

- Information gathered will yield qualitative information; the collections will not be designed or expected to yield statistically reliable results or used as though the results are generalizable to the population of interest.

As noted, feedback collected under this generic clearance does not produce results generalizable to the population of interest. This type of generic clearance for qualitative information will not be used for quantitative information collections that are designed to yield reliably actionable results, such as monitoring trends over time or documenting program performance. Collections with such objectives require more rigorous designs that address: The target population to which generalizations will be made, the sampling frame, the sample design (including stratification and clustering), the precision requirements or power calculations that justify the proposed sample size, the expected response rate, methods for assessing potential non-response bias, the protocols for data collection, and any testing procedures that were or will be undertaken prior to fielding the study.

As a general matter, information collections will not result in any new system of records containing privacy information and will not ask questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private.

Current Action: Extension of approval for a collection of information (OMB Control Number 1212-0066; expires June 30, 2014).

Type of Review: Regular.

Affected Public: Individuals and households, businesses and organizations, State, Local or Tribal Government.

Estimated Annual Number of Respondents: 1180.

Below are projected average annual estimates for the next three years:

Average Expected Annual Number of Activities: Three.

Average Number of Respondents per Activity (varies by activity):

- Usability Testing: 40.
- Focus Group: 90 (nine groups of ten respondents).

- Customer Satisfaction Survey: 1050.

Frequency of Response: Once per request.

Annual Responses: 1,180 (based on one response per respondent).

Average Time per Response (varies by activity):

- Two hours per response for Usability Testing and Focus Groups;
- 15 minutes for Customer Satisfaction Survey.

Burden Hours (varies by activity):

- Usability Testing, 80 hours.
- Focus Group, 180 hours.
- Customer Satisfaction Survey, 263 hours.

Total: 523 hours.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget control number.

Request for Comments: PBGC is soliciting public comments to—

- Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Issued in Washington, DC, this 8th day of April 2014.

Judith Starr,

General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 2014-08234 Filed 4-10-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7709-02-P

POSTAL SERVICE

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

AGENCY: Postal Service™.

ACTION: Notice of modification to existing systems of records.

SUMMARY: The United States Postal Service® is proposing to modify one General Privacy Act Systems of Records. These updates are being made to facilitate the implementation of a complex, self-service password and to account for the collection of additional data elements including challenge questions, challenge answers, and optional email addresses to be used in the password reset process.

DATES: These revisions will become effective without further notice on May 12, 2014 unless comments received on or before that date result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed or delivered to the Records Office, United States Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW., Room 9431, Washington, DC 20260-1101. Copies of all written comments will be available at this address for public inspection and photocopying between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matthew J. Connolly, Chief Privacy Officer, Privacy and Records Office, 202-268-8582 or privacy@usps.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice is in accordance with the Privacy Act requirement that agencies publish their amended systems of records in the **Federal Register** when there is a revision, change, or addition. The Postal Service™ has reviewed these systems of records and has determined that this General Privacy Act System of Records should be revised to modify categories of records in the system and purpose(s).

I. Background

Currently, Postal Service employees use their Postal Service-issued Employee Identification Number (EIN) and personal identification number (PIN) to access data pertaining to them in postal information systems such as PostalEASE, LiteBlue and other sites that they use EINs and PINs to access. To improve protection of employee privacy by strengthening the authentication process for accessing postal information systems, the Postal Service intends to implement a new, complex, self-service password to replace the existing self-service PIN.

II. Rationale for Changes to USPS Privacy Act Systems of Records

The system of records 500.000, Property Management Records, is being modified to account for the collection of an employee's challenge questions, challenge answers, and optionally, email addresses. These elements will be provided by the employee, collected during the initial establishment of the password, and will be used to authenticate the user in any subsequent requests by the user to reset his or her password.

III. Description of Changes to Systems of Records

The Postal Service is modifying one system of records listed below. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(11), interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, or arguments on this proposal. A report of the proposed modifications has been sent to Congress and to the Office of Management and Budget for their evaluation. The Postal Service does not expect this amended

notice to have any adverse effect on individual privacy rights. The affected systems are as follows:

USPS 500.000**SYSTEM NAME:**

Property Management Records

Accordingly, for the reasons stated, the Postal Service proposes changes in the existing systems of records as follows:

USPS 500.000**SYSTEM NAME:**

Property Management Records

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

* * * * *

[ADD TEXT]

4. Identity verification information: Question, answer, and email address.

* * * * *

[RENUMBER REMAINING TEXT]

PURPOSE:

* * * * *

[ADD TEXT]

4. To authenticate user identity for the purpose of accessing USPS information systems.

* * * * *

[RENUMBER REMAINING TEXT]

* * * * *

Stanley F. Mires,

Attorney, Legal Policy & Legislative Advice.

[FR Doc. 2014-08091 Filed 4-10-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-P

POSTAL SERVICE**Product Change—Priority Mail Negotiated Service Agreement**

AGENCY: Postal Service™.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List.

DATES: Effective date: April 11, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth A. Reed, 202-268-3179.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on April 4, 2014, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 80 to Competitive Product List. Documents are available at

www.prc.gov, Docket Nos. MC2014-23, CP2014-38.

Stanley F. Mires,

Attorney, Legal Policy & Legislative Advice.

[FR Doc. 2014-08090 Filed 4-10-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-P

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD**Agency Forms Submitted for OMB Review, Request for Comments**

Summary: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) is forwarding an Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA), Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Our ICR describes the information we seek to collect from the public. Review and approval by OIRA ensures that we impose appropriate paperwork burdens.

The RRB invites comments on the proposed collection of information to determine (1) the practical utility of the collection; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden of the collection; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information that is the subject of collection; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of collections on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments to the RRB or OIRA must contain the OMB control number of the ICR. For proper consideration of your comments, it is best if the RRB and OIRA receive them within 30 days of the publication date.

Section 2 of the Railroad Retirement Act (RRA) provides for the payment of disability annuities to qualified employees. Section 2 also provides that if the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) receives a report of an annuitant working for a railroad or earning more than prescribed dollar amounts from either nonrailroad employment or self-employment, the annuity is no longer payable, or can be reduced, for the months worked. The regulations related to the nonpayment or reduction of the annuity by reason of work are prescribed in 20 CFR 220.160-164.

Some activities claimed by the applicant as "self-employment" may actually be employment for someone else (e.g. training officer, consultant, salesman). 20 CFR 216.22(c) states, for example, that an applicant is considered an employee, and not self-employed, when acting as a corporate officer, since the corporation is the applicant's employer. Whether the RRB classifies a

particular activity as self-employment or as work for an employer depends upon the circumstances in each case. The circumstances are prescribed in 20 CFR 216.21-216-23.

Certain types of work may actually indicate an annuitant's recovery from disability. Regulations related to an annuitant's recovery from disability of work are prescribed in 20 CFR 220.17-220-20.

In addition, the RRB conducts continuing disability reviews, (also known as a CDR) to determine whether the annuitant continues to meet the disability requirements of the law. Payment of disability benefits and/or a beneficiary's period of disability will end if medical evidence or other information shows that an annuitant is not disabled under the standards prescribed in Section 2 of the RRA. Continuing disability reviews are generally conducted if one or more of the following conditions are met: (1) The annuitant is scheduled for a routine periodic review, (2) the annuitant returns to work and successfully completes a trial work period, (3) substantial earnings are posted to the annuitant's wage record, or (4) information is received from the annuitant or a reliable source that the annuitant has recovered or returned to work. Provisions relating to when and how often the RRB conducts disability reviews are prescribed in 20 CFR 220.186.

To enhance program integrity activities, the RRB utilizes Form G-252, Self-Employment/Corporate Officer Work and Earnings Monitoring. Form G-252 obtains information from a disability annuitant who claims to be self-employed or a corporate officer or who the RRB determines to be self-employed or a corporate officer after a continuing disability review. The continuing disability review may be prompted by a report of work, return to railroad service, an allegation of a medical improvement or a routine disability review call-up. The information gathered is used to determine entitlement and/or continued entitlement to, and the amount of, the disability annuity, as prescribed in 20 CFR 220.176. Completion is required to retain benefits. One response is required of each respondent.

Previous Requests for Comments: The RRB has already published the initial 60-day notice (79 FR 5471 on January 31, 2014) required by 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2). That request elicited no comments.