

appropriate course of action to address the event or issue.

If the NRC determines that an event or issue may have or has the potential for an immediate impact upon public health, safety, common defense, and/or the environment, the agency will prepare a bulletin or other form of generic communication that requires licensees and/or permit holders to respond within a specified period with information that would support agency evaluation and regulatory decision making. The bulletin may request licensees and permit holders to conduct evaluations, perform tests, and provide specified information within a prescribed time frame.

Submit, by October 14, 2014, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
2. Is the burden estimate accurate?
3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

The public may examine and have copied for a fee publicly-available documents, including the draft supporting statement, at the NRC's Public Document Room, Room O-1F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852. The OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC's Web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/>. The document will be available on the NRC's home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments submitted in writing or in electronic form will be made available for public inspection. Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly-disclosed. Comments submitted should reference Docket No. NRC-2014-0188. You may submit your comments by any of the following methods: Electronic comments go to: <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket No. NRC-2014-0188. Mail comments to the Acting NRC Clearance Officer, Kristen Benney (T-5 F50), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

Questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the Acting NRC Clearance Officer,

Kristen Benney (T-5 F50), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, by telephone at 301-415-6355, or by email to [INFOCOLLECTS.Resource@NRC.GOV](mailto:INFOCOLLECTS.Resource@NRC.GOV).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 7th day of August, 2014.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Kristen Benney,**

*Acting NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.*

[FR Doc. 2014-19016 Filed 8-11-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. IC-31199; File No. 812-13970]

### Citigroup Global Markets Inc., et al.; Notice of Application and Temporary Order

August 6, 2014.

**AGENCY:** Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission").

**ACTION:** Temporary order and notice of application for a permanent order under section 9(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act").

**SUMMARY OF APPLICATION:** Applicants have received a temporary order exempting them from section 9(a) of the Act, with respect to an injunction entered against Citigroup Global Markets Inc. ("CGMI") on August 5, 2014 by the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York ("Injunction"), until the Commission takes final action on an application for a permanent order. Applicants also have applied for a permanent order.

**APPLICANTS:** CGMI, CEFOF GP I Corp. ("CEFOF"), CELFOF GP Corp. ("CELFOF"), Citibank, N.A. ("Citibank"), Citigroup Alternative Investments LLC ("Citigroup Alternative"), Citigroup Capital Partners I GP I Corp. ("CCP I"), Citigroup Capital Partners I GP II Corp. ("CCP II"), Citigroup Private Equity (Offshore) LLC ("CPE (Offshore)"), Citigroup First Investment Management Americas LLC ("CFIMA," and along with CGMI, CEFOF, CELFOF, Citibank, Citigroup Alternative, CCP I, CCP II, and CPE (Offshore), the "Applicants").<sup>1</sup>

**DATES:** *Filing Date:* The application was filed on October 20, 2011 and amended on August 5, 2014.

<sup>1</sup> Applicants request that any relief granted pursuant to the application also apply to any other company of which CGMI is or may become an affiliated person within the meaning of section 2(a)(3) of the Act (together with the Applicants, the "Covered Persons").

### HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING:

An order granting the application will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission's Secretary and serving Applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on September 2, 2014, and should be accompanied by proof of service on Applicants, in the form of an affidavit, or for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission's Secretary.

**ADDRESSES:** Secretary, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090; Applicants: CGMI, CEFOF, CELFOF, CCP I, CCP II, CPE (Offshore), CFIMA, 388 Greenwich Street, New York, NY 10013; Citibank, Citigroup Alternative, 399 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10043.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jill Ehrlich, Senior Counsel, at (202) 551-6819, or Mary Kay Frech, Branch Chief, at (202) 551-6821 (Division of Investment Management, Chief Counsel's Office).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The following is a temporary order and a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission's Web site by searching for the file number, or an applicant using the Company name box, at <http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm>, or by calling (202) 551-8090.

### Applicants' Representations

1. Each of the Applicants is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Citigroup Inc. ("Citigroup"), a diversified financial services company. CGMI is a full service investment banking firm that engages in securities underwriting, sales and trading, investment banking, financial advisory and investment research services. CGMI is registered as a broker-dealer under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 ("Advisers Act"). It currently does not serve as principal underwriter or investment adviser of any Funds, but it may seek to do so in the future.<sup>2</sup> CFIMA

<sup>2</sup> "Funds" refers to any registered investment company, business development company, or ESC (as defined herein) for which a Covered Person serves as an investment adviser, sub-adviser, general partner or depositor, or any registered open-

is registered as an investment adviser under the Advisers Act and serves as investment adviser for one or more Funds. CEFOF, CELFOF, Citibank, Citigroup Alternative, CCP I, CCP II, and CPE (Offshore) (“ESC Advisers”) serve as investment advisers to certain employees’ securities companies within the meaning of section 2(a)(13) of the Act, which provide investment opportunities for certain eligible employees, officers, directors and persons on retainer of Citigroup and its affiliates (“ESCs” and included in the term “Funds”).<sup>3</sup>

2. On August 5, 2014, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York entered a judgment, which included the Injunction, against CGMI (“Final Judgment”) in a matter brought by the Commission.<sup>4</sup> The conduct of CGMI, along with certain of its affiliates, (together, “Citi”) alleged in the complaint (“Complaint”) involved Citi’s role in the structuring and marketing of a largely synthetic collateralized debt obligation (“CDO”) whose investment portfolio consisted primarily of credit default swaps referencing other CDO securities with collateral consisting primarily of residential mortgage-backed securities. The Complaint alleged that the marketing materials for the CDO were materially misleading because they suggested that Citi was acting in the traditional role of an arranging bank, when in fact Citi had allegedly exercised influence over the selection of the assets and had retained a proprietary short position of the assets it had helped select, which gave Citi allegedly undisclosed economic interests adverse to those of the investors in the CDO. The Final Judgment would restrain and enjoin CGMI from violating sections 17(a)(2) and (3) of the Securities Act of 1933. Without admitting or denying any of the allegations in the Complaint, except as to personal and subject matter jurisdiction, CGMI consented to the entry of the Final Judgment and other equitable relief, including certain undertakings and the payment of a civil penalty.

#### Applicants’ Legal Analysis

1. Section 9(a)(2) of the Act, in relevant part, prohibits a person who

end investment company, registered unit investment trust or registered face amount certificate company for which a Covered Person serves as principal underwriter.

<sup>3</sup> Greenwich Street Employees Fund, L.P., et al., Investment Company Act Release Nos. 25324 (Dec. 21, 2001) (notice) and 25367 (Jan. 16, 2002) (order) (“ESC Order”).

<sup>4</sup> Securities and Exchange Commission v. Citigroup Global Markets Inc., 11–CV–7387 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 5, 2014).

has been enjoined from engaging in or continuing any conduct or practice in connection with the purchase or sale of a security, or in connection with activities as an underwriter, broker or dealer, from acting, among other things, as an investment adviser or depositor of any registered investment company or a principal underwriter for any registered open-end company, registered unit investment trust or registered face-amount certificate company. Section 9(a)(3) of the Act makes the prohibition in section 9(a)(2) applicable to a company, any affiliated person of which has been disqualified under the provisions of section 9(a)(2). Section 2(a)(3) of the Act defines “affiliated person” to include, among others, any person directly or indirectly controlling, controlled by, or under common control with, the other person. Applicants state that CGMI is an affiliated person of each of the other Applicants within the meaning of section 2(a)(3) of the Act. Applicants state that the entry of the Injunction results in Applicants being subject to the disqualification provisions of section 9(a) of the Act.

2. Section 9(c) of the Act provides that the Commission shall grant an application for exemption from the disqualification provisions of section 9(a) if it is established that these provisions, as applied to the applicants, are unduly or disproportionately severe or that the applicants’ conduct has been such as not to make it against the public interest or the protection of investors to grant the exemption. Applicants have filed an application pursuant to section 9(c) seeking a temporary and permanent order exempting them and other Covered Persons from the disqualification provisions of section 9(a) of the Act.

3. Applicants believe they meet the standard for exemption specified in section 9(c). Applicants state that the prohibitions of section 9(a) as applied to them would be unduly and disproportionately severe and that the conduct of the Applicants has been such as not to make it against the public interest or the protection of investors to grant the exemption from section 9(a).

4. Applicants state that the alleged conduct giving rise to the Injunction did not involve any of the Applicants acting in the capacity of investment adviser, sub-adviser or depositor for any Fund (including as general partner providing investment advisory services to ESCs) or as principal underwriter for any registered open-end company, registered unit investment trust or registered face-amount certificate company. Applicants also state that, to the best of their knowledge, none of the current

directors, officers, or employees of the Applicants that are involved in providing services as investment adviser or sub-adviser of the Funds (including as general partner providing investment advisory services to ESCs) or principal underwriter for any registered open-end company (or any other persons in such roles during the time period covered by the Complaint) participated in the conduct alleged in the Complaint to have constituted the violations that provide a basis for the Injunction. Applicants further represent that the personnel at CGMI who participated in the conduct alleged in the Complaint to have constituted the violations that provided a basis for the Injunction have had no, and will not have any, involvement in providing advisory or depositary services (including as general partner providing investment advisory services to ESCs) to the Funds or principal underwriting services to any registered open-end company, registered unit investment trust, or registered face-amount certificate company on the behalf of the Applicants or other Covered Persons. Applicants also represent that because the personnel of the Applicants (other than those at CGMI) did not participate in the conduct alleged in the Complaint to have constituted the violations that provide a basis for the Injunction, the shareholders of those Funds were not affected any differently than if those Funds had received services from any other non-affiliated investment adviser or principal underwriter. Applicants state that the alleged conduct did not involve any Fund or the assets of any Fund.

5. Applicants state that their inability to continue to provide investment advisory and subadvisory services to the Funds (including as general partner providing investment advisory services to ESCs) and principal underwriting services to any registered open-end company would result in potential hardship for some of the Funds and their shareholders. Applicants state that they will, as soon as reasonably practicable, distribute written materials, including an offer to meet in person to discuss the materials, to the boards of directors of the Funds (“Boards”) (excluding, for this purpose, the ESCs) for which the Applicants serve as investment adviser, investment sub-adviser or principal underwriter, including the directors who are not “interested persons,” as defined in section 2(a)(19) of the Act, of such Funds, and their independent legal counsel, if any, describing the circumstances that led to the Injunction

and any impact on the Funds, and the application. Applicants state they will provide the Boards with the information concerning the Injunction and the application that is necessary for the Funds to fulfill their disclosure and other obligations under the federal securities laws.

6. Applicants also state that, if they were barred from providing services to the Funds, the effect on their businesses and employees would be severe. Applicants state that they have committed substantial resources to establishing an expertise in providing advisory and distribution services to Funds. Applicants further state that prohibiting them from providing such services would not only adversely affect their businesses, but would also adversely affect numerous employees who are involved in those activities. Applicants also state that disqualifying the ESC Advisers from continuing to provide investment advisory services to the ESCs is not in the public interest or in the furtherance of the protection of investors. Because the ESCs have been formed for certain key employees, officers and directors of Citigroup and its affiliates, it would not be consistent with the purposes of the ESC provisions of the Act or the terms and conditions of the ESC Order to require another entity not affiliated with Citigroup to manage the ESCs. In addition, participating employees of Citigroup and its affiliates likely subscribed for interests in the ESCs with the expectation that the ESCs would be managed by an affiliate of Citigroup.

7. Certain of the Applicants previously have applied for and received exemptions under section 9(c) as the result of conduct that triggered section 9(a) of the Act, as described in greater detail in the application.

#### Applicants' Condition

Applicants agree that any order granting the requested relief will be subject to the following condition:

Any temporary exemption granted pursuant to the application shall be without prejudice to, and shall not limit the Commission's rights in any manner with respect to, any Commission investigation of, or administrative proceedings involving or against, Covered Persons, including, without limitation, the consideration by the Commission of a permanent exemption from section 9(a) of the Act requested pursuant to the application or the revocation or removal of any temporary exemptions granted under the Act in connection with the application.

#### Temporary Order

The Commission has considered the matter and finds that Applicants have

made the necessary showing to justify granting a temporary exemption.

Accordingly,

*It is hereby ordered*, pursuant to section 9(c) of the Act, that Applicants and any other Covered Persons are granted a temporary exemption from the provisions of section 9(a), solely with respect to the Injunction, subject to the condition in the application, from August 5, 2014, until the Commission takes final action on their application for a permanent order.

By the Commission.

**Kevin M. O'Neill**,  
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014-18983 Filed 8-11-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Meeting

**Federal Register** Citation of Previous Announcement: [to be published]

**STATUS:** Closed Meeting.

**PLACE:** 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC.

**DATE AND TIME OF PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED MEETING:** Thursday, August 14, 2014.

**CHANGE IN THE MEETING:** Cancellation of Meeting.

The Closed Meeting scheduled for Thursday, August 14, 2014 at 2:00 p.m. has been cancelled.

For further information please contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 551-5400.

Dated: August 7, 2014.

**Kevin M. O'Neill**,  
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014-19100 Filed 8-8-14; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-72779; File No. SR-NASDAQ-2014-065]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC; Notice of Designation of a Longer Period for Commission Action on a Proposed Rule Change To Adopt New Rule 5713 and List Paired Class Shares Issued by AccuShares® Commodities Trust I

August 6, 2014.

On June 11, 2014, The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") a proposed rule change to: (1) Adopt new Rule 5713 governing the listing of Paired Class Shares; and

(2) list and trade Paired Class Shares issued by AccuShares® Commodities Trust I relating to the following funds pursuant to new Rule 5713: (a) AccuShares S&P GSCI® Spot Fund; (b) AccuShares S&P GSCI® Agriculture and Livestock Spot Fund; (c) AccuShares S&P GSCI® Industrial Metals Spot Fund; (d) AccuShares S&P GSCI® Crude Oil Spot Fund; (e) AccuShares S&P GSCI® Brent Oil Spot Fund; (f) AccuShares S&P GSCI® Natural Gas Spot Fund; and (g) AccuShares Spot CBOE® VIX® Fund. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on June 23, 2014.<sup>1</sup> The Commission has not received any comments on the proposed rule change.

Section 19(b)(2) of the Act<sup>2</sup> provides that, within 45 days of the publication of notice of the filing of a proposed rule change, or within such longer period up to 90 days as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding, or as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission shall either approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved. The Commission is extending this 45-day time period.

The Commission finds that it is appropriate to designate a longer period within which to take action on the proposed rule change so that it has sufficient time to consider the proposed rule change. Accordingly, the Commission, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,<sup>3</sup> designates September 19, 2014, as the date by which the Commission shall either approve or disapprove or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove the proposed rule change (File Number SR-NASDAQ-2014-065).

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>4</sup>

**Kevin M. O'Neill**,  
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014-18980 Filed 8-11-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

<sup>1</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 72412 (June 17, 2014), 79 FR 35610.

<sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*

<sup>4</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(31).