for all Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A. (EMBRAER) Model EMB–135ER, −135KE, −135KL, −135LR, −145, −145ER, −145MR, −145LR, −145XR, −145MP, and −145EP airplanes. This proposed AD was prompted by our determination of the need to revise the airplane airworthiness limitations to the pylons and fuselage. This proposed AD would require revising the maintenance or inspection program. We are proposing this AD to prevent fatigue cracking of various structural elements, which could affect the structural integrity of the airplane.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by October 20, 2014.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments by any of the following methods:

- Fax: (202) 493–2251.
- Hand Delivery: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this proposed AD, contact Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A. (EMBRAER), Technical Publications Section (PC 060), Av. Brigadeiro Faria Lima, 2170—Putim—12227–901 São José dos Campos—SP—BRASIL; telephone +55 12 3927–5852 or +55 12 3309–0732; fax +55 12 3927–7546; email distrib@embraer.com.br; Internet http://www.flyembraer.com. You may view this referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2014–0622; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Operations office (telephone (800) 647–5527) is in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Comments Invited

We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include “Docket No. FAA–2014–0622; Directorate Identifier 2014–NM–009–AD” at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD based on those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

Discussion


This [Brazilian] AD was prompted by a new revision to the airworthiness limitations requirements [related to the pylons yokes I and II, and the skin panel of the windshield pillar] of the Maintenance Review Board Report. We are issuing this [Brazilian] AD to ensure that fatigue cracking of various structural elements is detected and corrected.

You may examine the MCAI in the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating it in Docket No. FAA–2014–0622.

Relevant Service Information

EMBRAER has issued EMB145 Temporary Revision 15–3, dated August 26, 2013, to the Airworthiness Limitation Requirements (ALIs) of the EMBRAER EMB145 Maintenance Review Board Report MRB–145/1150; and EMB145 Temporary Revision 15–4, dated August 26, 2013, to the Airworthiness Limitation Requirements (ALIs), of the EMBRAER EMB145 Maintenance Review Board Report MRB–145/1150. The actions described in this service information are intended to correct the unsafe condition identified in the MCAI.

FAA’s Determination and Requirements of This Proposed AD

This product has been approved by the aviation authority of another country, and is approved for operation in the United States. Pursuant to our bilateral agreement with the State of Design Authority, we have been notified of the unsafe condition described in the MCAI and service information referenced above. We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all pertinent information and determined an unsafe condition exists and is likely to exist or develop on other products of the same type design.

This proposed AD would require revisions to certain operator maintenance documents to include new inspections. Compliance with these inspections is required by section 91.403(c) of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 91.403(c)). For airplanes that have been previously modified, altered, or repaired in the areas addressed by these inspections, an operator might not be able to accomplish the inspections described in the revisions. In this situation, to comply with 14 CFR 91.403(c), the operator must request approval of an alternative method of compliance (AMOC) in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (i) of this proposed AD. The request should include a description of changes to the proposed inspections that will ensure the continued operational safety of the airplane.

“Contacting the Manufacturer” Paragraph in This Proposed AD

Since late 2006, we have included a standard paragraph titled “Airworthy Product” in all MCAI ADs in which the FAA develops an AD based on a foreign authority’s AD.

The MCAI or referenced service information in an FAA AD often directs the owner/operator to contact the manufacturer for corrective actions for the identified unsafe condition and does not cover deviations from other AD requirements. However, deviations to AD-required actions are addressed in 14 CFR 39.17, and anyone may request the approval for an alternative method of compliance to the AD-required actions using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

To address this misunderstanding and misinterpretation of the Airworthy Product paragraph, we have changed the paragraph and retitled it “Contacting the Manufacturer.” This paragraph now clarifies that for any requirement in this proposed AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer, the actions must be accomplished using a method approved by the FAA, ANAC, or ANAC’s authorized Designee.

The Contacting the Manufacturer paragraph also clarifies that, if approved by the ANAC Designee, the approval must include the Designee’s authorized signature. The Designee signature indicates that the data and information contained in the document are ANAC-approved, which is also FAA-approved.
Messages and other information provided by the manufacturer that do not contain the ANAC Designee’s authorized signature approval are not ANAC-approved, unless ANAC directly approves the manufacturer’s message or other information.

This clarification does not remove flexibility previously afforded by the Airworthy Product paragraph. Consistent with long-standing FAA policy, such flexibility was never intended for required actions. This is also consistent with the recommendation of the Airworthiness Directive Implementation Aviation Rulemaking Committee to increase flexibility in complying with ADs by identifying those actions in manufacturers’ service instructions that are “Required for Compliance” with ADs. We continue to work with manufacturers to implement this recommendation. But once we determine that an action is required, any deviation from the requirement must be approved as an alternative method of compliance.

Costs of Compliance

We estimate that this proposed AD affects 688 airplanes of U.S. registry. We also estimate that it would take about 1 work-hour per product to comply with the basic requirements of this proposed AD. The average labor rate is $85 per work-hour. Based on these figures, we estimate the cost of this proposed AD on U.S. operators to be $58,480, or $85 per product.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. “Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs,” describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in “Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:
1. Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a “significant rule” under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979);
3. Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska; and
4. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Amend § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):


(a) Comments Due Date

We must receive comments by October 20, 2014.

(b) Affected ADs

None.

(c) Applicability


(d) Subject

Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 54, Nacelles/pylons; 53, Fuselage.

(e) Reason

This AD was prompted by our determination of the need to revise the airplane airworthiness limitations to the pylons and fuselage. We are issuing this AD to prevent fatigue cracking of various structural elements, which could affect the structural integrity of the airplane.

(f) Compliance

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

(g) Revision of Maintenance or Inspection Program

Within 60 days after the effective date of this AD: Revise the maintenance or inspection program, as applicable, by incorporating EMBRAER EMB145 Temporary Revision (TR) 15–3, dated August 26, 2013, to the Airworthiness Limitation Requirements (ALIs) of the EMBRAER EMB145 Maintenance Review Board Report MRB–145/1150; and EMBRAER EMB145 TR 15–4, dated August 26, 2013, to the Airworthiness Limitation Requirements (ALIs) of the EMBRAER EMB145 Maintenance Review Board Report MRB–145/1150; and EMBRAER EMB145 Maintenance Review Board Report MRB–145/1150; as applicable.

(1) The compliance times depend on the airplane model, and the pre-modification and post-modification conditions specified in EMBRAER EMB145 TR 15–3, dated August 26, 2013, to the Airworthiness Limitation Requirements (ALIs) of the EMBRAER EMB145 Maintenance Review Board Report MRB–145/1150; and EMBRAER EMB145 TR 15–4, dated August 26, 2013, to the Airworthiness Limitation Requirements (ALIs) of the EMBRAER Maintenance Review Board Report MRB–145/1150; and EMBRAER EMB145 Maintenance Review Board Report MRB–145/1150; as applicable.

(2) The initial compliance times for the tasks specified in EMBRAER EMB145 TR 15–3, dated August 26, 2013, to the Airworthiness Limitation Requirements (ALIs) of the EMBRAER EMB145 Maintenance Review Board Report MRB–145/1150; and EMBRAER EMB145 TR 15–4, dated August 26, 2013, to the Airworthiness Limitation Requirements (ALIs) of the EMBRAER Maintenance Review Board Report MRB–145/1150; and EMBRAER EMB145 TR 15–4, dated August 26, 2013, to the Airworthiness Limitation Requirements (ALIs) of the EMBRAER Maintenance Review Board Report MRB–145/1150; and EMBRAER EMB145 TR 15–4, dated August 26, 2013, to the Airworthiness Limitation Requirements (ALIs) of the EMBRAER Maintenance Review Board Report MRB–145/1150; as applicable.

(3) The maintenance or inspection program, as applicable, by incorporating EMBRAER EMB145 Temporary Revision (TR) 15–3, dated August 26, 2013, to the Airworthiness Limitation Requirements (ALIs) of the EMBRAER EMB145 Maintenance Review Board Report MRB–145/1150; and EMBRAER EMB145 TR 15–4, dated August 26, 2013, to the Airworthiness Limitation Requirements (ALIs) of the EMBRAER Maintenance Review Board Report MRB–145/1150; and EMBRAER EMB145 TR 15–4, dated August 26, 2013, to the Airworthiness Limitation Requirements (ALIs) of the EMBRAER Maintenance Review Board Report MRB–145/1150; and EMBRAER EMB145 TR 15–4, dated August 26, 2013, to the Airworthiness Limitation Requirements (ALIs) of the EMBRAER Maintenance Review Board Report MRB–145/1150; as applicable.

(i) Other FAA AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested.
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Bureau of Industry and Security
15 CFR Chapter VII
[Docket No. 140814670–4670–01]
Effectiveness of Licensing Procedures for Agricultural Commodities to Cuba

AGENCY: Bureau of Industry and Security, Commerce.

ACTION: Request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) is requesting public comments on the effectiveness of its licensing procedures as defined in the Export Administration Regulations for the export of agricultural commodities to Cuba. BIS will include a description of these comments in its biennial report to Congress, as required by the Trade Sanctions Reform and Export Enhancement Act of 2000, as amended.

DATES: Comments must be received by October 6, 2014.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 906(a) of the Trade Sanctions Reform and Export Enhancement Act of 2000 (TSRA) (22 U.S.C. 7205(a)), the Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) authorizes exports of agricultural commodities, as defined in § 772.1, to Cuba. Requirements and procedures associated with such authorization are set forth in § 772.1 of the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) (15 CFR 772.1), to Cuba.

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165
[RIN 1625–AA11]

Regulated Navigation Area; Lake Michigan, Chicago Harbor Lock, Chicago, IL to Calumet Harbor, Chicago, IL

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard proposes to establish a regulated navigation area for waters of Lake Michigan within 5 nautical miles from shore from the Chicago Harbor Lock, Chicago, Illinois.