recreation. A total of 16 species currently listed as threatened or endangered under the Federal Endangered Species Act (ESA) and/or the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) are known to occur on the Refuge or have occurred there within the last 20 years. Many other species of concern, including at least 35 species covered by the San Diego MSCP, have been documented on the Refuge.

Alternatives

The Draft CCP/EA identifies and evaluates four alternatives for managing the San Diego NWR. The alternative that appears to best meet the Refuge’s purposes is identified as the preferred alternative. Identification of the preferred alternative is based on the analysis presented in the draft CCP/EA. The preferred alternative may be modified following the completion of the public comment period, after comments received from other agencies, tribal governments, nongovernmental organizations, and individuals have been reviewed and considered.

**Alternative A (No-Action Alternative)**

Alternative A (no-action alternative) describes the current management practices that would continue to be implemented over the next 15 years.

**Alternative B**

Alternative B focuses on maximizing habitat values and species protection. New and expanded wildlife and habitat management actions would be implemented to protect, restore, and enhance habitat values and support listed and sensitive species. The wildlife-dependent recreational uses currently occurring on the Refuge (i.e., wildlife observation, photography, environmental education, interpretation) would be managed to minimize disturbance to plants and wildlife. Public access would be restricted to a designated trail system consisting of both non-motorized multiple use (i.e., equestrian, mountain biking, hiking) trails and hiking-only trails. Unauthorized, user-created trails that contribute to erosion, habitat loss, habitat fragmentation, and species disturbance would be decommissioned. No dogs would be permitted on the Otay-Sweetwater Unit under this alternative.

**Alternative C**

Alternative C proposes to expand the opportunities for wildlife-dependent recreational uses on the Otay-Sweetwater Unit, while implementing the same wildlife and habitat management activities proposed under Alternative B. Public access would be restricted to the designated trail system, which would be expanded slightly under this alternative. Unauthorized trails would be decommissioned, as described under Alternative B, and all trails within the designated trail system would be open to non-motorized multiple use. Also under Alternative C, interpretive and environmental education programs would be expanded. Hunting, conducted in accordance with refuge-specific regulations, would be permitted on portions of the McGinty Mountain, Las Montañas, and Otay Mesa and Lakes management areas of the Refuge, and dogs would be permitted on the trails, provided they are maintained on a leash.

**Alternative D (Preferred Alternative)**

Alternative D, the preferred alternative, proposes to optimize species and habitat protection, while expanding opportunities for compatible public use over those currently provided on the Refuge. Under this alternative, in addition to the wildlife and habitat management activities proposed under Alternative B, the Refuge would implement a feral pig monitoring and eradication plan. No feral pigs are currently present on the Refuge, but feral pigs and the damage to resources associated with feral pig activity have been identified in the San Diego region. The initial implementation of this plan by the Refuge would therefore involve monitoring for the presence of pigs, with further action on the Refuge becoming necessary only if pigs are identified on Refuge lands.

Existing interpretive and environmental education programs would be expanded on the Otay-Sweetwater Unit under Alternative C, and hunting for big game (i.e., deer, feral pig), resident small game (i.e., rabbits), and resident and migratory upland game birds (e.g., dove, quail, wild turkey) is proposed, subject to refuge-specific conditions, on a portion of the Otay Mesa and Lakes management area. The designated trail system would consist primarily of non-motorized multiple use trails, with hiking only trails also provided in a few areas; unauthorized trails would be subject to closure. Leashed dogs would only be permitted on those trails designated for multiple use.

Habitat management and public use on the 60-acre Del Mar Mesa Vernal Pool Unit would occur in accordance with the City of San Diego’s Carmel Mountain and Del Mar Mesa Preserves Management Plan under all of the action alternatives (i.e., Alternatives B, C, and D).

**Public Meetings**

The locations, dates, and times of public meetings will be listed in a planning update distributed to the project mailing list and posted on the San Diego NWR public Web site at http://www.fws.gov/refuge/San_Diego/what_we_do/planning.html.

**Review and Comment**

Copies of the Draft CCP/EA may be obtained by contacting Victoria Touchstone (see ADDRESSES). Copies of the Draft CCP/EA may be viewed at San Diego NWR Complex office (see ADDRESSES for contact information) and local libraries.

The Draft CCP/EA is also available for viewing and downloading online at: http://www.fws.gov/refuge/San_Diego/what_we_do/planning.html. Comments on the Draft CCP/EA should be addressed to Victoria Touchstone (see ADDRESSES).

**Public Availability of Comments**

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**Next Steps**

At the end of the review and comment period for this Draft CCP/EA, comments will be analyzed by the Service and addressed in the Final CCP.

Alexandra Pitts,
Acting Regional Director, Pacific Southwest Region, Sacramento, California.
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**BILLING CODE 4310–55–P**
Pursuant to § 60.13 of 36 CFR Part 60, written comments are being accepted concerning the significance of the nominated properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation. Comments may be forwarded by United States Postal Service, to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C St. NW., MS 2280, Washington, DC 20240; by all other carriers, National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1201 Eye St. NW., 8th Floor, Washington, DC 20005; or by fax, 202–371–6447. Written or faxed comments should be submitted by July 7, 2014. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: June 3, 2014.
Alexandra Lord,
Acting Chief, National Register of Historic Places/National Historic Landmarks Program.

COLORADO

Routt County
Steamboat Springs Downtown Historic District, Lincoln Ave. roughly bounded by 5th to 11th Sts., Steamboat Springs, 14000387

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

District of Columbia
District of Columbia War Memorial, Independence Ave. between 17th & 23rd Sts. SW., Washington, 14000388

FLORIDA

Escambia County
United States Post Office and Court House, 100 N. Palafox St., Pensacola, 14000389

MINNESOTA

Hennepin County
Cameron Transfer and Storage Company Building, 756 N. 4th St., Minneapolis, 14000390
Lee, Arthur and Edith, House, 4600 Columbus Ave. S., Minneapolis, 14000391

Winona County
Laird, Norton Company Building, 125 W. 5th St., Winona, 14000392

MONTANA

Flathead County
Camas Creek Cutoff Road, Glacier National Park, West Glacier, 14000393

Missoula County
Milwaukee Road Railroad Substation No. 10, 5190 Primrose Dr., Missoula, 14000394

NEBRASKA

Harlan County
Alma City Auditorium and Sale Barn, 614 Main St., Alma, 14000395

Nemaha County
Auburn Historic District, Downtown Commercial District, Courthouse Sq. & Courthouse Ave., Auburn, 14000396

SAUNDERS COUNTY

Kacirek—Woita General Store, 250 N. Elm St., Weston, 14000397

NEW YORK

Erie County
Sibley and Holmwood Candy Factory and Witkop and Holmes Headquarters, 149 & 145 Swan St., Buffalo, 14000398

New York County
West 114th Street Historic District, 204–246 & 215–277 W. 114th St., New York, 14000399

OREGON

Klamath County
Linkville Pioneer Cemetery, Jct. of Lexington Ave. & Upham St., Klamath Falls, 14000400

Yamhill County
99W Drive-in Theatre, 3110 Portland Rd., Newberg, 14000401

TEXAS

Bexar County
Voelcker Farmstead Historic District, (Farms and Ranches of Bexar County, Texas) Address Restricted, San Antonio, 14000402

Milam County
Pool, R.F. and Minta, House, 901 E. 8th St., Cameron, 14000403

Travis County
Perry, Edgar H., Jr., House, 801 Park Blvd., Austin, 14000404

VERMONT

Windover County
Parker, Aaron, Jr. and Susan, Farm, 1715 Brook Rd., Cavendish, 14000405
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BILLING CODE 4312–51–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On June 12, 2014, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina in the lawsuit entitled United States et al. v. Albemarle Corporation, Civil Action No. 5:11–cv–00991–JMC.

In Albemarle, the United States of America (“United States”), on behalf of the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”), and the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (“SCDHEC”) filed a complaint pursuant to the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq. and the South Carolina Pollution Control Act, S.C. Code Section 48–1–10 et seq., alleging violations of these statutes at an Albemarle Corporation (“Albemarle”) facility in Orangeburg, South Carolina. Under the proposed consent decree, Albemarle agrees to demonstrate its compliance with these statutes and pay a civil penalty to the plaintiffs, of which $331,995.50 shall be paid to the United States.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to United States et al. v. Albemarle Corporation, D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–2–1–2152/1. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments: Send them to:

By e-mail ..... pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov
By mail ......... Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for $ 6.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Henry S. Friedman,
Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.
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BILLING CODE 4410–15–P