

community leaders and secure their approval. The addition of a remuneration provides additional incentive for participation.

*Public Availability of Comments:*

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: July 3, 2014.

**Deanna Meyer-Pietruszka,**

*Chief, Office of Policy, Regulations, and Analysis.*

[FR Doc. 2014-17929 Filed 7-29-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Reclamation

[14XR0687NA, RX.18527901.3000000, RR02054000]

#### Central Valley Project Improvement Act, Water Management Plans

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The following Water Management Plans are available for review:

- Patterson Irrigation District
- Central San Joaquin Water Conservation District
- Madera Irrigation District
- Panoche Water District
- Sacramento County Water Agency
- City of Redding
- Sacramento Municipal Utility District
- Stockton East Water District

To meet the requirements of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act of 1992 and the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982, the Bureau of Reclamation developed and published the Criteria for Evaluating Water Management Plans (Criteria). For the purpose of this announcement, Water Management Plans (Plans) are considered the same as Water Conservation Plans. The above entities have each developed a Plan, which Reclamation has evaluated and preliminarily determined to meet the requirements of these Criteria. Reclamation is publishing this notice in order to allow the public to review the Plans and comment on the preliminary determinations. Public comment on

Reclamation's preliminary (i.e., draft) determination of Plan adequacy is invited at this time.

**DATES:** All public comments must be received by August 29, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** Please mail comments to Ms. Melissa Crandell, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, MP-410, Sacramento, California 95825, or email at [mcrandell@usbr.gov](mailto:mcrandell@usbr.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To be placed on a mailing list for any subsequent information, please contact Ms. Crandell at the email address above or 916-978-5208 (TDD 978-5608).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** We are inviting the public to comment on our preliminary (i.e., draft) determination of Plan adequacy. Section 3405(e) of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act (Title 34 Pub. L. 102-575), requires the Secretary of the Interior to establish and administer an office on Central Valley Project water conservation best management practices that shall “develop criteria for evaluating the adequacy of all water conservation plans developed by project contractors, including those plans required by section 210 of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982.” Also, according to Section 3405(e)(1), these criteria must be developed “with the purpose of promoting the highest level of water use efficiency reasonably achievable by project contractors using best available cost-effective technology and best management practices.” These criteria state that all parties (Contractors) that contract with Reclamation for water supplies (municipal and industrial contracts over 2,000 acre-feet and agricultural contracts over 2,000 irrigable acres) must prepare a Plan that contains the following information:

1. Description of the District;
2. Inventory of Water Resources;
3. Best Management Practices (BMPs) for Agricultural Contractors;
4. BMPs for Urban Contractors;
5. Plan Implementation;
6. Exemption Process;
7. Regional Criteria; and
8. Five-Year Revisions.

Reclamation evaluates Plans based on these criteria. A copy of these Plans will be available for review at Reclamation's Mid-Pacific Regional Office, 2800 Cottage Way, MP-410, Sacramento, California 95825. Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. If you wish to review a copy of these Plans, please contact Ms. Crandell.

#### Public Disclosure

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other

personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: July 22, 2014.

**Richard J. Woodley,**

*Regional Resources Manager, Mid-Pacific Region, Bureau of Reclamation.*

[FR Doc. 2014-17948 Filed 7-29-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-894]

### Certain Tires and Products Containing Same: Commission Determination To Issue a Limited Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist Orders Against Respondents Found in Default; Termination of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has issued (1) a limited exclusion order against infringing products of respondents previously found in default, *i.e.*, WestKY Customs, LLC of Benton, Kentucky (“WestKY”); Tire & Wheel Master, Inc. of Stockton, California (“Tire & Wheel Master”); Vittore Wheel & Tire of Asheboro, North Carolina (“Vittore”); RTM Wheel & Tire of Asheboro, North Carolina (“RTM”); Turbo Wholesale Tires, Inc. of Irwindale, California (“Turbo”); Lexani Tires Worldwide, Inc. of Irwindale, California (“Lexani”); WTD Inc. of Cerritos, California (“WTD”); and Simple Tire of Cookeville, Tennessee (“Simple Tire”) (collectively, “Defaulting Respondents”); and (2) cease and desist orders directed against each of the Defaulting Respondents. The investigation is terminated.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3115. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E

Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on September 20, 2013, based on a complaint filed by Toyo Tire & Rubber Co., Ltd. of Japan; Toyo Tire Holdings of Americas Inc. of Cypress, California; Toyo Tire U.S.A. Corp. of Cypress, California; Nitto Tire U.S.A. Inc. of Cypress, California; and Toyo Tire North America Manufacturing Inc. of White, Georgia (collectively, "Toyo"). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violation of section 337 by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Design Patent Nos. D487,424 ("the '424 patent'"); D610,975; D610,976 ("the '976 patent'"); D610,977 ("the '977 patent'"); D615,031; D626,913 ("the '913 patent'"); D458,214 ("the '214 patent'"); and D653,200 by numerous respondents. 78 FR 57882-83 (Sept. 20, 2013). Subsequently, the complaint and notice of investigation were amended to add Shandong Hengyu Science & Technology Co., Ltd. ("Shandong Hengyu") as a respondent. Several respondents were terminated from the investigation based on settlement agreements and consent orders.

On November 18, 2013, the ALJ ordered certain respondents, including WestKY, Tire & Wheel Master, Vittore, and RTM, to show cause by December 4, 2013, why they should not be held in default for failing to respond to the Complaint and Notice of Investigation. See Order No. 10 (Nov. 18, 2013). No submissions were filed on behalf of WestKY, Tire & Wheel Master, Vittore, or RTM in response to ALJ Order No. 10. On December 5, 2013, the ALJ issued an ID finding respondents WestKY, Tire & Wheel Master, Vittore, and RTM to be in default. See ALJ Order 17 (Dec. 5, 2013) (not reviewed on December 27, 2013).

On December 24, 2013, the ALJ ordered respondents Turbo, Lexani, and WTD to show cause by January 10, 2014, why they should not be held in default for failing to respond to the Complaint and Notice of Investigation. See Order No. 24 (Dec. 24, 2013). No

submissions were filed in response to ALJ Order No. 24. On February 3, 2014, the ALJ issued an ID finding respondents Turbo, Lexani, and WTD to be in default. See ALJ Order 30 (Feb. 3, 2014) (not reviewed on March 6, 2014).

On January 28, 2014, the ALJ ordered respondent Simple Tire to show cause by February 12, 2014, why it should not be held in default for failing to respond to the Complaint and Notice of Investigation. See Order No. 29 (Jan. 28, 2014). No submissions were filed in response to ALJ Order No. 29. On February 18, 2014, the ALJ issued an ID finding respondent Simple Tire to be in default. See ALJ Order 34 (Feb. 18, 2014) (not reviewed on March 20, 2014).

The Commission found that the statutory requirements of section 337(g)(1) (19 U.S.C. 1337(g)(1)) and Commission rule 210.16(a) (19 CFR 210.16(a)) are met with respect to the Defaulting Respondents. 79 FR 21484-86 (Apr. 16, 2014). Accordingly, pursuant to section 337(g)(1) (19 U.S.C. 1337(g)(1)) and Commission rule 210.16(c) (19 CFR 210.16(c)), the Commission presumes the facts alleged in the complaint to be true and finds that Defaulting Respondents are in violation of section 337.

The Commission requested briefing from the parties and the public on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. 79 FR at 21484-85. Complainant Toyo and the Commission investigative attorney ("IA") filed timely opening submissions. The IA further filed a timely responsive submission on May 2, 2014. Also, two submissions, both dated May 2, 2014, were filed on behalf of certain of Defaulting Respondents: A "Reply Submission of Katana Racing, Inc. d/b/a WTD Respecting Remedy, the Public Interest and Bonding," and a "Reply Submission of Turbo Tire Corporation Respecting Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding." On May 7, 2014, complainant Toyo filed "Complainants' Response to the Reply Submissions of Katana Racing, Inc. d/b/a WTD, TURBO Tire Corp., and LEXANI, Inc." No other submissions in response to the Commission notice were received.

The Commission has determined that the appropriate form of relief in this investigation is a limited exclusion order prohibiting the unlicensed entry of certain tires and products containing same that are manufactured abroad by or on behalf of, or imported by or on behalf of, the Defaulting Respondents by reason of infringement of one or more of the '424 patent; the '976 patent; the '977 patent; the '913 patent; and the '214 patent. The Commission has also determined to issue cease and desist

orders directed against each of the Defaulting Respondents which prohibit, *inter alia*, the importation, sale, advertising, marketing, and distribution of covered products in the United States by the Defaulting Respondents. The Commission has further determined that the public interest factors enumerated in section 337(g)(1) (19 U.S.C. 1337(g)(1)) do not preclude issuance of the remedial orders. Finally, the Commission has determined that the bond for importation during the period of Presidential review shall be in the amount of 100 percent of the entered value of the imported subject articles of the Defaulting Respondents. The Commission's orders were delivered to the President and the United States Trade Representative on the day of their issuance.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 24, 2014.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2014-17911 Filed 7-29-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

---

## JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

### Meeting of the Judicial Conference Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure

**AGENCY:** Judicial Conference of the United States Advisory Committee on Rules of Appellate Procedure.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Advisory Committee on Rules of Appellate Procedure will hold a one-day meeting. The meeting will be open to public observation but not participation.

**DATES:** October 20, 2014.

*Time:* 8:00 a.m.-5:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building, Mecham Conference Center, One Columbus Circle NE., Washington, DC 20544.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jonathan C. Rose, Secretary and Chief Rules Officer, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, DC 20544, telephone (202) 502-1820.