

- Staff Director's Report
- III. State Advisory Committee (SAC)
- Appointments
  - Arizona
  - Missouri
  - Virginia

**CONTACT PERSON FOR FURTHER**

**INFORMATION:** Lenore Ostrowsky, Acting Chief, Public Affairs Unit (202) 376-8591.

Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the briefing and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact Pamela Dunston at (202) 376-8105 or at [signlanguage@usccr.gov](mailto:signlanguage@usccr.gov) at least seven business days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

Dated: July 18, 2014.

**Marlene Sallo,**

*Staff Director.*

[FR Doc. 2014-17338 Filed 7-18-14; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 6335-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Foreign-Trade Zones Board**

[S-94-2014]

**Foreign-Trade Zone 87—Lake Charles, Louisiana; Application for Subzone; LEEVAC Shipyards, LLC; Jennings, Louisiana**

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Lake Charles Harbor & Terminal District, grantee of FTZ 87, requesting subzone status for the facility of LEEVAC Shipyards, LLC, located in Jennings, Louisiana. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally docketed on July 16, 2014.

The proposed subzone (75 acres) is located at 111 Bunge Street in Jennings (Jefferson Davis Parish). The proposed subzone would be subject to the existing activation limit of FTZ 87. Production authority has already been approved for the facility (B-11-2014, 79 FR 31297, 6/2/2014).

In accordance with the Board's regulations, Camille Evans of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to review the application and make recommendations to the Executive Secretary.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is September 2, 2014. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted

during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to September 15, 2014.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230-0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the Board's Web site, which is accessible via [www.trade.gov/jtz](http://www.trade.gov/jtz).

For further information, contact Camille Evans at [Camille.Evans@trade.gov](mailto:Camille.Evans@trade.gov) or (202) 482-2350.

Dated: July 16, 2014.

**Andrew McGilvray,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2014-17235 Filed 7-21-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration**

[A-428-842, A-588-871, and A-455-804]

**Grain-Oriented Electrical Steel From Germany, Japan, and Poland: Final Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Certain Final Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) determines that grain-oriented electrical steel (GOES) from Germany, Japan, and Poland is being, or is likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV), as provided in section 735 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The period of investigation (POI) is July 1, 2012, through June 30, 2013. The final weighted-average dumping margins of sales at LTFV are listed below in the "Final Determinations" section of this notice.

**DATES:** July 22, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Stephen Banea at (202) 482-0656 (Germany); Steve Bezirgianian at (202) 482-1131 (Japan); or Alan Ray at (202) 482-5403 (Poland); AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

On May 12, 2014, the Department published the preliminary

determinations of sales at LTFV of GOES from Germany, Japan, and Poland.<sup>1</sup> We invited, but did not receive, interested party comments on the preliminary determinations in these investigations.

In May and June 2014, the mandatory respondents in the investigations from Germany and Japan requested that the Department postpone the final determinations in those cases, pursuant to section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(e)(1). These companies also requested that the Department extend provisional measures from a four-month period to not more than six months, in accordance with section 733(d) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(e)(2). Subsequently, the petitioners and a domestic interested party<sup>2</sup> submitted letters jointly opposing these requests.<sup>3</sup>

**Scope of the Investigations**

The scope of the investigations covers GOES, which is a flat-rolled alloy steel product containing by weight specific levels of silicon, carbon, and aluminum. For a complete description of the scope of the investigations, see Appendix I to this notice.

**Verification**

The Department did not verify any of the mandatory respondents in these investigations because none of the mandatory respondents participated in the investigations prior to the issuance of the preliminary determinations.

**Requests To Postpone the Final Determinations for Germany and Japan**

On May 14 and May 19, 2014, respectively, the Department received requests to postpone the final determinations from the mandatory respondents in the Japan investigation (*i.e.*, Nippon Steel & Sumitomo Metal Corporation (NSSMC) and JFE Steel Corporation (JFE)) and the mandatory respondent in the German investigation (*i.e.*, ThyssenKrupp Electrical Steel GmbH (TKES)). On May 19, 2014, the

<sup>1</sup> See *Grain-Oriented Electrical Steel from Germany, Japan, Poland, and the Russian Federation: Preliminary Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Certain Affirmative Preliminary Determinations of Critical Circumstances, and Postponement of Russian Final Determination*, 79 FR 26941 (May 12, 2014) (*Preliminary Determinations*).

<sup>2</sup> The petitioners are AK Steel Corporation, Allegheny Ludlum, LLC, and the United Steelworkers. The domestic interested party is the International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace, and Agricultural Implemental Workers of America (UAW). Collectively, these parties are referred to as "the domestic industry."

<sup>3</sup> For further discussion of this issue, see the "Requests to Postpone the Final Determinations for Germany and Japan" section of this notice.

domestic industry objected to all three requests, arguing that there are compelling reasons to deny them. Specifically, the domestic industry contends that postponements would be inappropriate in these cases because: (1) The mandatory respondents failed to participate in these investigations and, thus, there is nothing to be gained from these non-cooperative companies in terms of argument or additional factual information; (2) unlike past investigations in which the Department postponed final determinations, the petitioners and other members of the domestic industry objected to the postponements, thus providing a compelling reason not to extend; and (3) postponing the deadlines would require the Department to prepare multiple final determinations (*i.e.*, one for Poland in July and then two others for Germany and Japan in September).

On May 20 and 21, 2014, respectively, JFE and NSSMC responded to the objections of the domestic industry, stating that: (1) There is no requirement under the statute or the regulations that a respondent may request a postponement of the final only if it participated in the investigation; (2) the Department's workload would be essentially unaffected by a postponement; and (3) the Department has recently postponed its final determinations under similar circumstances.<sup>4</sup> TKES did not respond to the domestic industry's arguments.

On May 28, 2014, the domestic industry responded to JFE's and NSSMC's submissions, stating that respondents' failure to respond to the Department's information requests impeded the proceeding, and when the Department has postponed final determinations in the past, there either had been no objection from the petitioners or the petitioners had requested the postponement. Thus, the domestic industry contends that the precedent cited by JFE and NSSMC is not applicable.

On June 2, 2014, NSSMC replied to the domestic industry's May 28 submission, noting that the domestic industry had identified only one instance where a request for postponement was denied.<sup>5</sup> According to NSSMC, that case was not factually

similar because, unlike these investigations, the Department had identified a "record of misleading and contradictory responses."<sup>6</sup> Furthermore, NSSMC noted that the domestic industry cited no statute, regulation, or precedent indicating that a petitioner's position on a postponement request is relevant.

Section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act provides that a final determination may be postponed until not later than 135 days after the date of the publication of the preliminary determination if, in the event of an affirmative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by exporters who account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise. Further, 19 CFR 351.210(e)(2) requires that requests by respondents for postponement of a final determination be accompanied by a request for extension of provisional measures from a four-month period to not more than six months.

After considering all submissions on this issue, the Department has determined that additional time is not required to complete these final determinations, given that: (1) No interested parties have submitted case briefs or otherwise commented on our preliminary determinations; (2) the Department will avoid expenditure of further administrative resources by completing the Germany and Japan investigations at the same time as the Poland investigation, in which no exporter requested a postponement of the final determination; (3) the domestic industry, including the petitioners, object to postponing these final determinations, unlike the circumstances in *Stainless Pipe from Malaysia*; and, (4) section 735(a)(2) of the Act and the Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.210(e)(1) provide that the Department may postpone a final determination, but do not require us to do so upon request. Therefore, we have exercised our discretion under the Act and are not postponing the final determinations.

**Final Determinations**

We made no changes to our preliminary determinations in the Germany, Japan, and Poland investigations. Therefore, we continue to determine that the following margins exist for the following entities for the POI:

Manufacturer/ exporter	Dumping margin (percent)
Germany	
ThyssenKrupp Electrical Steel GmbH .....	241.91
All Others .....	133.70
Japan	
JFE Steel Corporation .....	172.30
Nippon Steel & Sumitomo Metal Corporation .....	172.30
All Others .....	93.36
Poland	
Stalprodukt S.A. ....	99.51
All Others .....	78.10

**Certain Final Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances**

We made no changes to our critical circumstances analysis for Poland announced in the *Preliminary Determinations* and described in "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Determination of the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Grain-Oriented Electrical Steel from Poland," which is hereby adopted by this notice. Thus, pursuant to 735(a)(3) of the Act, we continue to find that critical circumstances exist with respect to imports of GOES from Poland from Stalprodukt S.A. and the companies covered by the "all others" rate.

**Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation**

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(B) of the Act, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to continue to suspend liquidation of all appropriate entries of GOES from Germany and Japan as described in the "Scope of the Investigations" section of this notice, which were entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after May 12, 2014, the date of publication of the preliminary determinations of those investigations in the **Federal Register**.

With respect to entries of GOES from Poland, in accordance with section 735(c)(4)(A) of the Act, the Department will instruct CBP to continue to suspend liquidation of all entries from Stalprodukt S.A. and the companies covered by the "all others" rate that were entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after February 11, 2014, which is ninety days prior to the publication of the preliminary determination of that investigation in the **Federal Register**.

Further, the Department will instruct CBP to require a cash deposit equal to

<sup>4</sup> See *Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from Malaysia: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Affirmative Preliminary Determination of Critical Circumstances, in Part, and Postponement of Final Determination*, 79 FR 808, 809-10 (January 7, 2014) (*Stainless Pipe from Malaysia*).

<sup>5</sup> See *Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rods From India*, 58 FR 41729, 41731 (August 5, 1993) (*Steel Wire Rod From India*).

<sup>6</sup> *Id.*

the weighted-average amount by which normal value exceeds U.S. price as follows: (1) for the mandatory respondents listed in the table above, the cash deposit rate will be equal to the dumping margin which the Department determined in these final determinations; (2) if the exporter is not a mandatory respondent identified in these investigations, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (3) the cash deposit rates for all other producers or exporters will be 133.70 percent for entries from Germany, 93.36 percent for entries from Japan, and 78.10 percent for entries from Poland. The suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

### International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we will notify the ITC of the final affirmative determinations of sales at LTFV. Because the final determinations in these proceedings are affirmative, in accordance with section 735(b)(2) of the Act, the ITC will make its final determination as to whether the domestic industry in the United States is materially injured, or threatened with material injury, by reason of imports of GOES from Germany, Japan, and Poland no later than 45 days after our final determinations. If the ITC determines that material injury or threat of material injury does not exist for any country, the associated proceeding will be terminated and all securities posted will be refunded. If the ITC determines that such injury does exist for any country, the Department will issue an antidumping duty order for that country directing CBP to assess, upon further instruction by the Department, antidumping duties on all imports of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the effective date of the suspension of liquidation.

### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These determinations and this notice are issued and published pursuant to sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 16, 2014.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

### Appendix I—Scope of the Investigations

The scope of these investigations covers grain-oriented silicon electrical steel (GOES). GOES is a flat-rolled alloy steel product containing by weight at least 0.6 percent but not more than 6 percent of silicon, not more than 0.08 percent of carbon, not more than 1.0 percent of aluminum, and no other element in an amount that would give the steel the characteristics of another alloy steel, in coils or in straight lengths. The GOES that is subject to these investigations is currently classifiable under subheadings 7225.11.0000, 7226.11.1000, 7226.11.9030, and 7226.11.9060 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of these investigations is dispositive. Excluded are flat-rolled products not in coils that, prior to importation into the United States, have been cut to a shape and undergone all punching, coating, or other operations necessary for classification in Chapter 85 of the HTSUS as a transformer part (*i.e.*, laminations).

[FR Doc. 2014-17226 Filed 7-21-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Customs and Border Protection

### Opportunity To Comment on Presidential Memorandum Calling for Improving the Entry Process and Airport-Specific Plans for International Travelers to the United States

**AGENCIES:** International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce; U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security.

**ACTION:** Notice; opportunity for comments.

**SUMMARY:** On Thursday, May 22, President Obama issued a Presidential Memorandum, Establishing a National Goal and Developing Airport Specific Action Plans to Enhance the Entry Process for International Travelers to the United States. The Memorandum directs the Secretaries of Commerce and Homeland Security to develop within

120 days a national goal for improving service levels for international arrivals. It also directs the Secretaries to develop airport-specific action plans that include actions from both private and public sectors to measurably improve the entry experience for international arrivals to those airports. This notice notifies interested stakeholders about how to submit comments and ideas on determining the national goal and how to improve all aspects of the international arrivals process.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before Friday, August 15, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** Electronic comments are preferred and may be sent to: *OACIE@trade.gov* and *modelports@cbp.dhs.gov*. Written comments may be sent to: Jennifer Pilat, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Suite 4043, International Trade Administration, Washington, DC 20230 or Daniel Tanciar, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Room 2.4A, Washington, DC 20229.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jennifer Pilat, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Suite 4043, International Trade Administration, Washington, DC 20230, *oacie@trade.gov*, 202-482-4501 or Daniel Tanciar, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, 1300 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Room 2.4A, Washington, DC 20229, *modelports@cbp.dhs.gov*, 202-344-1249.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On May 22, 2014, President Obama directed the Secretaries of Commerce and Homeland Security to lead an interagency team over the next 120 days, in close partnership with industry, to develop a national goal and develop airport-specific plans to enhance the entry process for international travelers to the United States. The measures the Administration is taking to expedite the arrivals process will enhance security by focusing officer time on the highest-risk passengers and facilitating the process for the vast majority of legitimate travelers.

Along with general comments and suggestions, the Departments of Commerce and Homeland Security are also specifically seeking input on the following questions:

1. What are your suggestions to improve the international arrivals experience at U.S. Airports?
2. What kind of technology should be considered to improve the international arrivals experience?
3. What recommendations do you have for U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to improve passport and baggage inspection?