

Meihua Group requested to extend the application of the provisional measures prescribed under section 733(d) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(e)(2), from a four-month period to not more than six-months. Suspension of liquidation will be extended accordingly.

Suspension of Liquidation

Section 733(e)(2) of the Act provides that, given an affirmative determination of critical circumstances, any suspension of liquidation shall apply to unliquidated entries of merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the later of (a) the date which is 90 days before the date on which the suspension of liquidation was first ordered, or (b) the date on which notice of initiation of the investigation was published. As described above, we preliminarily find that critical circumstances exist for imports produced or exported by the Meihua Group, the separate rate companies, and the PRC-wide entity. For the Meihua Group, the separate rate companies, and the PRC-wide entity, in accordance with section 733(e)(2)(A) of the Act, the suspension of liquidation shall apply to unliquidated entries of merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date which is 90 days before the publication of this notice.

We will instruct CBP to require a cash deposit for all suspended entries at an *ad valorem* rate equal to the weighted-average dumping margins, as indicated in the chart above.¹⁴ These suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

Verification

As provided in section 782(i)(1) of the Act, we intend to verify the information from the Meihua Group in making our final determination.

International Trade Commission (ITC) Notification

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, we will notify the ITC of our preliminary affirmative determination of sales at LTFV. Section 735(b)(2) of the Act requires the ITC to make its final determination as to whether the domestic industry in the United States is materially injured, or threatened with material injury, by reason of imports of MSG, or sales (or the likelihood of sales) for importation, of the merchandise under consideration within 45 days of our final determination.

¹⁴ See *Modification of Regulations Regarding the Practice of Accepting Bonds During the Provisional Measures Period in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Investigations*, 76 FR 61042 (October 3, 2011).

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 733(f) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(c).

Dated: May 1, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

Scope of the Investigation

The scope of this investigation covers monosodium glutamate (MSG), whether or not blended or in solution with other products. Specifically, MSG that has been blended or is in solution with other product(s) is included in this scope when the resulting mix contains 15% or more of MSG by dry weight. Products with which MSG may be blended include, but are not limited to, salts, sugars, starches, maltodextrins, and various seasonings. Further, MSG is included in this investigation regardless of physical form (including, but not limited to, substrates, solutions, dry powders of any particle size, or unfinished forms such as MSG slurry), end-use application, or packaging.

MSG has a molecular formula of C₅H₈NO₄Na, a Chemical Abstract Service (CAS) registry number of 6106-04-3, and a Unique Ingredient Identifier (UNII) number of W81N5U6R6U.

Merchandise covered by the scope of this investigation is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) of the United States at subheading 2922.42.10.00. Merchandise subject to the investigation may also enter under HTS subheadings 2922.42.50.00, 2103.90.72.00, 2103.90.74.00, 2103.90.78.00, 2103.90.80.00, and 2103.90.90.91. The tariff classifications, CAS registry number, and UNII number are provided for convenience and customs purposes; however, the written description of the scope is dispositive.

Appendix II

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Period of Investigation
4. Scope of the Investigation
5. Postponement of Final Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures
6. Discussion of the Methodology
 - a. Non Market Economy
 - b. Surrogate Country
 - c. Separate Rates
 - d. Application of Facts Available and Adverse Inferences
 - e. Date of Sale
 - f. Co-product/By-product Analysis
 - g. Fair Value Comparisons
 - h. Determination of Comparison Method
 - i. Export Price
 - j. Normal Value
 - k. Factor Valuation Methodology
7. Currency Conversion
8. Critical Circumstances

9. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2014-10635 Filed 5-7-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904 NAFTA Binational Panel Reviews; Completion of Panel Review

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Completion of Panel Review.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Order of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) Binational Panel dated March 18, 2014, the panel review of the Department of Commerce's final determination regarding Bottom Mount Combination Refrigerator-Freezers from Mexico was completed on May 1, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ellen M. Bohon, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482-5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 25, 2014 the binational panel reviewing the U.S. Department of Commerce's final determination concerning Bottom Mount Combination Refrigerator-Freezers from Mexico (NAFTA Secretariat File No. USA-MEX-2012-1904-02) issued an Order granting a Joint Motion to Dismiss Panel Review filed by Samsung Electronics Mexico, S.A. de C.V. and affiliates and LG Electronics Monterrey Mexico, S.A. de C.V. and affiliates and a Motion to Dismiss Panel Review filed by the U.S. Department of Commerce. In its Order, the panel also dismissed as moot the Renewed Motion to Stay filed by Whirlpool Corporation. Pursuant to the panel's Order, the Secretariat was instructed to issue a Notice of Completion of Panel Review on the 31st day following the issuance of the Notice of Final Panel Action, if no request for an Extraordinary Challenge Committee was filed. No such request was filed. Therefore, on the basis of the Panel Order and Rule 80 of the Article 1904 Panel Rules, the Panel Review was completed and the panelists were discharged from their duties effective May 1, 2014.

Dated: May 2, 2014.

Ellen M. Bohon,

United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 2014-10556 Filed 5-7-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904 NAFTA Binational Panel Reviews; Decision of Panel

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Decision of Panel.

SUMMARY: On April 29, 2014, the NAFTA Chapter 19 binational panel issued its decision affirming the Final Results of the 2006–2007 administrative review of the antidumping order issued by the U.S. Department of Commerce’s International Trade Administration (ITA), with respect to Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Canada. Copies of the panel’s decision are available from the U.S. Section of the NAFTA Secretariat.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ellen M. Bohon, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482–5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter 19 of the North American Free Trade Agreement (“Agreement”) establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews* (“Rules”). These Rules were published in the **Federal Register** on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686). The panel review in this matter has been conducted in accordance with these Rules.

Panel Decision: On January 16, 2009 Complainants Ivaco Rolling Mills 2004

L.P. and Sivaco Ontario, a division of Sivaco Wire Group 2004 L.P. (“Ivaco”), filed a Request for Panel Review of the Final Results of the 2006–2007 administrative review of the antidumping order issued by the U.S. Department of Commerce’s International Trade Administration (ITA), with respect to Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Canada.

In its Complaint, filed on February 1, 2009, Ivaco alleged that the ITA had committed two errors: (1) The ITA’s decision that Ivaco had made sales to the United States and the home market at a single level of trade was unsupported by substantial evidence and otherwise not in accordance with law and (2) the ITA’s decision to calculate Ivaco’s overall weighted average dumping margin by setting negative individual dumping margins to zero is unsupported by substantial evidence and otherwise not in accordance with law.

For the reasons set forth in the panel’s written decision, and on the basis of the administrative record, the applicable law, the written submissions of the ITA and Ivaco, and the panel hearing held in Washington, DC on September 6, 2012, the panel upheld in its decision the Final Results of the administrative review. Copies of the panel’s decision are available from the U.S. Section of the NAFTA Secretariat.

Dated: May 2, 2014.

Ellen M. Bohon,

U.S. Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 2014-10559 Filed 5-7-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Billfish Tagging Report Card

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before July 7, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at Jjessup@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to James Wraith, (858) 546–7087 or james.wraith@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

This request is for extension of a currently approved information collection. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration’s Southwest Fisheries Science Center operates a billfish tagging program. Tagging supplies are provided to volunteer anglers. When anglers catch and release a tagged fish they submit a brief report on the fish and the location of the tagging. The information obtained is used in conjunction with tag returns to determine billfish migration patterns, mortality rates, and similar information useful in the management of the billfish fisheries. This program is authorized under 16 U.S.C. 760(e), Study of migratory game fish; waters; research; purpose.

II. Method of Collection

Information is submitted by mail, via a paper form the size of a postcard.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0648–0009.
Form Number: NOAA Form 88–162.
Type of Review: Regular submission (extension of a currently approved collection).

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 1,000.

Estimated Time per Response: 5 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 83.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$0 in recordkeeping/reporting costs.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and