DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Bureau of Indian Affairs

[145A2100DD.AADD001000] Advisory Board for Exceptional Children

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Indian Education (BIE) is announcing that the Advisory Board for Exceptional Children (Advisory Board) will hold its next meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico. The purpose of the meeting is to meet the mandates of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act of 2004 (IDEA) for Indian children with disabilities.

DATES: The Advisory Board will meet on Thursday, July 17, 2014, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. and Friday, July 18, 2014, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Mountain Time. Orientation for new members will be held Wednesday, July 16, 2014, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Mountain Time.

ADRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Manual Lujan, Jr. Building, 1011 Indian School Rd. NW., Rooms 231–232, Albuquerque, NM 87104.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sue Bement, Designated Federal Officer, Bureau of Indian Education, Albuquerque Service Center, Division of Performance and Accountability (DPA), 1011 Indian School Road NW., Suite 332, Albuquerque, NM 87104; telephone number (505) 563–5274 or email sue.bement@bie.edu.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the BIE is announcing that the Advisory Board will hold its next meeting in Albuquerque, NM. The Advisory Board was established under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act of 2004 (20 U.S.C. 1400 et seq.) to advise the Secretary of the Interior, through the Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs, on the needs of Indian children with disabilities. The meetings are open to the public.

The following items will be on the agenda:
- Remarks from BIE Director,
- Welcome from Associate Deputy Director, DPA/BIE,
- Report from, Supervisory Education Specialist, Special Education, DPA/BIE;
- Stakeholder input on BIE Special Education State Systemic Improvement Plan (SSIP);
- Discussion and selection of Advisory Board Priorities;
- Public Comment (via conference call, July 18, 2014, meeting only*); and
- BIE Advisory Board-Advice and Recommendations.

* During the July 18, 2014 meeting, time has been set aside for public comment via conference call from 1:30–2:00 p.m. Mountain Time. The call-in information is: Conference Number 1–888–417–0376, Passcode 1509140.

Advisory Board Members: * New or returning member
- Dr. Jonathan Stout, Chair
- Dr. Juan Portley *
- Dr. Rose Dugi *
- Dr. Marilyn Johnson
- Dr. Billie Jo Kipp
- Luvette Russell *
- Ethleen Iron Cloud-Two Dogs
- Maureen Diaz
- Dr. Susan Faircloth
- Jessica Wilson-Lucero
- Dr. Kenneth Wong
- Dr. Delores Gokee-Rindal *
- Dr. Judith Hankes *
- Dr. Harvey Rude *

Dated: June 16, 2014.

Kevin K. Washburn, Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
National Park Service

[NPS–IMR–YE1–15018;PPIMYELL82, PPMRSNRY1Z.AM0000] Remote Vaccination Program To Reduce the Prevalence of Brucellosis in Yellowstone Bison, Record of Decision, Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Availability.


ADDRESSES: The Record of Decision will be available for public inspection online at http://parkplanning.nps.gov/BisonRemoteVacc, and at the Yellowstone Center for Resources, P.O. Box 168, Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming 82190, telephone (307) 344–2203.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jennifer Carpenter or Rick Wallen, P.O. Box 168, Yellowstone National Park, WY 82190, telephone (307) 344–2203, or by email at YELL_Bison_Management@NPS.GOV.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Park Service (NPS) considered three alternatives in the Final EIS: Alternative A—No Action; Alternative B—Remote Delivery Vaccination for Young Bison Only; and Alternative C—Remote Delivery Vaccination for Young Bison and Adult Females. The NPS has identified Alternative A—No Action, as the Preferred Alternative in the Final EIS and as the Selected Action in the Record of Decision based on substantial uncertainties associated with vaccine efficacy, delivery, duration of the vaccine-induced protective immune response, diagnostics, bison behavior and evaluation of public comments. Consistent with the 2000 Interagency Bison Management Plan (IBMP), under the Selected Action the NPS will continue hand-syringe vaccination of bison at capture facilities near the park boundary and conduct monitoring and research on the relationship between vaccine-induced immune responses and protection from clinical disease (e.g., abortions). Also, selective culling of potentially infectious bison based on age and diagnostic test results may be continued at capture facilities to reduce the number of abortions that maintain the disease. The NPS will continue the adaptive management program, as described in the 2000 Record of Decision for the IBMP and subsequent adaptive management adjustments, to learn more about the disease brucellosis and answer uncertainties, as well as to develop or improve suppression techniques that could be used to facilitate effective outcomes, minimize adverse impacts, and lower operational costs of efforts to reduce brucellosis prevalence in the future.

As part of the Selected Action, the NPS will also continue to work with other federal and state agencies, American Indian tribes, academic institutions, non-governmental organizations, and other interested
parties to develop holistic management approaches, monitoring, and research projects that could be conducted to improve the adaptive management decision process, and better vaccines, delivery methods, and diagnostics for reducing the prevalence of brucellosis in bison and elk and transmissions to cattle. The Record of Decision includes a statement of the decision made, synopses of other alternatives considered, the basis for the decision, a description of the environmentally preferable alternative, a finding of no environmental harm, and an overview of public involvement in the decision-making process.

Dated: May 19, 2014.

Sue E. Masica,
Regional Director, Intermountain Region,
National Park Service.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Making process.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Dates:

SUMMARY:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background
On May 22, 2006, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) determined that imports of diamond sawblades and parts thereof from China and Korea were being sold in the United States at less than fair value within the meaning of section 731 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673) (71 FR 29303 and 71 FR 29310, respectively). The Commission initially determined that a U.S. industry was not materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of imports of diamond sawblades and parts thereof from China and Korea (71 FR 39128, July 11, 2006). Following an appeal of the negative determinations and on remand from the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT), the Commission determined that a U.S. industry was threatened with material injury by reason of subject imports of diamond sawblades and parts thereof from China and Korea. On January 13, 2009, the CIT affirmed the Commission’s affirmative determinations on remand. Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States, Slip Op. 09–05 (Ct. Int’l Trade 2009).

On February 10, 2009, Commerce published notice of the CIT’s decision and suspended liquidation for entries of the subject merchandise after the effective date of the notice until the end of all appellate proceedings (74 FR 6570). On November 4, 2009, Commerce published orders that antidumping duties be imposed on imports of diamond sawblades and parts thereof from China and Korea, effective January 23, 2009 (74 FR 57145).

Following affirmation of the CIT’s judgment by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit and upon conclusion of all appellate proceedings in the action, the Commission published notice of its final determinations in the antidumping investigations of diamond sawblades and parts thereof from China and Korea (75 FR 68618, November 8, 2010). Commerce revoked the order on diamonds sawblades from Korea effective as of October 24, 2011 (76 FR 66892, Oct. 28, 2011).

On July 11, 2013, the Commission received a request to review its affirmative determination in investigation No. 731–TA–1092 (Final) pursuant to section 205(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(b)). The request, filed by Husqvarna Construction Products North America, Inc. (Husqvarna) of Olathe, Kansas, argued that there were several changes since the issuance of the Commission’s remand determination. Specifically, Husqvarna noted Commerce’s revocation of the antidumping duty order on imports of diamond sawblades and parts thereof from Korea; additional Commerce determinations with respect to Chinese exporter Advanced Technology & Materials Co., Ltd.; the acquisition of certain petitioners by non-U.S. producers of diamond sawblades, as well as changes in those petitioners’ patterns of sourcing diamond sawblades; an alleged reduction in the overlap of competition between subject imports from China and the domestic like product as a result of the preceding changes; and opposition to the continuation of the order on diamond sawblades and parts thereof from China by a “significant part of U.S. production.”

On August 9, 2013, the Commission published a Federal Register notice inviting comments from the public on whether changed circumstances exist sufficient to warrant the institution of changed circumstances reviews (78 FR 48717–48718, Aug. 9, 2013). In response to its Federal Register notice soliciting comments, the Commission received one submission on behalf of the Diamond Sawblades Manufacturing Coalition (DSMC), an ad hoc group of U.S. producers of diamond sawblades and the petitioning coalition in the original antidumping duty investigation, arguing that the Commission should not institute a changed circumstances review investigation.

On December 2, 2013, Commerce initiated, and the ITC instituted, five-year sunset reviews of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades and parts thereof from China (78 FR 72061 & 78 FR 72216, Dec. 2, 2013). On May 20, 2014, the Commission determined to conduct a full five-year sunset review of the order.

On April 23, 2014, the Commission determined not to conduct a changed circumstances review investigation of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades and parts thereof from China. Given the fact that the Commission was concurrently conducting a five-year review of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades and parts thereof from China, and was aware of the arguments that supported conducting a full review of the order, the Commission determined 1 Commissioner Aranoff, whose tenure at the Commission ended on April 4, 2014, did not participate in this matter.

"