and make available for public comment an initial regulatory flexibility analysis that describes the impact of a proposed rule on small entities. However, the regulatory flexibility analysis otherwise required under the RFA is not required if an agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities (defined in regulations promulgated by the Small Business Administration (SBA) to include banking organizations with total assets of less than or equal to $500 million) and publishes its certification and a brief explanatory statement in the Federal Register together with the rule.

As of December 31, 2013, the OCC supervised 1,760 banks (1,153 commercial banks, 62 trust companies, 497 Federal savings associations, and 48 branches or agencies of foreign banks). Approximately 1,195 of OCC-supervised banks are small entities based on the SBA’s definition of small entities for RFA purposes. As discussed in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION above, the proposed increase in assessments will only affect institutions with more than $40 billion in total assets. As such, pursuant to section 605(b) of the RFA, the OCC certifies that this proposal would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, an initial regulatory flexibility analysis is not required.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The OCC has analyzed the proposed rule under the factors in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) (2 U.S.C. 1532). Under this analysis, the OCC considered whether the proposed rule includes a Federal mandate that may result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of $100 million or more in any one year (adjusted annually for inflation). The OCC has determined that this proposed rule will not result in expenditures by State, local, and tribal governments, or the private sector, of $100 million or more in any one year. Accordingly, this proposal is not subject to section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Act.

List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 8

Assessments, National banks, Savings associations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Department of the Treasury
Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
Authority and Issuance
For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the OCC proposes to amend 12 CFR part 8 as follows:

PART 8—ASSESSMENT OF FEES

1. The authority citation for part 8 continues to read as follows:


2. Section 8.2 is amended by revising paragraphs (a) introductory text and (a)(4) to read as follows:

§8.2 Semiannual assessment.

(a) Each national bank and each Federal savings association shall pay to the Comptroller of the Currency a semiannual assessment fee, due by March 31 and September 30 of each year, for the six-month period beginning on January 1 and July 1 before each payment date. The Comptroller of the Currency will calculate the amount due under this section and provide a notice of assessments to each national bank and each Federal savings association no later than 7 business days prior to collection on March 31 and September 30 of each year. In setting assessments, the Comptroller of the Currency may take into account the nature and scope of the activities of a national bank or Federal savings association, the amount and type of assets that the entity holds, the financial and managerial condition of the entity, and any other factor the Comptroller of the Currency determines is appropriate, as provided by 12 U.S.C. 16. The semiannual assessment will be calculated as follows:

* * * * * * *

(4) Each year, the OCC may index the marginal rates in Column D to adjust for the percent change in the level of prices, as measured by changes in the Gross Domestic Product Implicit Price Deflator (GDPIPD) for each June-to-June period. The OCC may at its discretion adjust marginal rates by amounts other than the percentage change in the GDPIPD. The OCC will also adjust the amounts in Column C to reflect any change made to the marginal rate.

3. Section 8.8 is revised to read as follows:

§8.8 Notice of Office of the Comptroller of the Currency fees and assessments.

(a) December notice of fees and assessments. A notice of “Office of the Comptroller of the Currency Fees and Assessments” shall be published no later than the first business day in December of each year for fees to be charged by the Office during the upcoming year. These fees will be effective January 1 of that upcoming year.

(b) Interim notice of fees and assessments. The OCC may issue an “Interim Office of the Comptroller of the Currency Fees and Assessments” or an “Amended Office of the Comptroller of the Currency Fees and Assessments” from time to time throughout the year as necessary. Interim or amended notices will be effective 30 days after issuance.

Dated: April 18, 2014.

Thomas J. Curry,
Comptroller of the Currency.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 77

[Docket No. FAA–2014–0134]

RIN 2120–AF90

Proposal To Consider the Impact of One Engine Inoperative Procedures in Obstruction Evaluation Aeronautical Studies

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Notice of proposed policy; request for comment.

SUMMARY: This action proposes to establish a new policy that would consider the impact of one engine out procedures in the aeronautical study process conducted under existing 14 CFR part 77 criteria when the airport operations potentially affected by a determination of no hazard are able to use a dedicated one engine out flight path. Additionally, this proposed policy statement notes that the FAA has the authority to consider the cumulative effects of construction in concentrated areas when evaluating the potential for a hazard to navigation.

DATES: Send your comments on or before June 27, 2014.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments [identified by Docket Number FAA–2014–0134] using any of the following methods:

- Government-wide rulemaking Web site: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.
- Mail: Docket Operations, U.S. Department of Transportation, West
Authority for This Proceeding

Under Section 40103(a), the Administrator has broad authority to regulate the safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace. The Administrator is also authorized to issue air traffic rules and regulations to govern the flight, navigation, protection, and identification of aircraft for the protection of persons and property on the ground and for the efficient use of the navigable airspace (49 U.S.C. 40103(b)). The Administrator may also conduct investigations and prescribe regulations, standards, and procedures in carrying out the authority under this part (49 U.S.C. 40113). The Administrator is authorized to protect civil aircraft in air commerce (49 U.S.C. 44701(a)(5)).

Under Section 44701(a)(5), the Administrator promotes safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations and minimum standards for other practices, methods, and procedures necessary for safety in air commerce and national security. Also, Section 44718 provides that under regulations issued by the Administrator, notice to the agency is required for any construction, alteration, establishment, or expansion of a structure or sanitary landfill, when notice will promote safety in air commerce and the efficient use and preservation of the navigable airspace and airport traffic capacity at public use airports. This statutory provision also provides that, under regulations issued by the Administrator, the agency determines whether such construction or alteration is an obstruction of the navigable airspace, or an interference with air navigation facilities and equipment or the navigable airspace. If a determination is made that the construction or alteration creates an obstruction or otherwise interferes, the agency then conducts an aeronautical study. The study evaluates the adverse impacts on the safe and efficient use of the airspace, facilities or equipment, as well as the cumulative impact resulting from the proposed construction or alteration of a structure when combined with the impact of other existing or proposed structures.

Proposed Policy Statement

Navigable airspace is being encroached around the country with the net effect of decreasing access for aviation operations. Structures as diverse as microwave towers to office buildings and wind turbines are being built in ever-increasing numbers near many airports. While developers may

and read the comments received into any of our dockets. This includes the name of the individual sending the comment (or signing the comment for an association, business, labor union). You may review DOT’s complete Privacy Act Statement in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78) or you may visit http://regulations.gov.

Before acting on this proposal, we will consider all comments we receive on or before the closing date for comments. We will consider comments filed late if it is possible to do so without incurring expense or delay. We may change this proposal because of the comments we receive.

If you want the FAA to acknowledge receipt of your comments on this proposal, include with your comments a preaddressed, stamped postcard on which the docket number appears. We will stamp the date on the postcard and mail it to you.

Proprietary or Confidential Business Information

Do not file in the docket information that you consider to be proprietary or confidential business information. Send or deliver this information directly to the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document. You must mark the information that you consider proprietary or confidential. If you send the information on a disk or CD–ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD–ROM and also identify electronically within the disk or CD–ROM the specific information that is proprietary or confidential.

Under 14 CFR 11.35(b), when we are aware of proprietary information filed with a comment, we do not place it in the docket. We hold it in a separate file to which the public does not have access and place a note in the docket that we have received it. If we receive a request to examine or copy this information, we treat it as any other request under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). We process such a request under the DOT procedures found in 49 CFR Part 7.

Availability of Documents

You can get an electronic copy using the Internet by:

(1) Searching the Federal eRulemaking portal (http://www.regulations.gov/search);
(2) Visiting the FAA’s Regulations and Policies Web page at http://www.dot.gov/regulations/policies; or

Privacy: We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. For more information, see the Privacy Act discussion in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

Privacy: We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. For more information, see the Privacy Act discussion in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

ADDRESSES

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Speckin, Airport Obstruction Standards Committee, Region and Center Operations, Office of Finance and Management, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (816) 329–3053; email: 7-ACE-Federal-Registry-Notice@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

The FAA invites interested persons to join in this notice and comment process by filing written comments, data, or views. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the proposal, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. We ask that you send us two copies of written comments. We will file in the docket all comments we receive, as well as a report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel about this proposal. The docket is available for public inspection before and after the comment closing date. If you wish to review the docket in person, go to the address in the ADDRESSES section of this preamble between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

ADDRESSES

Privacy Act: Using the search function of our docket Web site, anyone can find
erect these structures, the FAA must consider the impact of the structures on the safe operation of flight and their impact on the safe, efficient use and preservation of the navigable airspace and airport capacity and efficiency. Additionally, aircraft operators must plan for the potential of an engine failure (one engine inoperative, or OEI) during take-off in accordance with 14 CFR parts 25, 121, and 135. An engine failure could prevent the aircraft from climbing at the normal climb rate and structures near an airport could, under such circumstances, create a safety risk. Thus, the agency interest in studying the potential impact of these structures is not limited solely to whether aircraft could avoid the proposed structures under normal circumstances. The agency should also consider the impact of OEI.

The potential impact of a structure is particularly significant at airports where existing development or other factors effectively limit operator options in an OEI situation. At these airports, increasing encroachment of the airspace may effectively reduce the amount of usable runway because of OEI procedures.1

The FAA is tasked with multiple mandates. Assuring aviation safety is the FAA’s primary mission, including safety of navigable airspace, aircraft safety and airport safety, and responsibility for assuring that safety is shared by the agency’s air traffic organization and aviation safety organization. Additionally, the Office of Airports is tasked with assuring the safety and the continued viability of public airports, and with maintaining and expanding aviation capacity at those airports. To that end, the FAA routinely supports significant investments at these airports to increase airport capacity and efficiency through the approval of new runways and extension of existing runways. This proposed policy statement addresses the different mandates of the FAA, while recognizing the right of developers to erect structures near airports and air navigation facilities.

The FAA is not authorized to grant or deny construction projects. Rather, Part 77 defines a number of obstruction standards that are used to identify obstacles that may have an adverse impact on the navigable airspace. Even upon the issuance of a Determination of Hazard, the developer is free to continue construction. However, zoning authorities and private insurers may be reluctant to permit construction of the structure, given the FAA’s determination that it poses a hazard to navigation. Should the developer proceed with construction, the FAA, through its air traffic organization, takes action to mitigate the impact of the obstruction by altering procedures (e.g., departure routes, climb gradients) to ensure that safety is maintained. In making a hazard determination under part 77, the FAA has historically only considered aircraft operations under normal circumstances. OEI procedures have been considered emergency procedures and have not been considered by the FAA when conducting an aeronautical study under Part 77.

As long as the aircraft could operate with altered flight tracks, the FAA has not considered other potentially costly impacts to the carriers. These include, for example, greater fuel burn, under part 77, the FAA has historically only considered aircraft operations under normal circumstances. OEI procedures have been considered emergency procedures and have not been considered by the FAA when conducting an aeronautical study under Part 77.

1 Indeed, the increased concentration of structures could significantly impact the ability of the FAA to mitigate the risk to safety and capacity for any reason. Title 49 U.S.C. 44718 specifically requires the FAA to consider the cumulative impact resulting from the proposed construction or alteration of a structure when combined with the impact of other existing or proposed structures.
Under this proposed policy, if notice of a proposed structure is filed with the FAA and the structure would exceed an obstruction standard, the structure would be a hazard to air navigation if it exceed the OEI surface for that runway and it was not shielded in accordance with paragraph 6–3–13 of FAA Order 7400.2. Procedures for Handling Airspace Matters. The FAA invites comment on whether additional exceptions are warranted to this finding of a hazard determination for these obstructions.

The FAA believes any airport and experiencing encroachment should work with its users during the Master Planning process and propose to depict a dedicated OEI surface on the Airport Layout Plan (ALP). If this results in a large number of pending proposals, then the FAA will give top priority to those submitted by the core airports. Core airports are those with more than 1% of total enplanements, defined as large hubs, or airports with 0.75% or more of total non-military itinerant operations. These core airports are the most likely to have a near-term need to define OEI departure areas. FAA will then process requests from non-core airports on a first come, first served basis, consistent with available FAA resources. FAA approval of proposed changes to the ALP will require consideration of potential environmental impacts under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). As part of the NEPA review, the FAA will identify and appropriately address any disproportionately high and adverse impacts on minority and low income populations in accordance with the Executive Order on Environmental Justice.

The FAA intends to amend agency guidance and directives to encourage airports to collaborate with stakeholders to proactively identify OEI departure tracks and consider potential impacts of land use development upon airport capacity. The FAA is seeking input on the negative or positive impact from all parties that could result from this policy change, including developers, airport owners, aircraft operators, local governments, and any other group that feels they will be impacted.

2 Existing structures within the OEI surface would be grandfathered and not subject to this proposed policy; however, this proposal would apply to modifications to such structures.

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 21, 2014.

Raymond Towles,
Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regions and Center Operations, Office of Finance and Management, Federal Aviation Administration.

[FR Doc. 2014–09337 Filed 4–24–14; 4:15 pm]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION
20 CFR Part 404
[Docket No. SSA–2006–0140]
RIN 0960–AF35
Revised Medical Criteria for Evaluating Neurological Disorders

AGENCY: Social Security Administration.

ACTION: Proposed rule; notice of teleconference.

SUMMARY: We propose to revise the criteria in the Listing of Impairments (listings) that we use to evaluate disability claims involving neurological disorders in adults and children under titles II and XVI of the Social Security Act (Act). The proposed revisions reflect our program experience; advances in medical knowledge, treatment, and methods of evaluating neurological disorders; comments we received from medical experts and the public at an outreach policy conference; and responses to an advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM). On Monday, May 12, 2014 at 1:00 p.m., EDT, we will conduct an informational teleconference on certain proposed changes to the medical criteria for evaluating neurological disorders in the Listing of Impairments (listings). The teleconference is open to the public and will be strictly informational.

Date and Time: The teleconference will take place on Monday, May 12, 2014 at 1:00 p.m., EDT.

Teleconference: To join us by teleconference, dial phone number 1–800–930–7709 and use passcode number 112683.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information about this teleconference, please contact Cheryl Williams, Office of Medical Policy, Office of Disability Policy, Social Security Administration, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21235–6401, (410) 965–1020.

For information on eligibility or filing for benefits, call our national toll-free number, 1–800–772–1213, or TTY 1–800–325–0778, or visit our Internet site, Social Security Online, at http://www.socialsecurity.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Monday, May 12, 2014 at 1:00 p.m., EDT, we will conduct an informational teleconference on certain proposed changes to the medical criteria for evaluating neurological disorders in the Listing of Impairments (listings), as described in our recent Notice of Proposed Rulemaking we published in the Federal Register on February 25, 2014 (79 FR 10636). We use the criteria in the listings to evaluate the effects of neurological disorders in adults and children under titles II and XVI of the Social Security Act.

The teleconference is open to the public and we invite interested individuals to join us.

• To join the teleconference, dial phone number 1–800–930–7709 and use passcode number 112683.

The teleconference will be strictly informational. The public comment period for the notice of proposed rulemaking will be extended through May 28, 2014. The presenter will be Shirleeta Stanton, Deputy Associate Commissioner for Disability Policy.

Agenda
1. General background on the disability program.
2. How we revise the listings.
3. Information we considered when we drafted the proposed functional criteria in the listings.
4. Overview of the proposed functional criteria in the listings to evaluate a person’s neurological condition.

We will post a summary of the teleconference in the rulemaking record at http://www.regulations.gov. Use the Search function of the Web page to find docket number SSA–2006–0140 and look under Supporting & Related Material.

Dated: April 22, 2014.

Shirleeta Stanton,
Deputy Associate Commissioner, Office of Disability Policy.

[FR Doc. 2014–09480 Filed 4–25–14; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4191–02–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
40 CFR Part 52

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Alaska: Interstate Transport of Pollution

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).