

**III. Ordering Paragraphs**

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2014–49 for consideration of the matters raised by the Postal Service’s Notice.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, James F. Callow is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding (Public Representative).

3. Comments are due no later than May 27, 2014.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.  
**Shoshana M. Grove,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014–11943 Filed 5–22–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P**

**RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD**

**Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

*Summary:* In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506 (c)(2)(A) of

the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

*Comments are invited on:* (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB’s estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

*Title and purpose of information collection:* Certification of Termination of Service and Relinquishment of Rights; OMB 3220–0016.

Under Section 2(e)(2) of the Railroad Retirement Act (RRA), an age and service annuity, spouse annuity, or divorced spouse annuity cannot be paid unless the Railroad Retirement Board

(RRB) has evidence that the applicant has ceased railroad employment and relinquished rights to return to the service of a railroad employer. Under Section 2(f)(6) of the RRA, earnings deductions are required for each month an annuitant works in certain non-railroad employment termed Last Pre-Retirement Non-Railroad Employment.

Normally, the employee, spouse, or divorced spouse relinquishes rights and certifies that employment has ended as part of the annuity application process. However, this is *not always* the case. In limited circumstances, the RRB utilizes Form G–88, *Certification of Termination of Service and Relinquishment of Rights*, to obtain an applicant’s report of termination of employment and relinquishment of rights. One response is required of each respondent. Completion is required to obtain or retain benefits. The RRB proposes no changes to Form G–88.

*Estimate of Annual Respondent Burden*

The estimated annual respondent burden is as follows:

Form No.	Annual responses	Time (minutes)	Burden (hours)
G–88 .....	3,600	6	360

*Additional Information or Comments:* To request more information or to obtain a copy of the information collection justification, forms, and/or supporting material, contact Dana Hickman at (312) 751–4981 or [Dana.Hickman@RRB.GOV](mailto:Dana.Hickman@RRB.GOV). Comments regarding the information collection should be addressed to Charles Mierzwa, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611–2092 or emailed to [Charles.Mierzwa@RRB.GOV](mailto:Charles.Mierzwa@RRB.GOV). Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

**Charles Mierzwa,**  
*Chief of Information Resources Management.*  
[FR Doc. 2014–11970 Filed 5–22–14; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 7905–01–P**

**RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD**

**Actuarial Advisory Committee with respect to the Railroad Retirement Account; Notice of Public Meeting**

Notice is hereby given in accordance with Public Law 92–463 that the Actuarial Advisory Committee will hold a meeting on June 5, 2014, at 10:00 a.m.

at the office of the Chief Actuary of the U. S. Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois, on the conduct of the 26th Actuarial Valuation of the Railroad Retirement System. The agenda for this meeting will include a discussion of the assumptions to be used in the 26th Actuarial Valuation. A report containing recommended assumptions and the experience on which the recommendations are based will have been sent by the Chief Actuary to the Committee before the meeting.

The meeting will be open to the public. Persons wishing to submit written statements or make oral presentations should address their communications or notices to the Actuarial Advisory Committee, c/o Chief Actuary, U. S. Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611–2092.

Dated: May 16, 2014.  
**Martha P. Rico,**  
*Secretary to the Board.*  
[FR Doc. 2014–11975 Filed 5–22–14; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 7905–01–P**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

[Investment Company Act Release No. 31050; 812–14239]

**Capitala Finance Corp., et al.; Notice of Application**

May 19, 2014.

**AGENCY:** Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”).

**ACTION:** Notice of an application for an order under sections 6(c), 12(d)(1)(f), and 57(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (“Act”) granting exemptions from sections 12(d)(1)(A), 18(a), 21(b), 57(a)(1)–(a)(3), and 61(a) of the Act; under section 57(i) of the Act and rule 17d–1 under the Act to permit certain joint transactions otherwise prohibited by section 57(a)(4) of the Act; and under section 12(h) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) granting an exemption from section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

*Applicants:* Capitala Finance Corp. (the “Company”), CapitalSouth Partners Fund II Limited Partnership (“Fund II SBIC”), CapitalSouth Partners F–II, LLC (“Fund II SBIC General Partner”), CapitalSouth Partners SBIC Fund III,

L.P. (“Fund III SBIC”), CapitalSouth Partners SBIC F–III, LLC, (“Fund III SBIC General Partner”), CapitalSouth Partners Florida Sidecar Fund I, L.P., (“Florida Sidecar”), CSP-Florida Mezzanine Fund I, LLC, (“CSP-Florida” together with Fund II SBIC General Partner and Fund III SBIC General Partner, the “General Partners”) and Capitala Investment Advisors, LLC, (“Capitala Investment Advisors”).

**SUMMARY: Summary of Application:** Applicants request an order permitting the Company, a business development company (“BDC”) and its Subsidiaries (defined below) to operate effectively as one company, specifically allowing them to: (1) Engage in certain transactions with each other; (2) invest in securities in which the other is or proposes to be an investor that would otherwise be permitted if the Company and the Subsidiaries were one company; (3) be subject to modified consolidated asset coverage requirements for senior securities issued by a BDC and its small business investment company (“SBIC”) subsidiaries; and (4) file consolidated reports with the Commission.

**DATES: Filing Dates:** The application was filed on November 19, 2013, and amended on March 31, 2014, and April 17, 2014. Applicants have agreed to file an amendment during the notice period, the substance of which is reflected in this notice.

**Hearing or Notification of Hearing:** An order granting the application will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission’s Secretary and serving applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on June 9, 2014, and should be accompanied by proof of service on applicants, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer’s interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission’s Secretary.

**ADDRESSES:** Secretary, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090. Applicants, c/o Joseph B. Alala, III, Chief Executive Officer and President, Capitala Finance Corp., 4201 Congress Street, Suite 360, Charlotte, NC 28209.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Laura L. Solomon, Senior Counsel, at (202) 551–6915, or James M. Curtis, Branch Chief, at (202) 551–6712

(Division of Investment Management, Chief Counsel’s Office).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission’s Web site by searching for the file number, or an applicant using the Company name box, at <http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm> or by calling (202) 551–8090.

#### Applicants’ Representations

1. The Company, a Maryland corporation, is an externally-managed, non-diversified, closed-end management investment company that has elected to be regulated as a BDC under the Act.<sup>1</sup> On September 24, 2013, the Company filed a registration statement on Form 8–A to register its common stock under section 12 of the Exchange Act and a notice of its election to be regulated as a BDC within the meaning of section 2(a)(48) of the 1940 Act on Form N–54A.<sup>2</sup> The Company intends to elect to be treated as a regulated investment company (“RIC”) as defined under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and intends to continue to make such election in the future. The Company states that it expects to invest primarily in traditional mezzanine and senior subordinated debt, as well as senior and second-lien loans, and to a lesser extent, equity securities issued by smaller and lower middle-market companies. The Company’s board of directors (“Board”), consists of five members, three of whom are not “interested persons” of the Company within the meaning of section 2(a)(19) of the Act (“Independent Directors”). The Company’s investment objective is to generate both current income and capital appreciation through debt and equity investments.

2. On September 24, 2013, through a series of transactions, the Company acquired all of the interests in Fund II SBIC, Fund III SBIC and Florida Sidecar, as well as the General Partners (the “Subsidiaries”).<sup>3</sup> After giving effect

<sup>1</sup> Section 2(a)(48) of the Act defines a BDC to be any closed-end investment company that operates for the purpose of making investments in securities described in sections 55(a)(1) through 55(a)(3) of the Act and makes available significant managerial assistance with respect to the issuers of such securities.

<sup>2</sup> The Company completed the initial public offering of its shares of common stock on September 30, 2013. The Company’s common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol “CPTA.”

<sup>3</sup> Subsidiary means Fund II SBIC, Fund III SBIC, Florida Sidecar and any direct or indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. References to “Subsidiary” include Subsidiaries currently in

to these transactions, (a) the Company owns 100% of the membership interests in Fund II SBIC General Partner, Fund III SBIC General Partner and CSP-Florida, which are the sole general partners of Fund II SBIC, Fund III SBIC, and Florida Sidecar, respectively, and (b) the Company directly or indirectly owns 100% of the limited partnership interests in Fund II SBIC, Fund III SBIC and Florida Sidecar.

3. Fund II SBIC, a North Carolina limited partnership, and Fund III SBIC, a Delaware limited partnership, are SBICs licensed by the Small Business Administration (“SBA”) to operate under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (“SBA Act”). On September 24, 2013, Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC each filed an election to be regulated as a BDC within the meaning of section 2(a)(48) on Form N–54A under the Act, and each also filed a registration statement on Form 8–A to register its common stock under section 12 of the Exchange Act.

4. Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC are consolidated with the Company for financial reporting purposes.<sup>4</sup> Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC each have a board of directors (“Fund II SBIC Board” and “Fund III SBIC Board,” respectively) consisting of three persons who are Independent Directors of Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC, respectively, and two persons who are “interested persons” of each of Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC. The members of Fund II SBIC Board and the Fund III SBIC Board are appointed each year by the equity owners of Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC, respectively. Fund II SBIC General Partner and Fund III SBIC General Partner, have irrevocably delegated the authority to manage the business affairs of Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC to the Fund II SBIC Board and Fund III SBIC Board. The SBA has approved the members of the Fund II SBIC Board and Fund III SBIC Board pursuant to SBA regulations.

5. Florida Sidecar, a Delaware limited partnership, is not registered under the Act under the exclusion from the definition of investment company contained in section 3(c)(7) of the Act,

existence, as well as any future Subsidiaries. No Subsidiary other than Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC will be a BDC, and no Subsidiary will be a registered investment company.

<sup>4</sup> The Company may, in the future, create additional wholly-owned subsidiaries that may also be licensed by the SBA to operate under the SBA Act as SBICs (collectively, with Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC, the “SBIC Subsidiaries”). Any existing entities that currently intend to rely on the order have been named as applicants, and any other existing or future entities that may rely on the order in the future will comply with its terms and conditions.

and its financial statements are consolidated with the Company's financial statements. Florida Sidecar's sole business purpose is to hold one or more investments on behalf of the Company. CSP-Florida is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the state of North Carolina. CSP-Florida serves as general partner to Florida Sidecar and its only role is to perform ministerial functions that result from decisions made by Capitala Investment Advisors; CSP-Florida is not able to prevent Capitala Investment Advisors from acting independently.<sup>5</sup>

6. Capitala Investment Advisors is a Delaware limited liability company and serves as the investment adviser to the Company, the SBIC Subsidiaries and Florida Sidecar. Capitala Investment Advisors is registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. Pursuant to an investment management agreement with the Company that satisfies the requirements under sections 15(a) and (c) of the Act, Capitala Investment Advisors manages the consolidated assets of the Company, including Fund II SBIC, Fund III SBIC and Florida Sidecar. The investment professionals of Capitala Investment Advisors are responsible for sourcing potential investments, conducting research and diligence on potential investments and equity sponsors, analyzing investment opportunities, structuring investments and monitoring the investments and portfolio companies of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, including Fund II SBIC, Fund III SBIC and Florida Sidecar. Applicants anticipate that Capitala Investment Advisors will also provide management and advisory services to all Subsidiaries.

#### Applicants' Legal Analysis

1. Applicants request an order under sections 6(c), 12(d)(1)(J), 57(c) and 57(i) of the Act and rule 17d-1 under the Act granting exemptions from sections 12(d)(1)(A), 18(a), 21(b), 57(a)(1), 57(a)(2), 57(a)(3), and 61(a) of the Act and permitting certain joint transactions otherwise prohibited by section 57(a)(4) of the Act to permit the Company and its Subsidiaries to operate effectively as one company, specifically to: (a) Engage in certain transactions with each other; (b) invest in securities in which the

other is or proposes to be an investor that would otherwise be permitted if the Company and the Subsidiaries were one company; and (c) be subject to modified consolidated asset coverage requirements for senior securities issued by a BDC and its SBIC Subsidiaries. Applicants also request an order under section 12(h) of the Exchange Act for an exemption for Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC from section 13(a) of the Exchange Act, so as to allow filing of consolidated reports with the Commission.

2. Section 12 of the Act is made applicable to BDCs by section 60 of the Act. Section 12(d)(1)(A) makes it unlawful for any registered investment company to purchase or otherwise acquire the securities of another investment company, except to the extent permitted by sections 12(d)(1)(A)(i), (ii) and (iii). Rule 60a-1 exempts the acquisition by a BDC of the securities of an SBIC that is operated as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the BDC from section 12(d)(1)(A) of the Act. Accordingly, since the Company has elected BDC status, and since Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC are operated as wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company, the transfer of assets from the Company to Fund II SBIC or Fund III SBIC should be exempt from the provisions of section 12(d)(1)(A) by virtue of rule 60a-1. However, the provisions of section 12(d)(1) also apply to the activities of Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC since each has elected BDC status under the Act. Any loans or advances by Fund II SBIC or Fund III SBIC to the Company might be deemed to violate section 12(d)(1)(A)(ii) or (iii) if the loans or advances are construed as purchases of the securities of the Company by Fund II SBIC or Fund III SBIC.

3. Applicants request an exemption under section 12(d)(1)(J) from section 12(d)(1)(ii) and (iii) of the Act to permit the acquisition by either of Fund II SBIC or Fund III SBIC of any securities of the Company representing indebtedness. Section 12(d)(1)(J) of the Act provides that the Commission may exempt persons or transactions from any provision of section 12(d)(1) if and to the extent such exception is consistent with the public interest and the protection of investors. Applicants state that the requested relief meets this standard because Fund II SBIC's or Fund III SBIC's wholly owned subsidiary status and consolidated financial reporting with the Company will both eliminate the possibility of overreaching and prevent confusion as to the financial status of the Company to the Company's stockholders, who are

the investors that the Act is intended to protect.

4. Section 18(a) prohibits a registered closed-end investment company from issuing any class of senior security or selling any such security of which it is the issuer unless the company complies with the asset coverage requirements set forth in that section. Section 61(a) applies section 18, with certain modifications, to a BDC. Section 18(k), however, provides an exemption from sections 18(a)(1)(A) and (B) (relating to senior securities representing indebtedness) for SBICs.

5. Applicants state that a question exists as to whether the Company must comply with the asset coverage requirements of section 18(a) on a consolidated basis because the Company may be an indirect issuer of senior securities issued by either of the SBIC Subsidiaries. To do so would mean that the Company would treat as its own all assets held directly by the Company and the SBIC Subsidiaries and would also treat as its own any liabilities of the SBIC Subsidiaries, including senior securities as to which the SBIC Subsidiaries are exempt from the provisions of sections 18(a)(1)(A) and (B) by virtue of section 18(k). Accordingly, applicants request relief under section 6(c) of the Act from sections 18(a) and 61(a) of the Act to permit the Company to exclude from its consolidated asset coverage ratio any senior security representing indebtedness that is issued by either of the SBIC Subsidiaries.

6. Section 6(c) of the Act, in relevant part, permits the Commission to exempt any transaction or class of transactions from any provision of the Act if, and to the extent that, such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act. Applicants state that, without the requested relief from sections 18(a) and 61(a), the ability of the SBIC Subsidiaries to obtain the kind of financing that would be available to the Company if it were to conduct the SBIC operations itself would be restricted. Applicants state that applying section 18(k) to the Company with respect to any senior security representing indebtedness that is issued by the SBIC Subsidiaries would not harm the public interest by exposing investors to risks of unconstrained leverage, because the SBA regulates the capital structure of the SBIC Subsidiaries. Companies operating under the SBA Act, such as the SBIC Subsidiaries, are subject to the SBA's substantial regulation of permissible

<sup>5</sup> The Company may in the future form one or more direct or indirect wholly-owned non-SBIC Subsidiaries each of whose sole business purpose is to hold one or more investments on behalf of the Company. Any such non-SBIC Subsidiary would be an investment company but for an exclusion in section 3(c) or in reliance on rule 3a-7 and its financial statements would be consolidated with the Company's financial statements.

leverage in their capital structure. An SBIC with outstanding SBA financial assistance may not incur any secured third-party debt or refinance any debt with secured third-party debt without prior written approval of the SBA.

7. Sections 57(a)(1) and (2) of the Act make it unlawful, with certain exceptions, for any person related to a BDC in the manner described in section 57(b), or any affiliated person of that person (a) to sell any security or other property to the BDC or to any company controlled by the BDC, or (b) to purchase from any BDC or from any company controlled by such BDC any security or other property. Section 57(b) includes any person who, directly or indirectly, controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with the BDC or any person who controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with that person. Applicants state that the Company is an affiliated person of Fund II SBIC, Fund III SBIC and Florida Sidecar by reason of its direct or indirect ownership of all of the limited partnership interests in each of Fund II SBIC, Fund III SBIC and Florida Sidecar and its indirect ownership of 100% of the general partnership interests in Fund II SBIC, Fund III SBIC and Florida Sidecar through its 100% ownership of the General Partners. Fund II SBIC, Fund III SBIC and Florida Sidecar each is a person related to each other in a manner described in section 57(b) because each is deemed to be under the control of the Company and thus under common control. Any Subsidiary would also each be a person related to Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC in a manner described in section 57(b) as long as it is under the common control of the Company.

8. Applicants state that there may be circumstances when one or more of the Company and a Subsidiary would purchase all or a portion of the portfolio investments held by one of the others in order to enhance the liquidity of the selling company or for other reasons, subject in each case to the requirements of the SBA and the regulations thereunder, as applicable. In addition, there may be circumstances when it is in the interest of the Company and/or its Subsidiaries for any non-BDC Subsidiary to invest in securities of an issuer that may be deemed to be a person related to either the Company or Fund II SBIC or Fund III SBIC in a manner described in section 57(b), or for the Company to invest in securities of an issuer that may be deemed to be a person related to a Subsidiary in a manner described in section 57(b).

9. Accordingly, applicants request relief under sections 57(a)(1) and (2) to

permit any transaction in which one or more of the Company, Fund II SBIC, Fund III SBIC or any other Subsidiary would purchase all or a portion of the portfolio investments or other property held by one of the others. Applicants also request relief under sections 57(a)(1) and (2) to permit any transaction in which any non-BDC Subsidiary invests in securities of an issuer that may be deemed to be a person related to either the Company or Fund II SBIC or Fund III SBIC in a manner described in section 57(b), but only to the extent that any such transaction would not be prohibited if such Subsidiary were deemed to be part of the Company and not a separate company. Additionally, applicants request relief to permit the Company to invest in securities of an issuer that may be deemed to be a person related to a Subsidiary in a manner described in section 57(b) and permitting Fund II SBIC or Fund III SBIC to invest in securities of an issuer that may be deemed to be a person related to the Company or the Subsidiaries in a manner described in section 57(b). It is the intent of this request only to permit the Company and the Subsidiaries to do that which they otherwise would be permitted to do within the provisions of the Act if they were one company.

10. Section 57(c) directs the Commission to exempt a transaction from one or more provisions of sections 57(a)(1), (2) and (3) if the terms of the proposed transaction, including the consideration to be paid or received, are reasonable and fair and do not involve overreaching of the BDC or its stockholders or partners on the part of any person concerned, the proposed transaction is consistent with the policy of the BDC concerned and the proposed transaction is consistent with the general purposes of the Act.

11. Applicants submit that the requested relief from sections 57(a)(1) and (2) meets this standard. Applicants represent that the proposed operations as one company will enhance the efficient operations of the Company and its wholly-owned Subsidiaries, and allow them to deal with portfolio companies as if the Company and its Subsidiaries were one company. Applicants contend that the terms of the proposed transactions are reasonable and fair and do not involve overreaching of the Company, its stockholders, or the Subsidiaries that are BDCs by any person, and that the requested order would permit the Company and the Subsidiaries to carry out more effectively their purposes and objectives of investing primarily in small business concerns. Applicants

also state that since Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC and any Subsidiary are wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company and since no officers or directors of Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC, any Subsidiary or of the Company, or any controlling persons or other "upstream affiliates" of the Company will have any prohibited financial interest in the transactions described, there can be no overreaching on the part of any persons and no harm to the public interest in transactions solely between the Company and Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC. Finally, applicants note that the proposed transactions are consistent with the policy of the Company and Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC as specified in filings with the Commission and reports to stockholders, as well as consistent with the policies and provisions of the Act.

12. Section 57(a)(3) of the Act makes it unlawful for certain affiliated persons of a BDC, and certain affiliated persons of those persons, set out in section 57(b) to borrow money or other property from such BDC or from any company controlled by the BDC, except as permitted by section 21(b) or section 62. Section 21(b) of the Act (made applicable to BDCs by section 62) provides that it shall be unlawful for a BDC to lend any money or property, directly or indirectly, to any person that controls or is under common control with the BDC, except to any company that owns all of the outstanding securities of the BDC other than directors' qualifying shares.

13. The Company is an affiliated person of Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC by reason of its direct or indirect ownership of all of the limited partnership interests in Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC and its indirect ownership of all of the general partnership interests in Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC through its 100% ownership of the Fund II SBIC General Partner and Fund III SBIC General Partner. The Company does not directly own all of the outstanding securities of Fund II SBIC or Fund III SBIC because Fund II SBIC General Partner holds a 1.03% general partnership interest in Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC General Partner holds a 0.95% general partnership interest in Fund III SBIC and both SBIC Subsidiaries have issued SBA guaranteed debentures and, in the future, may have other outstanding securities in the form of indebtedness. Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC are affiliated persons of the Company because they are deemed to be under the control of the Company. Accordingly, the Company is related to Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC in the manner set

forth in section 57(b) and Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC are related to the Company in the manner set forth in section 57(b).

14. Applicants state that there may be instances when it would be in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders for the Company to make loans to its Subsidiaries that are BDCs. There may also be instances when it would be in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders for its Subsidiaries that are BDCs to make loans to the Company. Applicants note that, in the case of loans from Fund II SBIC or Fund III SBIC to the Company, the loans would be prohibited by section 21(b) and section 57(a)(3) because the borrower controls the lender and the lender may have outstanding securities not owned by the borrower. Accordingly, applicants request an order under section 6(c) exempting from the provisions of section 21(b) the lending of money or other property by Fund II SBIC or Fund III SBIC to the Company and by the Company to Fund II SBIC or Fund III SBIC. Applicants argue that because these transactions are solely between the Company and Fund II SBIC, Fund III SBIC, or its wholly-owned subsidiaries, they will have no substantive economic effect and there is no basis for overreaching or harm to the public interest. Applicants also request an order under section 57(c) exempting from the provisions of section 57(a)(3) the borrowing of money or property by the Company from Fund II SBIC or Fund III SBIC. Applicants submit that the requested relief meets the standards of section 57(c).

15. Section 57(a)(4) of the Act makes it unlawful for certain persons related to a BDC in the manner set forth in section 57(b), acting as principal, to knowingly effect any transaction in which the BDC or a company controlled by the BDC is a joint or joint and several participant with that person in contravention of such rules and regulations as the Commission may prescribe for the purpose of limiting or preventing participation by the BDC or controlled company on a basis less advantageous than that of the other participant. Section 57(i) of the Act provides that rules and regulations under section 17(d) of the Act, *e.g.*, rule 17d-1, will apply to transactions subject to section 57(a) in the absence of rules under that section. The Commission has not adopted rules under section 57(a)(4) with respect to joint transactions and, accordingly, the standards set forth in rule 17d-1 govern applicants' request for relief. Rule 17d-1(a) prohibits an affiliate or, when applying rule 17d-1 to

implement section 57(a)(4), a person related to a BDC in a manner described in section 57(b) acting as principal, from participating in, or effecting any transaction in connection with any joint enterprise or joint arrangement or profit-sharing plan in which any such BDC, or a company controlled by such BDC, is a participant.

16. Applicants request relief under section 57(i) and rule 17d-1 to permit any joint transaction that would otherwise be prohibited by section 57(a)(4) between the Company and either or both of Fund II SBIC or Fund III SBIC with respect to any transaction involving investments by the Company or Fund II SBIC or Fund III SBIC in portfolio companies in which any is or is proposed to become an investor, but only to the extent that the transaction would not be prohibited if Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC (and all of their respective assets and liabilities) were deemed to be part of the Company, and not separate companies.

17. In determining whether to grant an order under section 57(i) and rule 17d-1, the Commission considers whether the participation of the BDC in the joint transaction is consistent with the provisions, policies, and purposes of the Act, and the extent to which such participation is on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of other participants. Applicants state that the proposed transactions are consistent with the policy and provisions of the Act and will enhance the interests of the Company and the Subsidiaries while retaining the important protections afforded by the Act. In addition, because the joint participants will conduct their operations as though they comprise one company, the participation of one will not be on a basis different from or less advantageous than the others.

Accordingly, applicants submit that the standard for relief under section 57(i) and rule 17d-1 is satisfied.

18. Section 54 of the Act provides that a closed-end company may elect BDC treatment under the Act if the company has either a class of equity securities registered under section 12 of the Exchange Act or has filed a registration statement pursuant to section 12 of the Exchange Act for a class of its equity securities. Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act requires issuers with specified assets and a specified number of security holders to register under the Exchange Act. As a BDC, the Company has registered its common stock under section 12(b) of the Exchange Act. In order to elect BDC treatment under the Act, Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC voluntarily registered their securities under the Exchange Act.

19. By filing a registration statement under section 12 of the Exchange Act, absent an exemption, Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC would be required to make periodic filings with the Commission, even though Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC have only one equity holder. Section 13 of the Exchange Act is the primary section requiring such filings. Accordingly, applicants request an order under section 12(h) of the Exchange Act exempting Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC from the reporting requirements of section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

20. Section 12(h) of the Exchange Act provides that the Commission may exempt an issuer from section 13 of the Exchange Act if the Commission finds that by reason of the number of public investors, amount of trading interest in the securities, the nature and extent of the activities of the issuer, income or assets of the issuer, or otherwise, that such action is not inconsistent with the public interest or the protection of investors. Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC each have only one investor, which is itself a reporting company, and no public investors. There will be no trading in Fund II SBIC or Fund III SBIC securities, so no public interest or investor protective purpose will be served by separate Fund II SBIC and Fund III SBIC reporting. Further, applicants state that the nature and extent of each of Fund II SBIC's and Fund III SBIC's activities are such that its activities will be fully reported through consolidated reporting in accordance with normal accounting rules. Accordingly, applicants believe that the requested exemption meets the standards of section 12(h) of the Exchange Act.

#### **Applicants' Conditions**

Applicants agree that the requested order will be subject to the following conditions:

1. The Company will at all times own and hold, beneficially and of record, all of the outstanding limited partnership interests in any Subsidiary and all of the outstanding membership interests in the General Partners, or otherwise own and hold beneficially all of the outstanding voting securities and equity interests of such Subsidiary.

2. The Subsidiaries will have investment policies not inconsistent with those of the Company, as set forth in the Company's registration statement.

3. No person shall serve as a member of any of the Subsidiaries' board of directors, including as a manager under a different form of legal organization that might perform the function of a director, unless such person shall also

be a member of the Company's Board. The board of directors or the managers, as applicable, of any Subsidiary will be appointed by the equity owners of that Subsidiary.

4. The Company shall not issue or sell any senior security and the Company shall not cause or permit any SBIC Subsidiaries to issue or sell any senior security of which the Company or such SBIC Subsidiary is the issuer except to the extent permitted by section 18 (as modified for BDCs by section 61) of the Act; provided that, immediately after the issuance or sale by either of the Company or any SBIC Subsidiary of any such senior security, the Company, individually and on a consolidated basis, shall have the asset coverage required by section 18(a) of the Act (as modified by section 61(a)). In determining whether the Company has the asset coverage on a consolidated basis required by section 18(a) of the Act, (as modified by section 61(a)), any senior securities representing indebtedness of an SBIC Subsidiary if that SBIC Subsidiary has issued indebtedness that is held or guaranteed by the SBA shall not be considered senior securities and, for purposes of the definition of "asset coverage" in section 18(h), shall be treated as indebtedness not represented by senior securities.

5. The Company will acquire securities of any SBIC Subsidiary representing indebtedness only if, in each case, the prior approval of the SBA has been obtained. In addition, the Company and the SBIC Subsidiaries will purchase and sell portfolio securities between themselves only if, in each case, the prior approval of the SBA has been obtained.

6. No person shall serve or act as investment adviser to the Subsidiaries unless the Board and the stockholders of the Company shall have taken such action with respect thereto that is required to be taken pursuant to the Act by the functional equivalent of the Subsidiary's Board and the equity holders of the Subsidiary, including as if such Subsidiary were a BDC.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

**Kevin M. O'Neill,**  
*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2014-11965 Filed 5-22-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. IC-31051; 812-14313]

### Credit Suisse Asset Management, LLC, et al.; Notice of Application and Temporary Order

May 19, 2014.

**AGENCY:** Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission").

**ACTION:** Temporary order and notice of application for a permanent order under section 9(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act").

**SUMMARY:** Applicants have received a temporary order exempting them from section 9(a) of the Act, with respect to a guilty plea entered on May 19, 2014, by Credit Suisse AG ("CSAG") in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia ("District Court") in connection with a plea agreement between CSAG and the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ"), until the Commission takes final action on an application for a permanent order. Applicants have also applied for a permanent order.

**APPLICANTS:** Credit Suisse Asset Management, LLC ("CSAM"), Credit Suisse Asset Management Limited ("CSAML"), Credit Suisse Hedging-Griffo Servicios Internacionais S.A. ("CSHG"), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC ("CSSU"), and CSAG (each an "Applicant" and collectively, the "Applicants"),<sup>1</sup> and Credit Suisse Group AG ("CS Group").<sup>2</sup>

**DATES:** *Filing Date:* The application was accepted on EDGAR on May 19, 2014, with a filing date of May 20, 2014.

**HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING:** An order granting the application will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission's Secretary and serving Applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on June 13, 2014, and should be accompanied by proof of service on Applicants, in the form of an affidavit, or for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues

<sup>1</sup> Applicants request that any relief granted pursuant to the application also apply to any existing or future company of which CSAG is or may become an affiliated person within the meaning of section 2(a)(3) of the Act (together with the Applicants, the "Covered Persons") with respect to any activity contemplated by section 9(a) of the Act.

<sup>2</sup> CS Group is a party to the application solely for purposes of agreeing to the portion of condition 4 that applies to it.

contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission's Secretary.

**ADDRESSES:** Secretary, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090. Applicants: c/o Credit Suisse Asset Management, LLC, Eleven Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Courtney S. Thornton, Senior Counsel, at (202) 551-6812 or Mary Kay Frech, Branch Chief, at (202) 551-6821 (Division of Investment Management, Chief Counsel's Office).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The following is a temporary order and a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission's Web site by searching for the file number, or an applicant using the Company name box, at <http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm> or by calling (202) 551-8090.

### Applicants' Representations

1. Each of the Applicants is a direct or indirect wholly owned subsidiary of CS Group, the parent company of CSAG. CSAM, a limited liability company formed under Delaware law, is registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 ("Advisers Act"). CSAML, a corporation formed under the laws of the United Kingdom, is registered as an investment adviser under the Advisers Act. CSHG, a corporation formed under the laws of Brazil, is registered as an investment adviser under the Advisers Act. CSSU, a limited liability company formed under Delaware law, is registered as a broker-dealer under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and as an investment adviser under the Advisers Act, and is registered as a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority. CSAM, CSAML, CSHG, and CSSU serve either as investment adviser (as defined in section 2(a)(20) of the Act) to investment companies (or series thereof) registered under the Act ("Funds") and employees' securities companies ("ESCs"), or as principal underwriter (as defined in section 2(a)(29) of the Act) to open-end management investment companies registered under the Act ("Open-End Funds") (such activities, collectively, "Fund Service Activities"). CSAG is the principal operating subsidiary of CS Group, which operates as a holding company. Both CSAG and CS Group are corporations organized under the laws of Switzerland; both are engaged in the private banking,