

complaint filed by Freedom Scientific, Inc. of St. Petersburg, Florida (“Freedom”). 78 FR 68862 (Nov. 15, 2013). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain handheld magnifiers and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Design Patent No. D624,107 and U.S. Patent No. 8,264,598. The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents Aumed Group Corp. of Beijing, China, and Aumed Inc. of San Carlos, California (collectively, “Aumed”).

On December 18, 2013, Aumed moved to terminate the investigation based upon a consent order stipulation and proposed consent order. See 19 CFR 210.21(c). Freedom did not oppose the motion. On December 27, 2013, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the motion. On February 12, 2014, Aumed filed a substitute consent order stipulation executed by Aumed, as opposed to Aumed counsel.

On March 20, 2014, the ALJ granted the motion as an ID. Order No. 4 at 3. The ALJ found that the substitute consent order stipulation conforms with Commission Rule 210.21(c)(3), 19 CFR 210.21(c)(3), and that the proposed consent order is consistent with Commission Rule 210.21(c)(4), 19 CFR 210.21(c)(4). Order No. 4 at 2. Further, the ALJ found that the public interest favored granting Aumed’s motion. *Id.* at 2–3; see 19 CFR 210.50(b)(2).

No petitions for review were filed. The Commission has determined not to review the ID. The Commission has issued the subject consent order, and has terminated the investigation.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

Issued: April 18, 2014.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2014–09297 Filed 4–23–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–Ta–641 (Remand)]

Certain Variable Speed Wind Turbines and Components Thereof Commission Determination To Grant a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation on the Basis of a Settlement Agreement

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to grant a joint motion to terminate the investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James A. Worth, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3065. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted the original investigation on March 31, 2008, based upon a complaint filed on behalf of General Electric of Fairfield, Connecticut (“GE”) on February 7, 2008. 73 FR 16910. The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain variable speed wind turbines and components thereof that infringe claims 121–125 of U.S. Patent No. 5,083,039 (“the ‘039 patent”) and claims 1–12, 15–18, and 21–28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,921,985 (“the ‘985 patent”). The complaint named as respondents Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd. of Tokyo, Japan and Mitsubishi Power Systems, Inc. of Lake Mary, Florida (collectively, “Mitsubishi”), and a third

entity which was subsequently found not to import. On October 8, 2008, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 10) granting GE’s motion to amend its complaint and the notice of investigation to add claims 1–19 of United States Patent No. 7,321,221 (“the ‘221 patent”) to the investigation.

On August 7, 2009, the ALJ issued his final ID finding a violation of section 337. The ALJ found a violation of section 337 with respect to the ‘039 patent and the ‘985 patent but not the ‘221 patent.

On January 8, 2010, the Commission issued notice of its final determination of no violation of section 337 as to all of these patents. With respect to the ‘985 patent, the Commission found that GE failed to satisfy the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement.

GE filed an appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. On motion by the Commission, the Court dismissed the appeal as to the ‘039 patent and thereby vacated as moot the Commission determination as to that patent. Subsequently, the Court affirmed the Commission’s determination as to the ‘221 patent, and reversed the Commission’s determination that GE had not satisfied the domestic industry requirement as to the ‘985 patent. The opinion originally issued by the Court contained a further Part III, which commented on the Commission’s authority to take no position on an issue pursuant to *Beloit Corp. v. Valmet Oy*, 742 F.2d 1421 (Fed. Cir. 1984). Subsequently, the panel granted a petition for rehearing, withdrawing Part III of its Opinion. *General Electric Co. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n*, Order, 692 F.3d 1218 (Fed. Cir. 2012).

The Federal Circuit issued its mandate on August 27, 2012. Subsequently, the Commission received numerous unsolicited submissions from the parties concerning the merits of the remand. The Commission also received a motion for sanctions by Mitsubishi against GE, a response thereto by GE, and motions for leave to file a reply and surreply.

On January 2, 2014, GE and Mitsubishi filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement pursuant to Commission rule 210.21(b), 19 CFR 210.21(b). The parties stated that termination is in the interest of the public and administrative economy. On January 27, 2014, the Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) filed a response in opposition, stating that the public version of the settlement agreement was overly redacted. On

February 7, 2014, the parties re-submitted the public version of the settlement agreement. On the same day, OUII wrote a letter to the Secretary to the Commission, withdrawing its opposition.

After considering the joint motion, and the settlement agreement, the Commission agrees that the joint motion to terminate is in the interest of the public, and complies with the requirements of Commission rule 210.21(b). The Commission has therefore determined to grant the motion to terminate the investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement and to dismiss the motion for sanctions. The Commission has further determined to dismiss as moot the private parties' motions for leave to file a reply and to file a surreply and to not accept for filing any submissions not previously accepted given that the case has been mooted by settlement. The investigation is hereby terminated.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

Issued: April 18, 2014.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2014-09298 Filed 4-23-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-853]

Certain Marine Sonar Imaging Devices, Products Containing the Same, and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Determination not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation Based on a Settlement Agreement; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 12) issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") on March 24, 2014, granting the parties' motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Needham, Office of the General

Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on November 13, 2013, based on a complaint filed by Navico, Inc. and Navico Holding AS ("Navico"). 78 FR 68091-92. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain marine sonar imaging devices, products containing the same, and components thereof, by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,300,499 and 8,305,840. The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents Raymarine, Inc. of Nashua, New Hampshire; Raymarine UK Ltd. of Fareham, United Kingdom; and In-Tech Electronics Ltd. of Hong Kong. The notice of investigation was later amended to add as respondents Raymarine Belgium BVBA, In-Tech Electronics (Shenzhen) Ltd., and In-Tech Science & Technology R&D Ltd.

On March 19, 2014, all parties filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement. The parties attached a settlement agreement, and indicated that there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between Navico and any of the respondents concerning the subject matter of this investigation. The parties also stated that the termination of the investigation would not harm the public interest, and that it is in the interest of public and administrative economy to grant the motion.

On March 24, 2014, the ALJ granted the parties' motion, and issued the

subject ID, terminating the investigation based on a settlement agreement. The ALJ found that termination was in the public interest, and that the motion complied with applicable Commission rules. *See, e.g.*, 19 CFR 210.21(a)(2) and (b)(1), 210.50(b)(2). No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

Dated: April 21, 2014.

By order of the Commission.

William R. Bishop,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2014-09313 Filed 4-23-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-14-012]

Government in The Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission.

TIME AND DATE: May 2, 2014 at 11:00 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agendas for future meetings: None.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Vote in Inv. No. 731-TA-1206 (Final) (Diffusion-Annealed, Nickel-Plated Flat-Rolled Steel Products from Japan). The Commission is currently scheduled to complete and file its determinations and views of the Commission on May 16, 2014.
5. Outstanding action jackets: None.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 21, 2014.

William R. Bishop,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2014-09399 Filed 4-22-14; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P