

the final results of this review the Department will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).⁷ Where an importer-(or customer-specific per-unit rate is greater than *de minimis*, the Department will instruct CBP to collect the appropriate duties at the time of liquidation. Where either a respondent's weighted average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.⁸

In 2011, the Department announced a refinement to its assessment practice in NME cases.⁹ Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the NME-wide rate. In addition, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (*i.e.*, at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the NME-wide rate.¹⁰

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) for subject merchandise exported by New-Tec, which has a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that established in the final results of this review, except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, then zero cash deposit will be required; (2) for any previously reviewed or investigated PRC and non-PRC exporter not listed above that received a separate rate in a previous segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate

will be that for the PRC-wide entity (*i.e.*, 383.60 percent); and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied the non-PRC exporter. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Disclosure

The Department will disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). We are issuing and publishing this administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 22, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Comments Discussed in the Accompanying Final Issues and Decision Memorandum:

Summary

Scope of the Order

List of Comments

Discussion of Issues

Comment 1: Whether To Value Certain Inputs Using Purchases from Market-Economy Suppliers

Comment 2: Surrogate Country

Comment 3: Whether To Use Thai Trolley's Financial Statement

Comment 4: Whether To Use 2012 Thai Financial Statements

Comment 5: Use of Jenbunjerd's Financial Statement

Comment 6: Omitted Factor of Production value

Comment 7: Alternative Surrogate Values for Factors of Production

Comment 8: Alternative Surrogate Freight and Brokerage Methodologies Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2014-17872 Filed 7-28-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Title: Patent Petitions Related to Application and Reexamination Processing Fees.

Form Number(s): PTO/SB/17P, PTO/SB/23, PTO/SB/24a, PTO/SB/28 (EFS-Web only), and PTO/SB/140 (EFS-Web only).

Agency Approval Number: 0651-0059.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Burden: 35,596 hours annually.

Number of Respondents: 33,119 responses per year.

Avg. Hours per Response: The USPTO estimates that it takes the public approximately 5 minutes (0.08 hours) to 12 hours to complete items in this collection, depending on the petition. This includes the time to gather the necessary information, prepare the petitions and petition fee transmittals, and submit them to the USPTO. The USPTO estimates that it takes the same amount of time (and possibly less time) to gather the necessary information, prepare the submission, and submit it electronically as it does to submit the information in paper form.

Needs and Uses: The public uses the information in this collection to petition for various actions under 37 CFR 1.17(f), (g), and (h), such as petitioning for a suspension of the rules, requesting access to an assignment record, or requesting the withdrawal of an

⁷ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

⁸ See *id.*

⁹ See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

¹⁰ See *id.*

application from issue either before or after paying the issue fee. In addition, the public uses these petitions to obtain copies of documents that have been submitted in a form other than that provided by the rules of practice, to request accelerated examination, to request abandonment of an application to avoid publication of said application, and to request an extension of time. The public uses the transmittal form to remit the required fees for the various petitions. The USPTO uses the information collected from the petitions and transmittal form to determine whether to grant the various requests and to ensure that the proper fees have been remitted and are processed accordingly.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profits.

Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: Nicholas A. Fraser, email: Nicholas_A_Fraser@omb.eop.gov.

Once submitted, the request will be publicly available in electronic format through the Information Collection Review page at www.reginfo.gov.

Paper copies can be obtained by:

- **Email:** InformationCollection@uspto.gov. Include "0651-0059 copy request" in the subject line of the message.

- **Mail:** Margaret McElrath, Deputy Director, Office of Information Management Services, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent on or before August 28, 2014 to Nicholas A. Fraser, OMB Desk Officer, via email to Nicholas_A_Fraser@omb.eop.gov, or by fax to 202-395-5167, marked to the attention of Nicholas A. Fraser.

Dated: July 22, 2014.

Margaret McElrath,

Deputy Director, Office of Information Management Services, United States Patent and Trademark Office.

[FR Doc. 2014-17790 Filed 7-28-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Submission for OMB Review

AGENCY: United States Patent and Trademark Office.

ACTION: Notice and comment request.

SUMMARY: The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) will submit

to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO).

Title: Submissions Regarding Correspondence and Regarding Attorney Representation (Trademarks).

Form Number(s): PTO Forms 2196 and 2201.

Agency Approval Number: 0651-0056.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Burden: 10,540 hours annually.

Number of Respondents: 108,940 responses per year. Of this total, the USPTO estimates that 103,751 responses will be submitted through TEAS.

Avg. Hours per Response: The USPTO estimates that it takes the public approximately 5 to 30 minutes (0.084 to 0.50 hours) to complete this information, depending on the document being submitted. This includes the time to gather the necessary information, prepare the requests, and submit them to the USPTO. The time estimates shown for the electronic forms in this collection are based on the average amount of time needed to complete and electronically file the associated form.

Needs and Uses: The public uses the information in this collection to appoint attorneys and domestic representatives to act on their behalf in the prosecution of their applications, to revoke those same appointments, to request permission to withdraw as the attorney of record or domestic representative, and to request replacement of the attorney of record with another already-appointed attorney. The USPTO uses the collected information to process the requests.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit organizations.

Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: Nicholas A. Fraser, email: Nicholas_A_Fraser@omb.eop.gov.

Once submitted, the request will be publicly available in electronic format through the Information Collection Review page at www.reginfo.gov.

Paper copies can be obtained by:

- **Email:** InformationCollection@uspto.gov. Include "0651-0056 copy request" in the subject line of the message.

- **Mail:** Margaret McElrath, Deputy Director, Office of Information Management Services, United States

Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent on or before August 28, 2014 to Nicholas A. Fraser, OMB Desk Officer, via email to Nicholas_A_Fraser@omb.eop.gov, or by fax to 202-395-5167, marked to the attention of Nicholas A. Fraser.

Dated: July 23, 2014.

Margaret McElrath,

Deputy Director, Office of Information Management Services, United States Patent and Trademark Office.

[FR Doc. 2014-17870 Filed 7-28-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CPSC-2010-0056]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request—Safety Standard for Bicycle Helmets

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), the Consumer Product Safety Commission (Commission or CPSC) announces that the Commission has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), a request for extension of approval of a collection of information associated with the CPSC's Safety Standard for Bicycle Helmets (OMB No. 3041-0127). In the **Federal Register** of May 8, 2014 (78 FR 26416), the CPSC published a notice to announce the agency's intention to seek extension of approval of the collection of information. The Commission received one comment. The commenter supported the record keeping requirements for ensuring the safety of bicycle helmets. The commenter also stated that two revisions to the test method (impact ceiling and positional stability) should be made to the standard. The request to revise the test method of the standard is outside the scope of the proposed renewal request. The renewal request sought comments on the burden hours associated with recordkeeping requirements in the safety standard. However, the comment has been forwarded to the CPSC's Office of Hazard Identification and Reduction. Therefore, by publication of this notice, the Commission announces that CPSC