

Background

The Department initiated and the ITC instituted sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on PET Film from India and Taiwan and the countervailing duty order on PET Film from India, pursuant to section 751(c) and 752 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).¹

As a result of its review, the Department found that revocation of the countervailing duty order would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of net countervailable subsidies, and therefore, notified the ITC of the subsidy rate were the order to be revoked.² As a result of its review, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on PET Film from India and Taiwan would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and, therefore, notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail were the orders to be revoked.³

On July 22, 2014, the ITC published its determination pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty order on PET Film from India and Taiwan would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and the countervailing duty order on PET Film from India would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of net countervailable subsidies.⁴

Scope of the Orders

The products covered by the antidumping duty and countervailing duty orders are all gauges of raw, pretreated, or primed PET Film, whether extruded or coextruded. Excluded are metallized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer of more than 0.00001 inches thick. Imports of PET Film are currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item number 3920.62.00.90. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The

¹ See *Initiation of Five Year ("Sunset") Review*, 78 FR 19647 (April 2, 2013).

² See *Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet and Strip From India: Final Results of the Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order*, 78 FR 47276 (August 5, 2013).

³ See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From India and Taiwan: Final Results of the Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Orders and Correction to the Preliminary Results*, 79 FR 12153 (March 4, 2014).

⁴ See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From India and Taiwan*, 79 FR 42534 (July 22, 2014).

written description of the scope of the antidumping duty order is dispositive.

Scope Determinations

Since these orders were published, there was one scope determination for PET film from India, dated August 25, 2003. In this determination, requested by International Packaging Films Inc., the Department determined that tracing and drafting film is outside of the scope of the order on PET Film from India.⁵

Continuation of the Orders

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the ITC that revocation of the antidumping duty orders and the countervailing duty order would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and net countervailable subsidies and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to sections 751(c) and 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of these antidumping duty orders on PET film from India and Taiwan and the countervailing duty order on PET Film from India. U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect antidumping duty and countervailing duty cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise.

The effective date of the continuation of this order will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of this order not later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the effective date of continuation.

This five-year (sunset) review and this notice are in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and published pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4).

Dated: July 29, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014-18599 Filed 8-5-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-853; A-570-937]

Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From Canada and the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited First Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department of Commerce (the Department) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on citric acid and certain citrate salts (citric acid) from Canada and the People's Republic of China (PRC) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. The magnitude of the dumping margins likely to prevail is indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Reviews" section of this notice.

DATES: *Effective Date:* August 6, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Terre Keaton Stefanova or Katherine Johnson, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1280 or (202) 482-4929, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 29, 2009, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty orders on citric acid from Canada and the PRC.¹ On April 1, 2014, the Department published the notice of initiation of the first sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on citric acid from Canada and the PRC pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act").² On April 14, 2014, the Department received Notices of Intent to Participate in these reviews from the following domestic producers of citric acid: Archer Daniels Midland Company, Cargill, Incorporated, and Tate & Lyle Ingredients Americas LLC. (collectively, "the petitioners"), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). The petitioners claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as manufacturers of a domestic like

¹ See *Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Canada and the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 74 FR 25703 (May 29, 2009).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 79 FR 18279 (April 1, 2014).

⁵ See *Notice of Scope Rulings*, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005).

product in the United States. On May 1, 2014, we received a complete substantive response for each review from the petitioners within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).³ We received no substantive responses from any respondent interested parties. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews of these orders.

Scope of the Orders

The merchandise covered by these orders is citric acid and certain citrate salts. The product is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at item numbers 2918.14.0000 and 2918.15.1000, 2918.15.5000 and

3824.90.9290. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive. A complete description of the scope of these Orders is contained in the Decision Memo.⁴

Analysis of Comments Received

A complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews is provided in the accompanying Decision Memo. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if these orders were to be revoked. The Decision Memo is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System

(IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov>, and it is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed and electronic versions of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Sunset Reviews

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on citric acid from Canada and the PRC would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margins:

Exporter/producer	Percent margin
Canada:	
Jungbunzlauer Canada Inc	23.21
All Others	23.21
PRC: ⁵	
TTCA Co., Ltd. (a.k.a. Shandong TTCA Biochemistry Co., Ltd.)/TTCA Co., Ltd. (a.k.a. Shandong TTCA Biochemistry Co., Ltd.)	129.08
Yixing Union Biochemical Co., Ltd./Yixing Union Biochemical Co., Ltd	94.61
Anhui BBBCA Biochemical Co., Ltd./Anhui BBBCA Biochemical Co., Ltd	111.85
Anhui BBBCA Biochemical Co., Ltd./China BBBCA Maanshan Biochemical Corp	111.85
A.H.A. International Co., Ltd./Yixing Union Biochemical Co., Ltd	111.85
A.H.A. International Co., Ltd./Nantong Feiyu Fine Chemical Co., Ltd	111.85
High Hope International Group Jiangsu Native Produce IMP & EXP Co., Ltd./Yixing Union Biochemical Co., Ltd	111.85
Huangshi Xinghua Biochemical Co., Ltd./Huangshi Xinghua Biochemical Co., Ltd	111.85
Lianyungang JF International Trade Co., Ltd./TTCA Co., Ltd. (a.k.a Shandong TTCA Biochemistry Co., Ltd.)	111.85
Laiwu Taihe Biochemistry Co., Ltd./Laiwu Taihe Biochemistry Co., Ltd	111.85
Lianyungang Shuren Scientific Creation Import & Export Co., Ltd./Lianyungang Great Chemical Industry Co., Ltd	111.85
Penglai Marine Bio-Tech Co. Ltd./Penglai Marine Bio-Tech Co. Ltd	111.85
RZBC Imp & Exp. Co., Ltd./RZBC Co., Ltd./RZBC (Juxian) Co., Ltd./RZBC Co., Ltd	111.85
RZBC Imp & Exp. Co., Ltd./RZBC Co., Ltd./RZBC (Juxian) Co., Ltd./RZBC (Juxian) Co., Ltd	111.85
RZBC Imp & Exp. Co., Ltd./RZBC Co., Ltd./RZBC (Juxian) Co., Ltd./Lianyungang Great Chemical Industry Co., Ltd	111.85
Shihezi City Changyun Biochemical Co., Ltd./Shihezi City Changyun Biochemical Co., Ltd	111.85
Weifang Ensign Industry Co., Ltd./Weifang Ensign Industry Co., Ltd	111.85
PRC-Wide Entity	156.87

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply

with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 30, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014-18588 Filed 8-5-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

³ See the May 1, 2014, responses from the petitioners regarding the Five-Year ("Sunset") Review of Antidumping Duty Order on Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Canada: Domestic Industry's Substantive Response and the Five-Year ("Sunset") Review of Antidumping Duty Order on Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from the

People's Republic of China: Domestic Industry's Substantive Response.

⁴ Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, titled "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Reviews

of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Canada and the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with and adopted by this notice (Decision Memo).

⁵ The cash deposit rate for all PRC companies named below, except for Yixing Union Biochemical Co., Ltd./Yixing Union Biochemical Co., Ltd., were adjusted to account for export subsidies.