email at Jeffrey.C.Grover.Civ@mail.mil or by telephone at 703–697–9352. Please cite athletic shoe industry meeting.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: 10 U.S.C. 2533a, popularly known as the "Berry Amendment", prohibits the Department of Defense (DoD) from using funds appropriated or otherwise available to it for the procurement of certain items if those items are not grown, reprocessed, reused, or produced in the United States. Articles of clothing, such as athletic shoes, are normally covered by the prohibition if procured on a Department of Defense (DoD) contract using appropriated funds. See DoD policy with respect to athletic shoes offered to recruits at basic training at: http://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/cpic/docs/OSD004508-14%20FOD.pdf; This industry meeting will provide information relating to this policy and is open to representatives of athletic shoe manufacturers (Federal Supply Classification (FSC) Code: 84—Clothing, Individual Equipment, and Insignia; National American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code: 316—Leather and Allied Product Manufacturing; Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Code: 3149).

Special accommodations: The public meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for reasonable accommodations, sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Mr. Jeff Grover at 703–697–9352, at least 10 working days prior to the meeting date.

Manuel Quinones,
Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.

[FR Doc. 2014–19126 Filed 8–12–14; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Record of Decision for the Second Main Operating Base KC–46A Beddown at Alternative Air National Guard Installations

ACTION: Notice of Availability (NOA) of a Record of Decision (ROD).

SUMMARY: On August 5, 2014, the United States Air Force signed the ROD for the Second Main Operating (MOB–2) Base KC–46A Beddown at Alternative Air National Guard (ANG) Installations Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS). The ROD states the Air Force decision to implement the Preferred Alternative to beddown up to twelve (12) KC–46A Primary aircraft authorized (PAAs) under the National Guard Bureau for MOB–2 at Pease Air National Guard Station.

The decision was based on matters discussed in the FEIS, inputs from the public and regulatory agencies, and other relevant factors. The FEIS was made available to the public on June 20, 2014 through a NOA in the Federal Register (Volume 79, Number 119, Page 35347) with a wait period that ended on July 20, 2014. The ROD documents only the decision of the Air Force with respect to the proposed Air Force actions analyzed in the FEIS. Authority: This NOA is published pursuant to the regulations (40 CFR 1506.6) implementing the provisions of the NEPA of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321, et seq.) and the Air Force’s Environmental Impact Analysis Process (EIAP) (32 CFR 989.21(b) and 989.24(b)(7)).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Kevin Marek, NGB/A7AM, 3501 Fetchet Avenue, JB Andrews, MD 20762, ph: 240/612–8855.

Henry Williams,
Acting Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2014–19126 Filed 8–12–14; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5001–10–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Record of Decision for the Implementation of Energy, Water, and Solid Waste Sustainability Initiatives at Fort Bliss, TX and NM

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Army and Fort Bliss announce the decision to proceed with the Preferred Alternative identified in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the Implementation of Energy, Water, and Solid Waste Sustainability Initiatives, which allows Fort Bliss to implement Net Zero initiatives, comply with federal and Army energy mandates, and meet the Army’s energy and water security objectives. The Record of Decision (ROD) explains the potential environmental and socioeconomic impacts associated with the proposed action, which consists of multiple, related, and interconnected projects with the goal of conserving energy and water, and reducing waste production. The selected alternative provides the proper balance of initiatives for the protection of environmental and mission-essential actions. The ROD also identifies mitigation that will reduce or eliminate adverse impacts.

ADDRESSES: The ROD can be obtained at https://www.bliss.army.mil/dpw/Environmental/EISDocuments2.html. Written requests to obtain a copy of the ROD should be addressed to Dr. John Kipp, Fort Bliss Directorate of Public Works, Attention: IMBL–PWE (Kipp), Building 624 Pleasonton Road, Fort Bliss, TX 79916; email: john.m.kipp6.civ@mail.mil; fax: (915) 568–3548.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Please contact Ms. Donita Kelley, Fort Bliss Public Affairs Office, Attention: IMBL–PA (Kelley), Building 15 Slater Road, Fort Bliss, TX 79916; phone: (915) 568–4005; email: donita.k.schexnaydre.civ@mail.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Army examined the potential environmental and socioeconomic impacts from implementing multiple, related, and interconnected proposed projects that could be taken to implement Net Zero energy, water, and waste initiatives, comply with federal and Army energy mandates, and meet the Army’s energy and water security objectives. Not all projects identified in the ROD would be implemented to the full extent discussed in the FEIS. Technological advancements, legislative changes, and other factors may result in revisions to the proposed projects.

The selected action alternative consists of six action alternatives (Alternatives 2 through 7): implementation of conservation policies and procedures (Alternative 2); construction of a water reclamation pipeline (Alternative 3); construction and operation of a waste-to-energy plant (Alternative 4); construction and operation of a geothermal energy facility (Alternative 5); and construction of dry-cooled concentrating solar power technology (Alternative 6). Alternative 7 proposes implementation of other renewable energy technologies and projects that are compatible with installation planning criteria and address potential future renewable energy, water, and waste technology actions at a programmatic level. Alternative 4, waste-to-energy plant, was analyzed from a programmatic perspective only. The Army will conduct further analysis of specific sites, should it consider pursuing this type of technology in the future. As warranted, additional site-specific analyses will occur for other projects, as well.

The ROD incorporates analyses contained in the FEIS for the Implementation of Energy, Water, and