

Background

On April 1, 2014, the Department initiated a sunset review of the CVD order on citric acid from the PRC pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”).¹ The Department received a notice of intent to participate in the review on behalf of Archer Daniels Midland Company, Cargill, Incorporated, and Tate & Lyle Ingredients Americas LLC, (collectively, “the domestic industry”) within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). Each of these companies claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as a domestic producer of the domestic like product.

The Department received adequate substantive responses collectively from the domestic industry within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department did not receive a substantive response from any government or respondent interested party to the proceeding. Because the Department received no response from the respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited review of this CVD order, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is citric acid and certain citrate salts. The product is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) item numbers 2918.14.0000, 2918.15.1000, 2918.15.5000, 3824.90.9290, and 3824.90.9290. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.

For a full description of the scope, see “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from the People’s Republic of China” from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, dated concurrently with this final notice, and hereby adopted by this notice (“Issues and Decision Memorandum”).

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The issues discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation

or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy and the net countervailable subsidy likely to prevail if the order were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this expedited sunset review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file electronically via the Enforcement and Compliance Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

We determine that revocation of the CVD order on citric acid from the PRC would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy at the rates listed below:

Exporter/manufacturer	Net subsidy rate
TTCA Co., Ltd. (a.k.a. Shandong TTCA Biochemistry Co., Ltd.)	44.31 percent <i>ad valorem</i> .
Yixing Union Biochemical Co., Ltd.; and Yixing Union Cogeneration Co., Ltd	36.46 percent <i>ad valorem</i> .
Anhui BBKA Biochemical Co., Ltd	150.58 percent <i>ad valorem</i> .
All Others	39.77 percent <i>ad valorem</i> .

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/ destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(b), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 30, 2014.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary, for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014–18594 Filed 8–5–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–824, A–583–837, C–533–825]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From India and Taiwan: Continuation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* August 6, 2014.

SUMMARY: As a result of the determinations by the Department of

Commerce (the Department) and the International Trade Commission (ITC) that revocation of the antidumping duty and countervailing duty orders on Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip (PET Film) from India and the antidumping duty order on PET Film from Taiwan, would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department is publishing a notice of continuation for these antidumping and countervailing duty orders.

Contact Information: Jacqueline Arrowsmith, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–5255.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

¹ See *Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review*, 79 FR 18279 (April 1, 2014).

Background

The Department initiated and the ITC instituted sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on PET Film from India and Taiwan and the countervailing duty order on PET Film from India, pursuant to section 751(c) and 752 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).¹

As a result of its review, the Department found that revocation of the countervailing duty order would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of net countervailable subsidies, and therefore, notified the ITC of the subsidy rate were the order to be revoked.² As a result of its review, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on PET Film from India and Taiwan would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and, therefore, notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail were the orders to be revoked.³

On July 22, 2014, the ITC published its determination pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty order on PET Film from India and Taiwan would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and the countervailing duty order on PET Film from India would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of net countervailable subsidies.⁴

Scope of the Orders

The products covered by the antidumping duty and countervailing duty orders are all gauges of raw, pretreated, or primed PET Film, whether extruded or coextruded. Excluded are metallized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer of more than 0.00001 inches thick. Imports of PET Film are currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item number 3920.62.00.90. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The

¹ See *Initiation of Five Year ("Sunset") Review*, 78 FR 19647 (April 2, 2013).

² See *Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet and Strip From India: Final Results of the Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order*, 78 FR 47276 (August 5, 2013).

³ See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From India and Taiwan: Final Results of the Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Orders and Correction to the Preliminary Results*, 79 FR 12153 (March 4, 2014).

⁴ See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From India and Taiwan*, 79 FR 42534 (July 22, 2014).

written description of the scope of the antidumping duty order is dispositive.

Scope Determinations

Since these orders were published, there was one scope determination for PET film from India, dated August 25, 2003. In this determination, requested by International Packaging Films Inc., the Department determined that tracing and drafting film is outside of the scope of the order on PET Film from India.⁵

Continuation of the Orders

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the ITC that revocation of the antidumping duty orders and the countervailing duty order would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and net countervailable subsidies and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to sections 751(c) and 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of these antidumping duty orders on PET film from India and Taiwan and the countervailing duty order on PET Film from India. U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect antidumping duty and countervailing duty cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise.

The effective date of the continuation of this order will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of this order not later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the effective date of continuation.

This five-year (sunset) review and this notice are in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and published pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4).

Dated: July 29, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014-18599 Filed 8-5-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-853; A-570-937]

Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From Canada and the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited First Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department of Commerce (the Department) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on citric acid and certain citrate salts (citric acid) from Canada and the People's Republic of China (PRC) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. The magnitude of the dumping margins likely to prevail is indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Reviews" section of this notice.

DATES: *Effective Date:* August 6, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Terre Keaton Stefanova or Katherine Johnson, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1280 or (202) 482-4929, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 29, 2009, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty orders on citric acid from Canada and the PRC.¹ On April 1, 2014, the Department published the notice of initiation of the first sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on citric acid from Canada and the PRC pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act").² On April 14, 2014, the Department received Notices of Intent to Participate in these reviews from the following domestic producers of citric acid: Archer Daniels Midland Company, Cargill, Incorporated, and Tate & Lyle Ingredients Americas LLC. (collectively, "the petitioners"), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). The petitioners claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as manufacturers of a domestic like

¹ See *Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Canada and the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 74 FR 25703 (May 29, 2009).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 79 FR 18279 (April 1, 2014).

⁵ See *Notice of Scope Rulings*, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005).