

trip ticket program issued by the NMFS or through a NMFS approved proprietary mechanism.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0648–0229.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Regular submission (extension of a current information collection).

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit organizations, individuals or households.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 657.

Estimated Time per Response: 4 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 33,430.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$25,071.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: April 17, 2014.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2014–09214 Filed 4–22–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Docket ID DoD–2013–OS–0088]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the

following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by May 23, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Licari, 571–372–0493.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title, Associated Form and OMB Number: 2014 Ethnographies and Focus Groups; OMB Control Number 0704–TBD.

Type of Request: New.

Number of Respondents: 475.

Responses Per Respondent: 1.

Annual Responses: 475.

Average Burden per Response: 2 hours per ethnography; 2–2.25 hours per in-person focus group (depending on specific population); 1.5 hours per on-line focus group.

Annual Burden Hours: 298 hours total.

Needs and Uses: The primary objective of the set of information collections referred to as the 2014 Ethnographies and Focus Groups, conducted on behalf of the Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP), an agency of the Department of Defense, is to examine the attitudes, experiences, and behaviors of a number of actors involved in the absentee voting process as it pertains to CONUS and OCONUS military voters and overseas voters covered under the Uniformed and Overseas Civilian Absentee Voting Act (UOCAVA). This research will explore potential deficiencies, risks, and pitfalls which serve as barriers to voting success among these UOCAVA voters. The data obtained through this study will provide an assessment of potential changes to address current barriers to UOCAVA voting.

Affected Public: Non-military UOCAVA voters; Local Election Officials, and Individuals or Households.

Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

OMB Desk Officer: Ms. Jasmeet Seehra.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Ms. Jasmeet Seehra at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

You may also submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by the following method:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name, docket

number and title for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

DoD Clearance Officer: Ms. Patricia Toppings.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Ms. Toppings at WHS/ESD Information Management Division, 4800 Mark Center Drive, East Tower, Suite 02G09, Alexandria, VA 22350–3100.

Dated: April 18, 2014.

Aaron Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2014–09217 Filed 4–22–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Docket ID DoD–2014–OS–0054]

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Pentagon Force Protection Agency, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the *Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995*, the Pentagon Force Protection Agency announces a proposed public information collection and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by June 23, 2014.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

• *Mail:* Federal Docket Management System Office, 4800 Mark Center Drive, East Tower, Suite 02G09, Alexandria, VA 22350–3100.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name, docket number and title for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request more information on this proposed information collection or to obtain a copy of the proposal and associated collection instruments, please write to the Pentagon Force Protection Agency Project Integration Directorate (PFPA\PID), 9000 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–9000, ATTN: PID, or email at PFPAHSPD-12@pfpa.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title; Associated Form; and OMB Number: Privilege Management Program (PMP); DD Form 2249; OMB Control Number 0704–TBD.

Needs and Uses: The information collection requirement is necessary to facilitate background investigations and properly assign privileges to the customers utilized within the Pentagon Reservation and National Capital Region (NCR). The form is evidence the customer has been properly vetted and has provided justification for access to the locations needed to perform their occupational duties.

Affected Public: Individuals and Households

Annual Burden Hours: 3,332

Number of Respondents: 31,989

Responses per Respondent: 1.25

Total Annual Responses: 39,986

Average Burden per Response: 5 minutes

Frequency: On occasion

Respondents are tenants and visitors who are provided identification badges, submit biometric attributes for collection, and/or have access privileges assigned. The PMP collects data which is stored in the PMP database at the time of enrollment. Having qualified agents provide credentialing and enrollment services is essential to maintaining daily operations and access rights to various installations throughout the NCR.

Dated: April 18, 2014.

Aaron Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2014–09229 Filed 4–22–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Applications for New Awards; Investing in Innovation Fund—Scale-Up Grants

AGENCY: Office of Innovation and Improvement, Department of Education.

ACTION: Notice.

Overview Information

Investing in Innovation Fund—Scale-up grants Notice inviting applications for new awards for fiscal year (FY) 2014.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number: 84.411A (Scale-up grants).

DATES:

Applications Available: April 25, 2014.

Deadline for Notice of Intent to Apply: May 13, 2014.

Deadline for Transmittal of Applications: June 24, 2014.

Deadline for Intergovernmental Review: August 21, 2014.

Full Text of Announcement

I. Funding Opportunity Description

Purpose of Program: The Investing in Innovation Fund (i3), established under section 14007 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA), provides funding to support (1) local educational agencies (LEAs), and (2) nonprofit organizations in partnership with (a) one or more LEAs or (b) a consortium of schools. The i3 program is designed to generate and validate solutions to persistent educational challenges and to support the expansion of effective solutions to serve substantially larger numbers of students. The central design element of the i3 program is its multi-tier structure that links the amount of funding that an applicant may receive to the quality of the evidence supporting the efficacy of the proposed project. Applicants proposing practices supported by limited evidence can receive relatively small grants that support the development and initial evaluation of promising practices and help to identify new solutions to pressing challenges; applicants proposing practices supported by evidence from rigorous evaluations, such as large randomized controlled trials, can receive sizable grants to support expansion across the

country. This structure provides incentives for applicants to build evidence of effectiveness of their proposed projects and to address the barriers to serving more students across schools, districts, and States so that applicants can compete for more sizeable grants.

As importantly, all i3 projects are required to generate additional evidence of effectiveness. All i3 grantees must use part of their budgets to conduct independent evaluations (as defined in this notice) of their projects. This ensures that projects funded under the i3 program contribute significantly to improving the information available to practitioners and policymakers about which practices work, for which types of students, and in what contexts.

The Department awards three types of grants under this program: “Development” grants, “Validation” grants, and “Scale-up” grants. These grants differ in terms of the level of prior evidence of effectiveness required for consideration of funding, the level of scale the funded project should reach, and, consequently, the amount of funding available to support the project.

This notice invites applications for Scale-up grants only. The notice inviting applications for Validation grants is published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**. The notice inviting applications for Development grants was published in the **Federal Register** on March 14, 2014 (79 FR 14486) and is available at <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2014-03-14/pdf/2014-05706.pdf>.

Scale-up grants provide funding to support expansion of projects supported by strong evidence of effectiveness (as defined in this notice) to the national level (as defined in this notice). In addition to improving outcomes for an increasing number of high-need students, Scale-up grants will generate information about the students and contexts for which a practice is most effective. We expect that Scale-up grants will increase practitioners’ and policymakers’ understanding of strategies that allow organizations or practices to expand quickly and efficiently while maintaining their effectiveness.

All Scale-up grantees must evaluate the effectiveness of the i3-supported practice that the project implements and expands. This is particularly important in instances in which the proposed project includes changing the i3-supported practice in order to more efficiently reach the proposed level of scale (for example, by developing technology-enabled training tools). The evaluation of a Scale-up grant must