

Estimated Total Annual Respondent Burden Hours: 35,596 hours.
Estimated Total Annual Respondent Cost Burden: \$ 13,832,658. The USPTO expects that attorneys will complete all of the items in this collection, with the exception of the petitions for requests

for documents in a form other than that provided by 37 CFR 1.19 and petitions for express abandonment to avoid publication under 37 CFR 1.138(c). The USPTO expects that these petitions will be completed by para-professionals.

Using the professional hourly rate of \$389 for attorneys in private firms, and an hourly rate of \$125 for the para-professionals, the USPTO estimates \$13,832,658 per year for total hourly costs associated with respondents.

Item	Estimated time for response	Estimated annual responses	Estimated annual burden hours
Petitions (corresponding to the fee) under 37 CFR 1.17(f) include:			
Petition to Accord a Filing Date under 1.57(a)	4 hours	300	1200.00
Petition to Accord a Filing Date under 1.53(e)			
Petition For Decision on a Question Not Specifically Provided For			
Petition to Suspend the Rules			
EFS-Web Petitions (corresponding to the fee) under 37 CFR 1.17(f)	4 hours	2,800	11200.00
Petitions (corresponding to the fee) under 37 CFR 1.17(g):			
Petition to Access an Assignment Record	2 hours	300	600.00
Petition for Access to an Application			
Petition for Expungement and Return of Information			
Petition to Suspend Action in an Application			
EFS-Web Petitions (corresponding to the fee) under 37 CFR 1.17(g)	2 hours	3,000	6000.00
Petitions (corresponding to the fee) under 37 CFR 1.17(h):			
Petition for Accepting Color Drawings or Photographs	1 hour	900	900.00
Petition for Entry of a Model or Exhibit			
Petition to Withdraw an Application from Issue PTO/SB/140			
Petition to Defer Issuance of a Patent			
EFS-Web Petitions (corresponding to the fee) under 37 CFR 1.17(h)	1 hour	8,800	8800.00
Petitions for Requests for Documents in a Form Other than that Provided by 37 CFR 1.19 ...	1 hour	5	5.00
EFS-Web Petitions for Requests for Documents in a Form Other than that Provided by 37 CFR 1.19.	1 hour	40	40.00
Petitions to Make Special Under Accelerated Examination Program PTO/SB/28 (EFS-Web Only).	12 hours	450	5400.00
Petitions for Express Abandonment to Avoid Publication Under 37 CFR 1.138(c) PTO/SB/24a.	12 minutes	40	8.00
EFS-Web Petitions for Express Abandonment to Avoid Publication Under 37 CFR 1.138(c) ..	12 minutes	425	85.00
Petition for Extension of Time Under 37 CFR 1.136(b) PTO/SB/23	30 minutes	5	2.50
EFS-Web Petition for Extension of Time Under 37 CFR 1.136(b)	30 minutes	54	27.00
Petition Fee under 37 CFR 1.17(f), (g), and (h) Transmittal PTO/SB/17P	5 minutes	1,000	83.00
EFS-Web Petition Fee under 37 CFR 1.17(f), (g), and (h) Transmittal	5 minutes	15,000	1245.00
Totals	33,119	35,596

Estimated Total Annual Non-Hour Respondent Cost Burden: \$9,950. There are no capital start-up, operation, or maintenance costs associated with this information collection.

The forms included in this collection do have filing fees associated with them, but the filing fees are themselves accounted for in another collection (0651-0072, AIA Patent Fees Adjustment) and included as a part of this collection.

Postage constitutes the non-hourly cost burdens for this collection. Except for the petitions to make special under the Accelerated Examination program, which must be submitted electronically through EFS-Web, the public may submit the petitions in this collection to the USPTO by mail through the United States Postal Service. The USPTO estimates that the majority of mailed submissions will be sent in a large envelope via first class postage; rated at \$3.90. The USPTO estimates that 2,550 petitions and fee transmittal forms will

be mailed to the USPTO per year, for a total postage cost of \$9,950.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, e.g., the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: May 14, 2014.

Susan K. Fawcett,
Records Officer, USPTO, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2014-11550 Filed 5-19-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Transmittal Nos. 14-03]

36(b)(1) Arms Sales Notification

AGENCY: Defense Security Cooperation Agency, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense is publishing the unclassified text of a section 36(b)(1) arms sales notification. This is published to fulfill the requirements of section 155 of Public Law 104-164 dated July 21, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. B. English, DSCA/DBO/CFM, (703) 601-3740.

The following is a copy of a letter to the Speaker of the House of

Representatives, Transmittals 14-03 with attached transmittal and policy justification.

Dated: May 15, 2014.

Aaron Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.



DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY

201 12TH STREET SOUTH, STE 203
ARLINGTON, VA 22202-6408

The Honorable John A. Boehner
Speaker of the House
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

MAY 13 2014

Dear Mr. Speaker:

Pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended, we are forwarding herewith Transmittal No. 14-03, concerning the Department of the Army's proposed Letter(s) of Offer and Acceptance to Iraq for defense articles and services estimated to cost \$101 million. After this letter is delivered to your office, we plan to issue a press statement to notify the public of this proposed sale.

Sincerely,

J. W. Rixey
Vice Admiral, USN
Director

Enclosures:

- 1. Transmittal
- 2. Policy Justification
- 3. Sensitivity of Technology
- 4. Regional Balance (Classified Document Provided Under Separate Cover)



Transmittal No. 14–03

Notice of proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1) Of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended

(i) *Prospective Purchaser:* Republic of Iraq

(ii) *Total Estimated Value:*

Major Defense Equipment: *	\$100 million
Other:	\$ 1 million

Total:	\$101 million
--------------	---------------

* As defined in Section 47(6) of the Arms Export Control Act.

(iii) *Description and Quantity of Articles or Services under Consideration for Purchase:* 200 M1151A1 Up-armored High Mobility Multi-Purpose Wheeled Vehicles (HMMWVs) with M2 .50 cal. machine gun mounts, commercial radios, communication equipment, repair and spare parts, publications and technical documentation, tools and test equipment, personnel training and training equipment, U.S. Government and contractor logistics and technical support services, and other related elements of logistics support.

(iv) *Military Department;* Army (UGP)

(v) *Prior Related Cases, if Any:*

FMS Case AAH—\$46 million—
29May07

FMS Case AAI—\$49 million—29May07

(vi) *Sales Commission, Fee, etc., Paid, Offered or Agreed to be Paid:* None

(vii) *Sensitivity of Technology Contained in the Defense Article or Defense Services Proposed to be Sold:* See Attached Annex

(viii) *Date Report Delivered to Congress:* 13 May 2014

POLICY JUSTIFICATION

Iraq—M1151A1 Up-Armored High Mobility Multi-Purpose Wheeled Vehicles (HMMWVs)

The Government of Iraq has requested a possible sale of 200 M1151A1 Up-Armored High Mobility Multi-Purpose Wheeled Vehicles (HMMWVs) with M2 .50 cal. machine gun mounts, commercial radios, communication equipment, repair and spare parts, publications and technical documentation, tools and test equipment, personnel training and training equipment, U.S. Government and contractor logistics and technical support services, and other related elements of logistics support. The estimated cost is \$101 million.

This proposed sale will contribute to the foreign policy and national security of the United States by helping to improve the security of a strategic partner. This proposed sale directly supports the Government of Iraq and serves the interests of the people of Iraq and the United States.

The proposed sale of the M1151 HMMWVs would facilitate progress towards increasing Iraq's ability to defend its oil infrastructure against terrorist attacks. Iraq will use the HMMWVs to increase the safety, effectiveness, and self-reliance of the Iraqi Army's Oil Pipeline Security Division. Iraq will have no difficulty absorbing these additional HMMWVs into its armed forces.

The proposed sale of this equipment and support will not alter the basic military balance in the region.

The principal contractor will be AM General in South Bend, Indiana. There are no known offset agreements

proposed in connections with this potential sale.

Implementation of this proposed sale will not require U.S. Government or contractor representatives to travel to Iraq.

There will be no adverse impact on U.S. defense readiness as a result of this proposed sale.

[FR Doc. 2014–11602 Filed 5–19–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Transmittal Nos. 13–56]

36(b)(1) Arms Sales Notification

AGENCY: Defense Security Cooperation Agency, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense is publishing the unclassified text of a section 36(b)(1) arms sales notification. This is published to fulfill the requirements of section 155 of Public Law 104–164 dated July 21, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. B. English, DSCA/DBO/CFM, (703) 601–3740.

The following is a copy of a letter to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Transmittals 13–56 with attached transmittal, policy justification, and Sensitivity of Technology and the Section 620C(d).

Dated: May 15, 2014.

Aaron Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.