Background

On April 1, 2014, the Department initiated a sunset review of the CVD order on citric acid from the PRC pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). The Department received a notice of intent to participate in the review on behalf of Archer Daniels Midland Company, Cargill, Incorporated, and Tate & Lyle Ingredients Americas LLC, (collectively, "the domestic industry") within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). Each of these companies claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as a domestic producer of the domestic like product.

The Department received adequate substantive responses collectively from the domestic industry within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department did not receive a substantive response from any government or respondent interested party to the proceeding.

Because the Department received no response from the respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited review of this CVD order, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is citric acid and certain citrate salts. The product is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") item numbers 2918.14.0000, 2918.15.1000, 2918.15.5000, 3824.90.9290, and 3824.90.9290. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.

For a full description of the scope, see “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from China” from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, dated concurrently with this final notice, and hereby adopted by this notice ("Issues and Decision Memorandum").

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The issues discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy at the rates listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter/manufacturer</th>
<th>Net subsidy rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TTCA Co., Ltd. (a.k.a. Shandong TTCA Biochemistry Co., Ltd.)</td>
<td>44.31 percent ad valorem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yixing Union Biochemical Co., Ltd. and Yixing Union Cogeneration Co., Ltd</td>
<td>36.46 percent ad valorem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anhui BBCA Biochemical Co., Ltd</td>
<td>150.58 percent ad valorem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Others</td>
<td>39.77 percent ad valorem.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(b), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 30, 2014.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary, for Enforcement and Compliance.
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SUMMARY: As a result of the determinations by the Department of Commerce (the Department) and the International Trade Commission (ITC) that revocation of the antidumping duty and countervailing duty orders on Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip (PET Film) from India and the antidumping duty order on PET Film from Taiwan, would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department is publishing a notice of continuation for these antidumping and countervailing duty orders.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1 See Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review, 79 FR 18279 (April 1, 2014).
Background

The Department initiated and the ITC instituted sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on PET Film from India and Taiwan and the countervailing duty order on PET Film from India, pursuant to section 751(c) and 752 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).\(^1\)

As a result of its review, the Department found that revocation of the countervailing duty order would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of net countervailable subsidies, and therefore, notified the ITC of the subsidy rate were the order to be revoked.\(^2\) As a result of its review, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on PET Film from India and Taiwan would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and, therefore, notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail were the orders to be revoked.\(^3\)

On July 22, 2014, the ITC published its determination pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty order on PET Film from India and Taiwan would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and the countervailing duty order on PET Film from India would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of net countervailable subsidies.\(^4\)

Scope of the Orders

The products covered by the antidumping duty and countervailing duty orders are all gauges of raw, pretreated, or primed PET Film, whether extruded or coextruded. Excluded are metallized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer of more than 0.00001 inches thick. Imports of PET Film are currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item number 3920.62.00.90. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of the antidumping duty order is dispositive.

Scope Determinations

Since these orders were published, there was one scope determination for PET film from India, dated August 25, 2003. In this determination, requested by International Packaging Films Inc., the Department determined that tracing and drafting film is outside of the scope of the order on PET Film from India.\(^5\)

Continuation of the Orders

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the ITC that revocation of the antidumping duty orders and the countervailing duty order would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and net countervailable subsidies and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to sections 751(c) and 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of these antidumping duty orders on PET film from India and Taiwan and the countervailing duty order on PET Film from India. U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect antidumping duty and countervailing duty cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise.

The effective date of the continuation of this order will be the date of publication in the Federal Register of this notice of continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of this order not later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the effective date of continuation.

This five-year (sunset) review and this notice are in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and published pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4).

Dated: July 29, 2014.
Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

\(^2\) See Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet and Strip From India: Final Results of the Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order, 78 FR 47276 (August 5, 2013).
\(^3\) See Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From India and Taiwan: Final Results of the Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Orders and Correction to the Preliminary Results, 79 FR 12153 (March 4, 2014).
\(^4\) See Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From India and Taiwan, 79 FR 42534 (July 22, 2014).
\(^5\) See Notice of Scope Rulings, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005).