

### III. Attachment C

#### *Dislocated Worker State Formula PY 2013 Reallotment Methodology*

*Reallotment Summary:* This year the Employment and Training Administration (ETA) analyzed State Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Dislocated Worker 9130 financial reports from the June 30, 2013 reporting period for PY 2012, to determine if any state had unobligated funds in excess of 20 percent of their PY 2012 allotment amount. If so, ETA will recapture that amount from PY 2013 funds and reallot the recaptured funds among eligible states.

- Source Data: State WIA 9130 financial status reports
- Programs:
  - State Dislocated Worker
  - State Rapid Response
  - Local Dislocated Worker (includes local administration)
- Period: June 30, 2013
- Years covered: PY 2012 and FY 2013

#### Reallotment Calculation Process:

1. *Determine each state's unobligated balance:* ETA computes the state's total amount of PY 2012 state obligations (including FY 2013 funds) for the DW program. State obligations are the sum of DW statewide activities obligations, Rapid Response obligations, and 100 percent of what the state authorizes for DW local activities. To determine the unobligated balance for the DW program, ETA subtracts the total DW obligations amount from the state's total 2012 DW allotment (adjusted for recapture/reallotment and statutory formula-based rescissions, if applicable). For this year's calculation, PY 2012 allotments were adjusted for recapture/reallotment, but there was no applicable rescission. (Note: for this process, ETA adds DW allotted funds transferred to the Navajo Nation back to Arizona, New Mexico, and Utah local DW authorized amounts).

2. *Excluding state administrative costs:* Section 667.150 of the regulations provides that the recapture calculations exclude the reserve for state administration which is part of the DW statewide activities. States do not report data on state administrative amounts authorized and obligated on WIA 9130 financial reports. In the preliminary calculation, to determine states potentially liable for recapture, ETA estimates the DW portion of the state administrative amount authorized by calculating the five percent maximum amount for state DW administrative costs using the DW state allotment amounts (excluding any recapture/reallotment that occurred). For the DW

portion of the state administrative amount obligated, ETA treats 100 percent of the estimated authorized amount as obligated, although the estimate of state administration obligations is limited by reported statewide activities obligations overall.

3. *Follow-up with states potentially liable for recapture:* ETA requests that those states potentially liable for recapture provide additional data on state administrative amounts which are not regularly reported on the PY 2012 and FY 2013 statewide activities reports. The additional information requested includes the amount of statewide activities funds the state authorized and obligated for state administration as of June 30, 2013. If a state provides actual state DW administrative costs, authorized and obligated, in the comments section of revised 9130 reports, this data replaces the estimates. Based on the requested additional actual data submitted by potentially liable states on revised reports, ETA reduces the DW total allotment for these states by the amount states indicate they authorized for state administrative costs. Likewise, ETA reduces the DW total obligations for these states by the portion obligated for state administration.

4. *Recapture calculation:* States (including those adjusted by actual state administrative data) with *unobligated balances* exceeding 20 percent of the combined PY 2012/FY 2013 DW allotment amount (adjusted for recapture/reallotment in PY 2012) will have their PY 2013 DW funding (from the FY 2014 portion) reduced (recaptured) by the amount of the excess.

5. *Reallotment calculation:* Finally, states with unobligated balances which do not exceed 20 percent (eligible states) will receive a share of the total recaptured amount (based on their share of the total PY 2012/FY 2013 DW allotments of eligible states) in their PY 2013 DW funding (the FY 2014 portion).

#### Portia Wu,

*Assistant Secretary for the Employment and Training Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2014-11045 Filed 5-13-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-72131; File No. SR-NSCC-2014-805]

#### Self-Regulatory Organizations; National Securities Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing and No Objection to Advance Notice To Renew NSCC's Existing Credit Facility

May 8, 2014.

Pursuant to Section 806(e)(1) of Title VIII of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, entitled the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Supervision Act of 2010 ("Clearing Supervision Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4(n)(1)(i) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on April 21, 2014, National Securities Clearing Corporation ("NSCC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") advance notice SR-NSCC-2014-805 ("Advance Notice") as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared primarily by NSCC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the Advance Notice from interested persons and provide notice that the Commission does not object to the Advance Notice.

#### I. Clearing Agency's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Advance Notice

NSCC is renewing its 364-day syndicated revolving credit facility ("Renewal"), as more fully described below.

#### II. Clearing Agency's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Advance Notice

In its filing with the Commission, NSCC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the Advance Notice and discussed any comments it received on the Advance Notice. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. NSCC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

#### A. Clearing Agency's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Advance Notice

##### 1. Purpose

As part of its liquidity risk management regime, NSCC maintains a 364-day committed revolving line of credit with a syndicate of commercial lenders which is renewed every year.

<sup>1</sup> 12 U.S.C. 5465(e)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(n)(1)(i).

The terms and conditions of the current Renewal will be specified in the Thirteenth Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement, to be dated as of May 13, 2014 (“Renewal Agreement”), among The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”), National Securities Clearing Corporation,<sup>3</sup> the Lenders party thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and are substantially the same as the terms and conditions of the existing credit agreement, dated as of May 14, 2013 (“Existing Agreement”),<sup>4</sup> among the same parties. The substantive terms of the Renewal are set forth in the Summary of Indicative Principal Terms and Conditions, dated March 17, 2014, which is not a public document. The aggregate commitments being sought under the Renewal will be for an amount of up to \$15 billion for NSCC and DTC together, of which all but \$1.9 billion aggregate commitments would be the commitments to NSCC as borrower, as provided in the Existing Agreement.

This agreement and its substantially similar predecessor agreements have been in place since the introduction of same day funds settlement at NSCC. NSCC requires same-day liquidity resources to cover the failure-to-settle of its largest Member or affiliated family of Members. If a Member defaults on its end of day settlement obligations, NSCC may borrow under the line to enable it, if necessary, to fund settlement among non-defaulting Members. Any borrowing would be secured principally by (i) securities deposited by Members in NSCC’s Clearing Fund (i.e., the Eligible Clearing Fund Securities, as defined in NSCC’s Rule 4, pledged by Members to NSCC in lieu of cash Clearing Fund deposits), and (ii) securities cleared through NSCC’s Continuous Net Settlement System (CNS) that were intended for delivery to the defaulting Member upon payment of its net settlement obligation. NSCC’s Clearing Fund<sup>5</sup> (which operates as its

default fund) addresses potential exposure through a number of risk-based component charges calculated and assessed daily. As integral parts of NSCC’s risk management structure, the line of credit and the Clearing Fund, together, provide NSCC liquidity to complete end-of-day money settlement.

## 2. Statutory Basis

The Renewal is consistent with Section 805(b) of the Clearing Supervision Act<sup>6</sup> and with Commission Rule 17Ad-22(d)(11)<sup>7</sup> (regarding default procedures) because it mitigates liquidity risk.

### *B. Clearing Agency’s Statement on Comments on the Advance Notice Received From Members, Participants, or Others*

Written comments on the Advance Notice have not yet been solicited or received. NSCC will notify the Commission of any written comments received by NSCC.

### *C. Advance Notice Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act*

#### 1. Description of Change

The terms and conditions to be specified in the Renewal Agreement are substantially the same as the terms and conditions specified in the Existing Agreement, except that, in order to help protect against concentration risk, an enhancement is being added for a back-up Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent in case the primary Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent is unable to perform its obligations.

#### 2. Anticipated Effect on and Management of Risks

As noted, the committed revolving line of credit is a cornerstone of NSCC risk management and this Renewal is critical to the NSCC risk management infrastructure. The Renewal does not otherwise affect or alter the management of risk at NSCC.

<sup>3</sup> creating new Rule 4(A). See Release No. 34-70999 (Dec. 5, 2013), 78 FR 75413 (Dec. 11, 2013) (SR-NSCC-2013-02).

<sup>6</sup> 12 U.S.C. 5461(b). The Financial Stability Oversight Council (“FSOC”) designated NSCC a systemically important financial market utility (“SIFMU”) on July 18, 2012. See FSOC 2012 Annual Report, Appendix A, <http://www.treasury.gov/initiatives/fsoc/Documents/2012%20Annual%20Report.pdf> (“FSOC Designation”). Therefore, NSCC is required to comply with the Clearing Supervision Act.

<sup>7</sup> 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(d)(11).

## III. Date of Effectiveness of the Advance Notice and Timing for Commission Action

The proposed change may be implemented if the Commission does not object to the proposed change within 60 days of the later of (i) the date that the proposed change was filed with the Commission or (ii) the date that any additional information requested by the Commission is received. NSCC shall not implement the proposed change if the Commission has any objection to the proposed change.

The Commission may extend the period for review by an additional 60 days if the proposed change raises novel or complex issues, subject to the Commission providing NSCC with prompt written notice of the extension. A proposed change may be implemented in less than 60 days from the date the advance notice is filed, or the date further information requested by the Commission is received, if the Commission notifies NSCC in writing that it does not object to the proposed change and authorizes NSCC to implement the proposed change on an earlier date, subject to any conditions imposed by the Commission.

NSCC shall post notice on its Web site of proposed changes that are implemented.

## IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the Advance Notice is consistent with the Clearing Supervision Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

### *Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File No. SR-NSCC-2014-805 on the subject line.

### *Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR-NSCC-2014-805. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the

<sup>3</sup> The Renewal Agreement will provide for both DTC and NSCC as borrowers, with an aggregate commitment of \$1.9 billion for DTC and the amount of any excess aggregate commitment for NSCC. The borrowers are not jointly and severally liable and each lender has a ratable commitment to each borrower. DTC and NSCC have separate collateral to secure their separate borrowings.

<sup>4</sup> Last year, the Commission published notice of no objection to NSCC’s advance notice filing with respect to NSCC’s renewal beginning on May 14, 2013. See Release No. 34-69557 (May 10, 2013), 78 FR 28936 (May 16, 2013) (SR-NSCC-2013-803).

<sup>5</sup> NSCC’s Clearing Fund now includes additional liquidity deposits by certain Members pursuant to NSCC’s newly implemented Supplemental Liquidity Deposit rule (new Rule 4(A)). On December 5, 2013, the Commission approved rule filing SR-NSCC-2013-02, as amended on April 19, 2013, June 11, 2013, and on October 4 and 7, 2013

submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the Advance Notice that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the Advance Notice between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of NSCC and on NSCC's Web site at <http://dtcc.com/en/legal/sec-rule-filings.aspx>.

All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-NSCC-2014-805 and should be submitted on or before June 4, 2014

#### V. Commission Findings and Notice of No Objection

Although the Clearing Supervision Act does not specify a standard of review for advance notices, the Commission believes that the stated purpose of the Clearing Supervision Act is instructive.<sup>8</sup> The stated purpose is to mitigate systemic risk in the financial system and promote financial stability by, among other things, promoting uniform risk management standards for SIFMUs.<sup>9</sup>

Section 805(a)(2) of the Clearing Supervision Act authorizes the Commission to prescribe risk management standards for the payment, clearing, and settlement activities of designated clearing entities and financial institutions engaged in designated activities for which it is the supervisory agency or the appropriate financial regulator.<sup>10</sup> Section 805(b) of the Clearing Supervision Act states that the objectives and principles for the risk management standards prescribed under Section 805(a) shall be to:

- Promote robust risk management;
- promote safety and soundness;
- reduce systemic risks; and
- support the stability of the broader financial system.<sup>11</sup>

The Commission adopted risk management standards under Section 805(a)(2) of the Clearing Supervision Act on October 22, 2012 ("Clearing Agency Standards").<sup>12</sup> The Clearing Agency Standards became effective on January 2, 2013 and require registered clearing agencies to establish, implement, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures that are reasonably designed to meet certain minimum requirements for their operations and risk management practices on an ongoing basis.<sup>13</sup> As such, it is appropriate for the Commission to review advance notices against the objectives and principles for risk management standards as described in Section 805(b) of the Clearing Supervision Act,<sup>14</sup> as well as the applicable Clearing Agency Standards promulgated under Section 805(a) of the Clearing Supervision Act.<sup>15</sup>

The Advance Notice is a proposal to enter into a renewed credit facility, as described above, which is designed to help mitigate the risk that NSCC would be unable to meet payment or settlement obligations in the event of a Member default. Consistent with Section 805(b) of the Clearing Supervision Act,<sup>16</sup> the Commission believes the proposal promotes robust risk management, as well as the safety and soundness of NSCC's operations, while reducing systemic risks and supporting the stability of the broader financial system, by providing a readily available source of liquidity for NSCC.

Additionally, Commission Rule 17Ad-22(d)(11),<sup>17</sup> adopted as part of the Clearing Agency Standards,<sup>18</sup> requires that registered clearing agencies "establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to, as applicable . . . establish default procedures that ensure that the clearing agency can take timely action to contain losses and liquidity pressures and to continue meeting its obligations in the event of a participant default."<sup>19</sup> Here, as

described above, the renewed credit facility will help NSCC continue to meet its respective obligations in a timely fashion in the event of a Member default, thereby helping to contain losses and liquidity pressures from that default.

Finally, Commission Rule 17Ad-22(b)(3),<sup>20</sup> also adopted as part of the Clearing Agency Standards,<sup>21</sup> requires a central counterparty ("CCP"), like NSCC,<sup>22</sup> to "establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to . . . [m]aintain sufficient financial resources to withstand, at a minimum, a default by the participant family to which it has the largest exposure in extreme but plausible market conditions. . . ." <sup>23</sup> Here, as described above, NSCC's proposal to enter into a renewed credit facility will help it maintain sufficient financial resources to withstand, at a minimum, a default by an NSCC Member to which NSCC has the largest exposure.

As described in Item III above, Section 806(e)(1)(G) of the Clearing Supervision Act provides that a designated SIFMU may implement a change contained in an advance notice if it has not received an objection to the proposed change within the applicable 60 day period.<sup>24</sup> However, Section 806(e)(1)(I) of the Clearing Supervision Act allows the Commission to issue a non-objection prior to the 60th day.<sup>25</sup> If the Commission chooses to issue no objection prior to the 60th day, it must notify the SIFMU in writing that it does not object and authorize implementation of the change on an earlier date.<sup>26</sup> If the Commission chooses to object prior to the 60th day, it must similarly notify the SIFMU.<sup>27</sup>

In its filing with the Commission, NSCC requested that the Commission notify NSCC, under Section 806(e)(1)(I) of the Clearing Supervision Act, that the Commission has no objection to the Advance Notice no later than Thursday, May 8, 2014, three business days before the existing credit facility is set to expire on Tuesday, May 13, 2014, to ensure that there is no period of time that NSCC operates without a credit facility.

For the reasons stated above, the Commission does not object to the Advance Notice.

<sup>20</sup> 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(b)(3).

<sup>21</sup> Release No. 34-68080 (Oct. 22, 2012), 77 FR 66219 (Nov. 2, 2012).

<sup>22</sup> See FSO Designation, *supra* note 6.

<sup>23</sup> 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(b)(3).

<sup>24</sup> See 12 U.S.C. 5465(e)(1)(G).

<sup>25</sup> 12 U.S.C. 5465(e)(1)(I).

<sup>26</sup> *Id.*

<sup>27</sup> 12 U.S.C. 5465(e)(1)(E).

<sup>12</sup> Release No. 34-68080 (Oct. 22, 2012), 77 FR 66219 (Nov. 2, 2012).

<sup>13</sup> The Clearing Agency Standards are substantially similar to the risk management standards established by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System governing the operations of SIFMUs that are not clearing entities and financial institutions engaged in designated activities for which the Commission or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission is the Supervisory Agency. See Financial Market Utilities, 77 FR 45907 (Aug. 2, 2012).

<sup>14</sup> See 12 U.S.C. 5464(b).

<sup>15</sup> See 12 U.S.C. 5464(a).

<sup>16</sup> See 12 U.S.C. 5464(b).

<sup>17</sup> 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(d)(11).

<sup>18</sup> Release No. 34-68080 (Oct. 22, 2012), 77 FR 66219 (Nov. 2, 2012).

<sup>19</sup> 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(d)(11).

<sup>8</sup> 12 U.S.C. 5461(b).

<sup>9</sup> *Id.*

<sup>10</sup> 12 U.S.C. 5464(a)(2).

<sup>11</sup> 12 U.S.C. 5464(b).

## VI. Conclusion

It is therefore noticed, pursuant to Section 806(e)(1)(I) of the Clearing Supervision Act,<sup>28</sup> that the Commission does not object to the change described in advance notice SR–NSCC–2014–805 and that NSCC be and hereby is authorized to implement the change as of the date of this notice.

By the Commission.

Kevin M. O'Neill,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014–11035 Filed 5–13–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–72123; File No. SR–NYSE–2014–25]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Amending Its Price List, Effective May 2, 2014, To Set Forth a Fee for a Bond Trading License Under Rule 87 and a Rebate for Bond Liquidity Providers That Bring Liquidity to the Exchange's Bond Market in Accordance With Rule 88 and To Delete an Obsolete Fee

May 8, 2014.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that, on May 2, 2014, New York Stock Exchange LLC (“NYSE” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its Price List, effective May 2, 2014, to (1) set forth a fee for a bond trading license under Rule 87 and a rebate for BLPs that bring liquidity to the Exchange's bond market in accordance with Rule 88 and (2) delete an obsolete fee. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at [www.nyse.com](http://www.nyse.com), at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

<sup>28</sup> 12 U.S.C. 5465(e)(1)(I).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

##### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

###### 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Price List, effective May 2, 2014, to (1) set forth a fee for a bond trading license under Rule 87 and a rebate for BLPs that bring liquidity to the Exchange's bond market in accordance with Rule 88 and (2) delete an obsolete fee.

On February 27, 2014, the Exchange filed a proposed rule change to make permanent its pilot program that provided for a bond trading license for member organizations that desire to trade only debt securities on the Exchange and that established a new class of market participants called BLPs.<sup>3</sup> The proposal was published for comment on March 14, 2014 and approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) on April 25, 2014.<sup>4</sup>

The Exchange proposes to amend its Price List to set forth the price of the bond trading license and a liquidity provider rebate and cap. First, the Exchange will offer a bond trading license under Rule 87 for \$1,000. By way of comparison, a trading license under Rule 300, which covers all debt and equity securities listed on the Exchange, is \$40,000. Second, if a BLP

<sup>3</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 71671 (March 10, 2014), 79 FR 14558 (March 14, 2014) (SR–NYSE–2014–08). The Commission previously approved the proposed bond trading license and BLP program on a pilot basis. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 63736 (January 19, 2011), 76 FR 4959 (January 27, 2011) (SR–NYSE–2010–74). The pilot program was originally scheduled to expire on January 19, 2012, but the Commission approved two one-year extensions. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 65995 (December 16, 2011), 76 FR 79726 (December 22, 2011) (SR–NYSE–2011–63); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 68533 (December 21, 2012), 77 FR 77166 (December 31, 2012) (SR–NYSE–2012–74). The pilot program terminated on January 19, 2014.

<sup>4</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 72026 (April 25, 2014) (SR–NYSE–2014–08).

meets the quoting requirements for a bond pursuant to Rule 88, the BLP will receive a liquidity provider rebate of \$0.05 per bond, with a \$50.00 rebate cap per transaction. The rebate first will be applied against any bond liquidity taking or other fees that the BLP owes to the Exchange. If the rebate exceeds such fees in any given month, the Exchange will pay the excess amount to the BLP. The Exchange does not propose any changes to its Price List for liquidity taking transactions on its bond platform, which were adopted on a permanent basis in 2010.<sup>5</sup>

The Exchange also proposes to delete the reference to a \$5,000 fee for the NYSE-Sponsored Graphic User Interface, which is no longer offered and not necessary for market participants to submit orders to NYSE Bonds.

The proposed change is not otherwise intended to address any other issues, and the Exchange is not aware of any problems that members and member organizations would have in complying with the proposed change.

###### 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,<sup>6</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>7</sup> in particular, because it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members, issuers and other persons using its facilities and does not unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers or dealers. The Exchange believes that it is reasonable to charge a lower fee for a bond trading license because holders will only be able to trade the narrower class of securities, rather than all securities on the Exchange. The price also reflects the Exchange's lower cost of administering and surveilling a narrower class of securities. The bond trading license fee is equitable because it will be offered to all market participants that wish to trade the narrower class of debt securities only.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rebate and rebate cap are reasonable because they will reward liquidity providers on the bond platform. The Exchange believes that it is reasonable to cap the rebates because excess rebates will be paid to the BLP after the rebates are applied against any bond liquidity taking or other fees that

<sup>5</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 63593 (December 21, 2010), 75 FR 81701 (December 28, 2010) (SR–NYSE–2010–83).

<sup>6</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>7</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4), (5).