

Exporter	Weighted-average margin (dollars/kilogram ("kg")) ⁴
Seafood Joint Stock Company No.4 Branch Dongtam Fisheries Processing Company	1.20
Southern Fishery Industries Company Ltd.	1.20
Sunrise Corporation	1.20
Thien Ma Seafood Co., Ltd.	1.20
To Chau Joint Stock Company	1.20
Viet Phu Food & Fish Corporation	1.20
Vinh Quang Fisheries Corporation	1.20
Vietnam-Wide Rate ¹¹	2.11

Disclosure

We will disclose the calculations performed for these amended final results to interested parties within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the amended final results of this review. The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of the amended final results of this administrative review.

For assessment purposes, we calculated importer (or customer)-specific assessment rates for

merchandise subject to this review. We will continue to direct CBP to assess importer-specific assessment rates based on the resulting per-unit (*i.e.*, per-kg) rates by the weight in kg of each entry of the subject merchandise during the POR. Specifically, we calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates on a per-unit rate basis by dividing the total dumping margins (calculated as the difference between normal value and export price, or constructed export price) for each importer by the total sales quantity of subject merchandise sold to that importer during the POR. If an importer (or customer)-specific assessment rate is *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.50 percent), the Department will instruct CBP to assess that importer (or customer's) entries of subject merchandise without regard to antidumping duties, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements are effective as of April 7, 2014, the date of publication of the *Final Results*, for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the exporters listed above are the rates established in the amended final results of review; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed Vietnamese and non-Vietnamese exporters not listed in the *Final Results* or these amended final results that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all Vietnamese exporters of subject merchandise which were not found to be entitled to a separate rate in the *Final Results* or these amended final results, the cash deposit rate will be the Vietnam-wide rate of 2.11 U.S. dollars/kg; and (4) for all non-Vietnamese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Vietnamese exporters that supplied that non-Vietnamese exporter. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption

that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

These amended final results are published in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 9, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014-15559 Filed 7-1-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-924]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On December 26, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published its *Preliminary Results* of the 2011-2012 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip from the People's Republic of China (PRC).¹ The period of review (POR) is November 1, 2011, through October 31, 2012. This review covers six producers/exporters of subject merchandise: (1) Shaoxing Xiangyu Green Packing Co. Ltd. ("Green Packing"); (2) Tianjin Wanhua Co., Ltd. ("Wanhua"); (3) Fuwei Films (Shandong) Co. Ltd. ("Fuwei Films");

¹ See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012*, 78 FR 78333 (December 26, 2013) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

⁸ Includes the trade name CL Panga Fish.

⁹ Includes the trade names East Sea Seafoods LLC and ESS.

¹⁰ This rate is also applicable to QVD Dong Thap Food Co., Ltd and Thuan Hung Co., Ltd. ("THUFICO"). In the second review of this order, the Department found QVD, QVD Dong Thap Food Co., Ltd. and THUFICO to be a single entity and, because there have been no changes to this determination since that administrative review, we continue to find these companies to be part of a single entity. Therefore, we will assign this rate to the companies in the single entity. See *Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 71 FR 53387 (September 11, 2006).

¹¹ The Vietnam-wide rate includes the following companies which are under review, but which did not submit a separate rate application or certification: East Sea Seafood Co., Ltd., East Sea Seafoods Joint Venture Co., Ltd., Hung Vuong Seafood Joint Stock Company, Nam Viet Company Limited, and Vinh Hoan Company Ltd.

(4) Sichuan Dongfang Insulating Material Co., Ltd. (“Dong Fang”); and (5) DuPont Teijin Films China Limited, DuPont Hongji Films Foshan Co., Ltd., and DuPont Teijin Hongji Films Ningbo Co., Ltd (“collectively the “DuPont Group”). Green Packing and Wanhua are the selected mandatory respondents. We invited interested parties to comment on our *Preliminary Results*. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made certain changes to our margin calculations for Green Packing. The final dumping margins for this review are listed in the “Final Results” section below.

DATES: *Effective date:* July 2, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Martin or Jonathan Hill, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3936 or (202) 482–3518, respectively.

Background

On December 26, 2013, the Department published its *Preliminary Results*. We received case briefs from Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc. and SKC, Inc. (collectively “Petitioners”) and Green Packing on February 11, 2014 and February 12, 2014, respectively.² Wanhua resubmitted its case brief to redact certain untimely new factual information on February 28, 2014,³ rebuttal briefs from Petitioners and Wanhua on February 18, 2014. Petitioners resubmitted their rebuttal brief (redacting references to the untimely new information in Wanhua’s original case brief) on March 17, 2014.⁴

Additionally, on February 11, 2014, the Department received comments on the draft liquidation instructions from Bemis Company, Inc. and its affiliate, Milprint Inc. (collectively “Bemis”), and a letter in lieu of a case brief from Terphane, Inc., in which Terphane, Inc. states that it supports all arguments made by Petitioners in Petitioners’ case brief.⁵

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are all gauges of raw, pre-treated, or primed PET film, whether extruded or co-extruded. PET film is classifiable under subheading 3920.62.00.90 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”).⁶ Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

For the full text of the scope of the order, see “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2011–2012 Administrative Review,” (“Issues and Decision Memorandum”), dated concurrently with this notice.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs filed by parties in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues that parties raised and to which we responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum follows as an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty

Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we revised the margin calculations for Green Packing. Specifically, the Department applied the correct Harmonized Tariff Schedule heading in the calculation of the surrogate value for a certain packing material used by Green Packing.⁷

Separate Rates

In our *Preliminary Results*, we determined that Green Packing, Wanhua, Fuwei Films, Dongfang, and the DuPont Group, each demonstrated their eligibility for separate rate status.⁸ We have not received any information since the issuance of the *Preliminary Results* that provides a basis for reconsideration of this determination. Therefore, the Department continues to find that these companies are each eligible for separate rate status.

Final Results

We determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the POR:

Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (Percentage)
Shaoxing Xiangyu Green Packing Co. Ltd	34.00
Tianjin Wanhua Co., Ltd	22.07
Fuwei Films (Shandong) Co., Ltd.*	31.24

² See Letter from Petitioners, Commerce, “Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the People’s Republic of China: Petitioners’ Case Brief,” dated February 11, 2014; see also letter from Green Packing, “Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film from China,” dated February 12, 2014 (“Green Packing Brief”).

³ See Letter from Wanhua to the Secretary of Commerce, “Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film from the People’s Republic of China: A–570–924; Case Brief of Tianjin Wanhua Co., Ltd.,” dated February 28, 2014 (“Wanhua Brief”); see also letter from Howard Smith, Program Manager, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance to Wanhua dated February 27, 2014 in which the Department rejected Wanhua’s Brief for the inclusion of untimely filed information and requested a redacted version be filed by March 5, 2014.

⁴ See Letter from Wanhua, “Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film from the People’s Republic of China: A–570–924; Rebuttal Brief of Tianjin Wanhua Co., Ltd.,” dated February 18, 2014; see also letter from Petitioners, “Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the People’s Republic of China: Petitioners’ Rebuttal Brief,” dated February 18, 2014; see also letter from Howard Smith, Program Manager, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance to Petitioners dated March 14, 2014 in which the Department rejected Petitioners’ rebuttal brief for its reference to untimely filed information found in Wanhua’s original case brief and requested a redacted version be filed by March 17, 2014.

⁵ See Letter from Bemis to the Secretary of Commerce, “Comments on Draft Liquidation Instructions,” dated February 11, 2014; see also letter from Terphane, Inc. to the Secretary of

Commerce “Administrative Review Of The Antidumping Duty Order On Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet, And Strip From The People’s Republic Of China/Letter In Lieu Of Case Brief,” dated February 11, 2014.

⁶ See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2011–2012 Administrative Review,” issued concurrently with this notice (“Issues and Decision Memorandum”) for a complete description of the scope of the Order.

⁷ See Issues and Decision Memorandum.

⁸ See *Preliminary Results*, 78 FR at 78333, and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at “Separate Rate.”

Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (Percentage)
DuPont Teijin Films China Limited, DuPont Hongji Films Foshan Co., Ltd., and DuPont Teijin Films Hongji Ningbo Co., Ltd.*	31.24
Sichuan Dongfang Insulating Material Co., Ltd.*	31.24

* These companies demonstrated eligibility for a separate rate in this administrative review. The rate for these companies is the simple average of the calculated antidumping duty rates for Green Packing and Wanhua.

Assessment Rates

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of these final results of this review. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we are calculating importer- (or customer-) specific assessment rates for the merchandise subject to this review. For any individually examined respondent whose weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis* (i.e., 0.50 percent), the Department will calculate importer- (or customer-) specific assessment rates for merchandise subject to this review. Where appropriate, we calculated an *ad valorem* rate for each importer (or customer) by dividing the total dumping margins for reviewed sales to that party by the total entered values associated with those transactions. For duty-assessment rates calculated on this basis, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting *ad valorem* rate against the entered customs values for the subject merchandise. Where appropriate, we calculated a per-unit rate for each importer (or customer) by dividing the total dumping margins for reviewed sales to that party by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions. For duty-assessment rates calculated on this basis, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting per-unit rate against the entered quantity of the subject merchandise.⁹ We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific assessment rate is above *de minimis*. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

⁹ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8103 (February 14, 2012).

The Department announced a refinement to its assessment practice in non-market economy (NME) cases.¹⁰ Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the NME-wide rate (i.e., 76.72 percent).¹¹ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Assessment in NME Antidumping Proceedings*.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act): (1) For the exporters listed above, the cash deposit rate will be the rate listed for each exporter in the table in the "Final Results" section of this notice; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate of the PRC-wide entity established in the final determination of the less than fair value investigation (i.e., 76.72 percent); and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

¹⁰ See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011) ("*Assessment in NME Antidumping Proceedings*").

¹¹ See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the 2009–2010 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 77 FR 14493, 14494 (March 12, 2012).

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed regarding these final results within five days of the date of publication of this notice in this proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and that subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order ("APO")

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: June 24, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—Issues and Decision Memorandum

Summary
Scope of the Order
Discussion of the Issues
General Issues

Issue 1: Surrogate Country Selection

A. Whether South Africa is a Significant Producer of Comparable Merchandise
 B. Quality of the Indonesian and South African Surrogate Data to Value FOP
 C. Surrogate Financial Statements to Value Financial Ratios
 Issue 2: PET Chip Surrogate Value
 Issue 3: Treatment of Generated and Reintroduced By-Product
 Company-Specific Issues
 Issue 4: Treatment of Green Packing's Reintroduced PET Waste By-Product
 Issue 5: Green Packing's Sold By-Product
 Issue 6: Treatment of Market Economy Purchases ("MEP")
 Issue 6: U.S. Sales Database
 Issue 7: Plastic Stopper SV
 Issue 8: Value-Added Tax ("VAT")
 Adjustment to Wanhua's U.S. Sales Price
 Issue 9: Importer of Record for Certain Sales to the U.S.

Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2014-15574 Filed 7-1-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-821-817]

Silicon Metal From the Russian Federation: Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* July 2, 2014.

SUMMARY: As a result of the determinations by the Department of Commerce (the Department) and the International Trade Commission (ITC) that revocation of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from the Russian Federation (Russia), would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department is publishing a notice of continuation for this antidumping duty order.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Contact Information: Elfi Blum or Jacqueline Arrowsmith, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0197 or (202) 482-5255, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department initiated and the ITC instituted sunset reviews of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Russia, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as

amended (the Act).¹ As a result of its review, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and therefore notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail were the order to be revoked.²

On June 17, 2014, the ITC published its determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Russia would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.³

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this order is silicon metal, which generally contains at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. The merchandise covered by this order also includes silicon metal from Russia containing between 89.00 and 96.00 percent silicon by weight, but containing more aluminum than the silicon metal which contains at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Silicon metal currently is classifiable under subheadings 2804.69.10 and 2804.69.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). This order covers all silicon metal meeting the above specification, regardless of tariff classification.

Continuation of the Order

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the ITC that revocation of this antidumping duty order would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Russia. U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect antidumping duty cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise.

The effective date of the continuation of this order will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next

¹ See *Initiation of Five Year ("Sunset") Review*, 78 FR 33063 (June 3, 2013) (*Sunset Initiation Notice*).

² See *Silicon Metal from the Russian Federation: Final Results of the Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 78 FR 61334 (October 3, 2013).

³ See *Silicon Metal from Russia*, 79 FR 34551 (June 17, 2014).

five-year review of this order not later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the effective date of continuation.

This five-year (sunset) review and this notice are in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and published pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4).

Dated: June 24, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014-15567 Filed 7-1-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-996, A-428-843, A-588-872, A-401-809]

Non-Oriented Electrical Steel From the People's Republic of China, Germany, Japan, and Sweden: Postponement of Final Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is postponing the deadline for issuing the final determinations in the less-than-fair-value ("LTFV") investigations of non-oriented electrical steel from the People's Republic of China ("the PRC"), Germany, Japan, and Sweden and is extending the provisional measures from a four-month period to a period not more than six months in duration.

DATES: *Effective Date:* July 2, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Yang Jin Chun at (202) 482-5760 (the PRC); Patrick O'Connor at (202) 482-0989 (Germany); Thomas Martin at (202) 482-3936 (Japan); and Drew Jackson at (202) 482-4406 (Sweden); Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 18, 2013, the Department published a notice of initiation of the LTFV investigations of non-oriented electrical steel from the PRC, Germany, Japan, the Republic of Korea, Sweden and Taiwan.¹ The period of

¹ See *Non-Oriented Electrical Steel From the People's Republic of China, Germany, Japan, the Republic of Korea, Sweden and Taiwan: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations*, 78 FR 69041 (November 18, 2013).